UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HOPEWELL PHARMA VENTURES, INC., Petitioner,

v.

MERCK SERONO SA, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00480 Patent 7,713,947

DECLARATION OF FRED D. LUBLIN, M.D. UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

ALARM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1					
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS					
III.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS					
IV.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART11					
V.	LEGAL STANDARDS12					
	A. OBVIOUSNESS					
	B.	CLA	IM CONSTRUCTION	14		
VI.	I. TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE ART					
	A.	Mult	iple Sclerosis	14		
		1.	MS Clinical Course and Diagnosis	15		
		2.	MS Treatment as of 2004	18		
		3.	Outcome Measures In MS Clinical Trials	24		
		4.	Cladribine Investigations For MS	26		
	B. Alleged Prior Art					
		1.	Bodor (Ex. 1022)	31		
		2.	Stelmasiak (Ex. 1013)	32		
VII.						
VIII.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN					
	OBVIOUS OVER BODOR AND STELMASIAK					
	A.	Neitl	her Bodor Nor Stelmasiak Discloses Or Suggests All			
	Limitations Of The Challenged Claims					
		1.	Neither Bodor Nor Stelmasiak Discloses Or Suggests			
			The Claimed Weight-Based Induction Or Maintenance			
			Dosing	38		

	2.	Neither Bodor Nor Stelmasiak Discloses Or Suggests A							
		Maintenance Period As Claimed44							
		a.	Bodor Does Not Teach or Suggest Re-Treatment4						
			i.	Cladribine Treatment Raises Safety					
				Concerns45					
			11.	Dosing of Approved Disease-Modifying					
				Treatments For MS Was Based on					
				Pharmacological Effects, Efficacy, And					
				Safety47					
			iii.	The Art Did Not Suggest A Maintenance					
				Period As Claimed53					
		b.	Stelm	nasiak Does Not Teach Or Suggest A					
			Main	tenance Period As Claimed57					
		c.	A PO	SA Would Not Have Arrived At The					
			Clain	ned Maintenance Period Based on Routine					
			Optin	nization					
A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine Bodor									
	With	Stelmasiak To Arrive At The Challenged Claims With A							
	Reaso	onable Expectation Of Success64							
	1.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Arrive At							
		The C	Claimed Dosing Methods Via Routine Optimization						
		Or H	Have Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Success In						
		Doin	Doing So65						

B.

		2.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Adopt		
			Weight-Based Dosing Or Have Reasonably Expected To		
			Arrive At The Claimed Weight-Based Dosing Regimen86		
		3.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine		
			Bodor With Stelmasiak To Arrive At The Claimed		
			Induction Doses Or Have Had A Reasonable Expectation		
			Of Success In Doing So		
		4.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated To		
			Immediately Re-Treat Patients After Bodor's 10-Month		
			Cladribine-Free Period Or Have Had A Reasonable		
			Expectation Of Success In Doing So		
		5.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine		
			Bodor With Stelmasiak To Arrive At The Claimed 1.7		
			Mg/Kg Maintenance Dose Or Have Had A Reasonable		
			Expectation Of Success In Doing So		
	C.	The	Dependent Claims Would Not Have Been Obvious Over		
		Bodo	or And Stelmasiak101		
IX.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA SUPPORT THE NON-OBVIOUSNESS OF				
	THE	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '947 PATENT103			
	А.	Skep	ticism Of Others103		
	В.	Unex	xpected Results		
	C.	Long	g-Felt Need113		
	D.	Nexu	ıs116		
X.	CONCLUSION				

Х.

I, Fred D. Lublin, M.D., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am over eighteen years of age, and I am competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein if I am called upon to do so.

2. I have prepared this Declaration for consideration by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in the following *Inter Partes* Review proceeding: IPR2023-00480. I understand that IPR2023-00480 corresponds to *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,713,947 (the "'947 patent") (Ex. 1001).

3. I have written this Declaration on behalf of Merck Serono SA in connection with the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review proceeding. I am being compensated for my time at my normal consulting rate of \$900 per hour for non-deposition time and a \$9,000 flat fee per day for deposition time. My compensation is not dependent on the substance of my testimony or the outcome of the proceedings.

4. In forming my opinions, in addition to my knowledge and experience,I have considered the materials cited herein and listed in Appendix A.

5. All statements in my Declaration, unless indicated otherwise, are based on my knowledge and experience in the field.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

6. I am a Professor of Neurology and the Director of the Corinne Goldsmith Dickinson Center for Multiple Sclerosis at the Icahn School of

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.