

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMMERVISION, INC.
("ImmerVision"),
Patent Owner

Case IPR2023-00471
Patent No. 6,844,990

DECLARATION OF DR. DAVID KESSLER, Ph.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	5
II.	Background and Qualifications	6
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	10
IV.	Materials Considered and Relied Upon	11
V.	Legal Standards.....	13
	A. Legal Standards for Prior Art.....	13
	B. Legal Standard for Priority Date	15
	C. Legal Standard for Obviousness	15
VI.	Overview of the Relevant Technology	18
	A. Rectilinear Imaging	18
	B. Panoramic/Fisheye Lenses	21
	C. Optical Designers Understood How to Implement a Particular Image Distribution Function in their Optical Designs	26
	D. Using Aspherics to Control Distortion.....	28
	E. Post-Capture Image Processing.....	29
	F. Panoramic Imaging and Digital Image Processing	29
VII.	The '990 Patent	31
	A. Overview	31
	B. File History of the '990 Patent (APPLE-1002).....	41
	C. Reexamination Summary (APPLE-1011).....	41
	D. Interpretation of the '990 Patent Claims at Issue	43
VIII.	Overview of the Cited References	46

A.	Baker (APPLE-1006)	46
B.	Shiota (APPLE-1012)	51
C.	Fisher (APPLE-1009).....	52
IX.	Overview of Unpatentability Grounds.....	55
X.	Ground 1: Baker in View of Shiota Renders OBvious CLaims 27, 2, 4, and 29.....	56
A.	Combination of Baker and Shiota	56
B.	Claim 27	60
	[27pre] A method for displaying a digital panoramic image, the method comprising:.....	61
	[27a]: obtaining a digital panoramic image by projecting a panorama onto an image sensor using a panoramic objective lens,.....	67
	[27b]: the panoramic objective lens having an image point distribution function that is not linear relative to a field angle of object points of the panorama,	71
	[27c] the distribution function having a maximum divergence of at least +/-10% compared to a linear distribution function,	75
	[27d]: such that the panoramic image obtained has at least one substantially expanded zone and at least one substantially compressed zone, and	78
	[27e]: displaying the obtained panoramic image by correcting the non-linearity of the initial image,.....	81
	[27f]: performed by retrieving image points on the obtained image in a coordinate system of center O' using at least the non-linear distribution function and a size L of the obtained image.	84
C.	Claim 2	90
	[2pre] The method according to claim 27:.....	91

	[2a] wherein the objective lens has a non-linear distribution function that is symmetrical relative to the optical axis of the objective lens, the position of an image point relative to the center of the image varying according to the field angle of the corresponding object point;.....	91
D.	Claim 4	94
	[4pre]: The method according to claim 27:.....	94
	[4a]: wherein the objective lens expands the edges of the image and compresses the center of the image.....	95
E.	Claim 29	96
	[29pre]: The method according to claim 27:.....	97
	[29a] wherein the objective lens comprises a set of lenses forming an apodizer.	97
XI.	Ground 2: Baker in view of Shiota and Fisher Render Obvious Claims 29 and 30.....	99
A.	Combination of Baker, Shiota, and Fisher	99
B.	Claims 29 and 30.....	104
	[29pre]/[30pre]: The method according to claim 27,.....	104
	[29a]: wherein the objective lens comprises a set of lenses forming an apodizer / [30a] wherein the set of lenses forming an apodizer comprises at least one aspherical lens.	105
XII.	Additional Remarks	106

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Dr. David Kessler, and I have been retained by counsel for Petitioner Apple, Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) as an expert witness to provide assistance regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,844,990 (the “’990 Patent”). Specifically, I have been asked to consider the validity of claims 27, 2, 4, 29, and 30 of the ’990 Patent (“Challenged Claims”) in view of prior art, obviousness considerations, and understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention (“POSITA”) as it relates to the ’990 Patent

2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study, the substance of my opinions, or the outcome of any proceeding involving the challenged claims. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter or on the pending litigation between Petitioner and Patent Owner.

3. My analysis here is based on my years of education, research and experience, as well as my investigation and study of relevant materials, including those cited herein.

4. I may rely upon these materials, my knowledge and experience, and/or additional materials to rebut arguments raised by the Patent Owner. Further, I may

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.