

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS,
INC., and MICRON TECHNOLOGY TEXAS LLC

Petitioners,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 11,016,918

Inventors: Chi-She Chen, Jeffrey C. Solomon, Scott H. Milton, and Jayesh Bhakta

TITLE: Flash-DRAM Hybrid Memory Module

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2023-00406

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 11,016,918**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PETITIONERS’ MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A.	Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	1
C.	Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	2
D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
II.	INTRODUCTION	3
III.	COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW	3
A.	Standing (§42.104(a)).....	3
B.	Identification of Challenge (§42.104(b))	3
IV.	RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT	4
A.	Effective Filing Date of the 918 Patent	4
B.	The 918 Patent	5
1.	Technical Overview	5
2.	Prosecution History.....	7
C.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSITA”).....	7
D.	Construction of Terms Used in the Claims	8
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	9
A.	Harris (EX1023).....	9
B.	FBDIMM Standards (EX1027-28).....	10
C.	Amidi (EX1024).....	11
D.	Hajeck (EX1038).....	12
E.	Spiers (EX1025).....	13
VI.	ARGUMENT	14
A.	Ground 1	14
1.	Ground 1 combination: Harris (EX1023) + FBDIMM Standards (EX1027-28)	14

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 11,016,918

2.	Independent Claim 1	19
3.	Claim 2	34
4.	Claim 3	39
5.	Claim 8	40
6.	Claim 14	45
7.	Claim 15	45
8.	Independent Claim 23	49
B.	Ground 2	51
1.	Ground 2 combination: Ground 1 + Amidi (EX1024)	51
2.	Claims 1-3, 15, 23	55
3.	Claim 4	55
4.	Claim 5	59
5.	Claim 6	62
6.	Claim 7	62
7.	Claims 8, 14	65
8.	Claim 9	66
9.	Claim 10	66
10.	Claim 11	67
11.	Claim 12	67
12.	Claim 13	68
13.	Claims 16-22, 24-30	69
C.	Ground 3	75
1.	Ground 3 combination: Ground 2 + Hajeck (EX1038)	75
2.	Claims 1-30	76
D.	Ground 4	77
1.	Ground 4 combination: Spiers (EX1025) + Amidi (EX1024) ..	77
2.	Independent Claim 1	81
3.	Claim 2	97
4.	Claim 3	99

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 11,016,918

5.	Claim 4	99
6.	Claim 5	100
7.	Claim 6	103
8.	Claim 7	104
9.	Claim 8	106
10.	Claim 9	112
11.	Claim 10	113
12.	Claim 11	114
13.	Claim 12	115
14.	Claim 13	117
15.	Claim 14	117
16.	Claim 15	118
17.	Claims 16-30	120
E.	Ground 5	125
1.	Ground 5 combination: Ground 4 + Hajeck (EX1038)	125
2.	Claims 1-30	126
VII.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS UNWARRANTED	127
A.	Discretionary Denial Under § 325(d) Is Unwarranted	127
B.	Discretionary Denial Under § 314(a) Is Unwarranted	128
1.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factors Strongly Favor Institution	128
2.	Discretionary Denial Under <i>General Plastic</i> Is Unwarranted	128
VIII.	CONCLUSION	129

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Gen. Hosp. Corp. v. Sienna Biopharms., Inc.</i> , 888 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	27
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.</i> , 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	27
<i>Netlist, Inc. v. Micron Technology, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:22-cv-00203 (E.D. Tex. filed June 10, 2022).....	1
<i>Netlist, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , No. 2:21-cv- 00463 (E.D. Tex. filed Dec. 20, 2021).....	1
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. v. Netlist, Inc.</i> , No. 1:21-cv- 01453 (D. Del. filed Oct. 15, 2021)	1
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Netlist, Inc.</i> , IPR2022-00996 (U.S. Patent No. 11,016,918).....	1, 128
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Netlist, Inc.</i> , IPR2022-00999 (U.S. Patent No. 11,232,054).....	1
<i>SK hynix Inc. et al. v. Netlist, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00692 (U.S. Patent No. 8,874,831).....	2
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	3
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.