UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APOTEX INC., Petitioner, v. CELGENE CORPORATION, Patent Owner Case IPR2023-00512 Patent 8,846,628

EXPERT DECLARATION OF GEORGE M. GRASS IV, PH.D.

CELGENE 2052



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pag	e			
I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND1					
	A.	Qualifications and Experience	1			
	B.	Compensation	4			
II.	LEGA	AL PRINCIPLES	4			
	A.	Anticipation	4			
	B.	Obviousness	5			
III.	PERS	SON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7			
IV.	BASI	BASIS OF OPINIONS				
V.	BACKGROUND8					
	A.	Oral Drug Administration	8			
	B.	Overview of 5-Azacytidine	5			
	C.	The '628 Patent	6			
VI.	THE	PETITION1	8			
VII.	THE	THE ASSERTED REFERENCES				
	A.	Ionescu1	9			
	B.	Atadja2	0			
	C.	Gibson2	1			
	D.	PR-Press Release	1			
VIII.	SUM	MARY OF OPINIONS2	2			
IX.	GROUND 1: Ionescu Does Not Inherently Anticipate the Pharmacokinetic Values Recited by Claims 11, 12, 18-22, or 38-4323					
	A.	Ionescu Does Not Provide Any Pharmacokinetic Values2	3			
	В.	There Is No Evidence That The Claimed Pharmacokinetic Values Are Natural Results of Administering A Formulation From Ionescu	4			
	C.	Dr. Batchelor's <i>In Silico</i> Modeling Is Fundamentally Flawed2	6			
		1. Dr. Batchelor's Modeling Ignores Metabolic Degradation Of 5-Azacytidine	8			



		2.	Dr. Batchelor's model uses hydrolysis rates at a more neutral pH than that encountered by orally administered drugs.	35			
		3.	Dr. Batchelor neglected to use available experimental data.	38			
		4.	Dr. Batchelor did not perform any model validation	40			
	D.	Conc	lusion for Ground 1	42			
X.	CLAIMS 11, 12, 18-22, AND 38-43 OF THE '628 PATENT ARE NOT INHERENTLY OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF IONESCU IN COMBINATION WITH ATADJA, GIBSON AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSA (GROUND 2)						
	A.		of Ionescu, Atadja, Or Gibson Provide Any nacokinetic Values	43			
	В.	There Is No Evidence That The Claimed Pharmacokinetic Values Are Natural Results of Administering The Alleged Obvious Formulation.					
	C.	Dr. B	Satchelor's In Silico Modeling Is Fundamentally Flawed	43			
	D.	PR's	polation using Vidaza® pharmacokinetics and Pharmion- bioavailability for Claims 11 and 38 Is Fundamentally ed	45			
	E.		lusion for Ground 2				
XI.	NOT WITH	CLAIMS 11, 12, 18-22, AND 38-43 OF THE '628 PATENT ARE NOT OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF IONESCU IN COMBINATION WITH ATADJA, GIBSON, Pharmion-PR, AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSA (GROUND 3)					
XII.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA SUPPORT NON-OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 11, 18, 38, AND 40 OF THE '628 PATENT						
	A.	40 w	charmacokinetic values recited by claims 11, 18, 38, and ere unexpected for a non-enteric coated tablet comprising a peutically effective amount of 5-azacytidine	51			
	B.	Nexu	IS	53			
XIII.	CON	CLUS	ION	53			
XIV.	AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION						
VII	DICI	DICHT TO CUIDDI EMENT					



$\mathbf{Y}UI$	JURAT5	. 5
∕ X	JUNAT	J



I, George M. Grass IV, Ph.D., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1. I have been retained by counsel for Celgene Corporation ("Patent Owner") as an expert in *Apotex Inc. v. Celgene Corporation*, No. IPR2023-00512, challenging claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11-28, 32-36, and 38-43 of U.S. Patent No. 8,846,628 ("the '628 patent").
- 2. I understand that Apotex Inc. ("Apotex") have filed an Inter Partes
 Review (IPR) at the USPTO challenging claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11-28, 32-36, and 38-43
 of the '628 patent.

A. Qualifications and Experience

- 3. I am President of G2 Research, Inc., a company I founded in August 2001 to provide consulting services to pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies in a variety of areas. Among other things, I have performed pharmacokinetic modeling to evaluate clinical regimens for antibodies and small molecules. I have also developed computer simulation software and models to predict drug pharmacokinetics.
- 4. I obtained a Ph.D. in Pharmaceutics at the University of Wisconsin,
 Madison in 1985. My Ph.D. thesis was entitled "Mechanisms of Corneal Drug
 Penetration." As a result of this research, I was the co-recipient of the 1989 Ebert
 Prize, awarded by the American Pharmacists Association Academy of



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

