UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN SMART THERMOSTAT SYSTEMS, SMART HVAC SYSTEMS, SMART HVAC CONTROL SYSTEMS, AND COMPONENTS THEREOF Inv. No. 337-TA-1258

ORDER NO. 18: CONSTRUING THE TERMS OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE PATENTS AT ISSUE

(September 1, 2021)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1					
II.	IN GENERAL2					
III.	RELEVANT LAW					
IV.	LEVI	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL				
V.	THE	THE ASSERTED PATENTS				
	А.	The 5	67 Patent	.9		
	B.	The 9	83 Patent	11		
	C.	The 4	88 Patent	12		
	D.	The 5	50 Patent	14		
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION10					
	A.	Const	ruction of the Agreed-Upon Claim Terms	16		
			ruction of the Disputed Claim Terms	18		
		1.	"operational efficiency of a heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system" / "operational efficiency of an HVAC system"	18		
		2.	"receives status of said HVAC system" / "based on the status of the HVAC system"			
		3.	"compare said temperature measurements from said first structure"	22		
		4.	"first state of repair"	24		
		5.	"suggest a cause of degradation"	25		
		6.	"expected temperature measurements of a rate of change in inside temperature"	27		
		7.	"performance characteristic"	28		
		8.	Whether the preambles of the 567 patent are limiting	31		
		9.	Whether the preambles of the 488 patent are limiting	34		
		10.	"HVAC system" / "heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system" / "heating ventilation and air conditioning system"	35		

11.	"processors configured to"	30	5
-----	----------------------------	----	---

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

I. INTRODUCTION

This Investigation was instituted by the Commission on December 29, 2020 to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of smart thermostat systems, smart HVAC systems, smart HVAC control systems, and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of: claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 8,423,322 ("the 322 patent"); claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 15, 16, 19, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,019,567 ("the 567 patent"); claims 1-3 and 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,612,983 ("the 983 patent"); claims 1, 5-7, 9, 13-15, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 8,596,550 ("the 550 patent"); and claims 1, 2, 5, 7-10, and 13-15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,886,488 ("the 488 patent"). *See* 86 Fed. Reg. 17403 (April 2, 2021). The Complainant is EcoFactor, Inc. ("EcoFactor"). The Respondents are Carrier Global Corporation ("Carrier"); ecobee Ltd. and ecobee Inc. (collectively, "ecobee"); and Google LLC ("Google") (collectively, "Respondents").

EcoFactor recently dismissed the 322 Patent. Order No. 16 (August 5, 2021). The Commission did not review the Initial Determination. EDIS Doc. No. 749920.

No *Markman* hearing was held; however, the parties filed joint proposed claim construction charts setting forth a limited set of terms to be construed, and also filed initial and reply claim construction briefs wherein each party offered its construction for the claim terms in dispute.¹

¹ For convenience, the bri	efs and amended cl	hart submitted by the	parties are referred to as:
	~		2

CIMB	Complainant's Initial Markman Brief
CRMB	Complainant's Reply Markman Brief
RIMB	Respondents' Initial Markman Brief
RRMB	Respondents' Reply Markman Brief
JC	Joint Claim Construction Chart

II. IN GENERAL

The claim terms addressed below are construed for the purposes of this Investigation, and those terms not in dispute need not be construed. *See Vanderlande Indus. Nederland BV v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 366 F.3d 1311, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (noting that the administrative law judge need only construe disputed claim terms). The meaning of claim terms not presently disputed will be addressed in connection with the evidentiary hearing.

III. RELEVANT LAW

"An infringement analysis entails two steps. The first step is determining the meaning and scope of the patent claims asserted to be infringed. The second step is comparing the properly construed claims to the device accused of infringing." *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*, 52 F.3d 967, 976 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (*en banc*) (internal citations omitted), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996). Claim construction is a "matter of law exclusively for the court." *Id.* at 970-71. "The construction of claims is simply a way of elaborating the normally terse claim language in order to understand and explain, but not to change, the scope of the claims." *Embrex, Inc. v. Serv. Eng'g Corp.*, 216 F.3d 1343, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

Claim construction focuses on the intrinsic evidence, which consists of the claims themselves, the specification, and the prosecution history. *See Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*); *see also Markman*, 52 F.3d at 979. As the Federal Circuit in *Phillips* explained, courts must analyze each of these components to determine the "ordinary and customary meaning of a claim term" as understood by a person of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention. 415 F.3d at 1313. "Such intrinsic evidence is the most significant source of the legally operative meaning of disputed claim language." *Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Commc'ns Grp., Inc.*, 262 F.3d 1258, 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.