

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,301,833

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,301,833

Trial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Issued: October 30, 2012

Filed: September 29, 2008

Inventors: Chi-She Chen, *et al.*

Assignee: Netlist, Inc.

Title: NON-VOLATILE MEMORY MODULE

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

United States Patent & Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.100

On behalf of SanDisk Corporation (“SanDisk” or “Petitioner”) and in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 311 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, *inter partes* review is respectfully requested for claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 8,301,833 (“the ‘833 Patent”), attached hereto as Exhibit 1001.

The undersigned representative of Petitioner authorizes the Patent Office to charge the \$31,000 Request and Post-Institution Fees, along with any additional fees, to Deposit Account 501432, ref: 305529-600058. Thirty claims are being reviewed, so the required Request and Post-Institution Fees are \$23,000, plus an excess claim fee of \$8,000.

Table of Contents

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Grounds For Standing Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
III. Overview Of The ‘833 Patent.....	3
IV. Identification Of Challenge Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b).....	6
A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims For Which <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Requested	6
B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): The Prior Art and Specific Grounds On Which The Challenge to the Claims Is Based.....	6
C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction	9
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Construed Claims are Unpatentable.....	10
E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence.....	10
V. There Is A Reasonable Likelihood That At Least One Claim Of The ‘833 Patent Is Unpatentable.....	10
A. Claims 1, 2, 13, 15, 18, and 29 are Anticipated by Fukuzo (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2006/0294295)	10
1. Claims 1 and 15.....	10
2. Claims 2 and 18.....	14
3. Claim 13 and 29	15
B. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 11, 12, 15, 18, 22, 24, 27, and 28 are Anticipated by Panabaker (U.S. Patent No. 7,716,411)	15
1. Claims 1 and 15.....	15
2. Claims 2 and 18.....	20
3. Claims 6 and 22.....	21

4.	Claims 8 and 24.....	21
5.	Claims 11 and 27.....	22
6.	Claims 12 and 28.....	23
C.	Claims 1-6, 8, 11-13, 15, 17-22, 24, and 27-29 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo and Li (U.S. Patent No. 6,336,174).....	23
1.	Claims 1 and 15.....	23
2.	Claims 2 and 18.....	26
3.	Claims 3 and 19.....	26
4.	Claims 4 and 20.....	27
5.	Claims 5 and 21.....	28
6.	Claims 6 and 22.....	28
7.	Claims 8 and 24.....	29
8.	Claims 11 and 27.....	30
9.	Claims 12 and 28.....	31
10.	Claims 13 and 29.....	31
11.	Claim 17.....	32
D.	Claims 3 and 19 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo and Spiers (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2006/0080515).....	33
E.	Claims 3 and 19 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo, Li, and Spiers.....	34
F.	Claims 7 and 23 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo and Hansen (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0132250).....	35
G.	Claims 7, 9, 10, 23, 25, and 26 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo, Li, and Hansen.....	37
1.	Claims 7 and 23.....	37

2.	Claims 9 and 25.....	38
3.	Claims 10 and 26.....	38
H.	Claims 14 and 30 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo and Sun (U.S. Patent No. 7,102,391).....	39
I.	Claims 14 and 30 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo, Li, and Sun	40
J.	Claim 16 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo and Komatsuzaki (U.S. Patent No. 6,944,042).....	41
K.	Claim 16 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fukuzo, Li, and Komatsuzaki.....	43
L.	Claims 1-6, 8, 11, 12, 15, 17-22, 24, 27, and 28 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker and Li	44
1.	Claims 1 and 15.....	44
2.	Claims 2 and 18.....	45
3.	Claims 3 and 19.....	45
4.	Claims 4 and 20.....	46
5.	Claims 5 and 21.....	46
6.	Claims 6 and 22.....	47
7.	Claims 8 and 24.....	47
8.	Claims 11 and 27.....	47
9.	Claims 12 and 28.....	48
10.	Claim 17	48
M.	Claims 3 and 19 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker and Spiers	48
N.	Claims 3 and 19 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker, Li, and Spiers.....	49

O.	Claims 7, 9, 23, and 25 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker and Hansen.....	50
1.	Claims 7 and 23.....	50
2.	Claims 9 and 25.....	51
P.	Claims 7, 9, 10, 23, 25, and 26 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker, Li, and Hansen	52
1.	Claims 7 and 23.....	52
2.	Claims 9 and 25.....	53
3.	Claims 10 and 26.....	53
Q.	Claims 13 and 29 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker and Fukuzo	54
R.	Claims 13 and 29 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker, Li, and Fukuzo	55
S.	Claims 14 and 30 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker and Sun	56
T.	Claims 14 and 30 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker, Li, and Sun.....	57
U.	Claim 16 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker and Komatsuzaki	57
V.	Claim 16 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Panabaker, Li, and Komatsuzaki.....	58
VI.	Mandatory Notices Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	59
A.	C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Party-In-Interest.....	59
B.	C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters	59
C.	C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information.....	60
VII.	Conclusion	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.