

Filed: January 3, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; TRUIST BANK; BOKF, N.A.; WELLS FARGO
BANK, N.A.; AND PNC BANK, N.A.,
Petitioners,

v.

DYNAPASS IP HOLDINGS LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2023-00367
U.S. Patent 6,993,658

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT 6,993,658**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Relief Requested.....	1
II.	The '658 Patent.....	2
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill.....	3
IV.	Claim Construction.....	4
V.	Ground 1: Guthrie in Combination with Sormunen Renders Obvious the Challenged Claims.....	4
A.	Guthrie-Sormunen Combination.....	4
1.	Summary of the Guthrie-Sormunen Combination.....	4
2.	Guthrie and Sormunen Are Analogous Art and Combinable.....	7
3.	A POSITA Would Be Motivated to Implement Sormunen's Mobile Station and Method for Requesting and Obtaining Authentication Data at a Mobile Station in Guthrie to Improve Security.....	10
B.	Independent Claims.....	16
1.	Claim 1.....	16
2.	Claim 5.....	40
C.	Dependent Claims.....	52
1.	Claim 2: "The method of claim 1, wherein the new password is generated by concatenating the token and the passcode.".....	52
2.	Claim 3: "The method of claim 1, wherein the personal communication device is a mobile phone.".....	54
3.	Claim 6: "The system of claim 5, wherein the communication module is further configured to receive a	

request from the user for the token, and wherein the control module is further configured to create the new password in response to the request.”54

4. Claim 7: “The system of claim 6, wherein the request is transmitted by the user through the personal communication device.”55

VI. Ground 2: Kato in Combination with Guthrie Renders Obvious the Challenged Claims.....55

A. Kato-Guthrie Combination.....55

1. Summary of the Kato-Guthrie Combination55

2. Kato Is Analogous Art and Combinable with Guthrie56

3. A POSITA Would Be Motivated to Add Guthrie’s Challenge-Response Process to Kato’s Three-Device Architecture and Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success59

B. Independent Claims68

1. Claim 168

2. Claim 588

C. Dependent Claims92

1. Claim 292

2. Claim 393

3. Claims 6 and 7.....93

VII. Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) Is Appropriate in View of Petitioners’ *Sotera* Stipulation.....93

VIII. Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) Is Appropriate in View of New References and Arguments94

IX. Mandatory Notices.....95

A. Real Parties-in-Interest95
B. Related Matters.....96
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....97
D. Service Information.....98
X. Standing98
XI. Conclusion98

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Abbott Diabetes Care Inc. v. DexCom, Inc.</i> , IPR2022-00922, Paper 13 (PTAB Nov. 3, 2022).....	40
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH</i> , IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020).....	94
<i>Alcon Inc. v. AMO Dev., LLC</i> , IPR2021-00843, Paper 15 (PTAB Nov. 12, 2021).....	41
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017)	94
<i>Ex parte Davis</i> , Appeal No. 2008-3403, 2008 WL 4865519 (BPAI Nov. 10, 2008)	22
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	4
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020)	93
<i>Target Corp. v. Proxicom Wireless, LLC</i> , IPR2020-00931, Paper 10 (PTAB Nov. 10, 2020).....	41
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (en banc)	39
<i>Zeroclick, LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , 891 F.3d 1003 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	40
<i>Zillow Grp., Inc. v. Int’l Bus. Machs. Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01656, Paper 8 (PTAB Mar. 15, 2021).....	41
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.