

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Ezra Eddie Bakhsh
U.S. Patent No.: 9,696,868 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0110IP1
Issue Date: July 4, 2017
Appl. Serial No.: 14/614,708
Filing Date: February 5, 2015
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING THREE-
DIMENSIONAL GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 9,696,868 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR	4
	A. Standing	4
	B. Challenge and Relief Requested.....	4
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '868 PATENT	5
	A. Brief Description.....	5
IV.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	9
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION—37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)	9
VI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	10
	A. GROUNDS 1A/2A: Claims 1-5, 9-14, and 18-20 are obvious over Hanggie in view of Anthony or Anthony in view of Hanggie	10
	1. Anthony	10
	2. Hanggie.....	14
	3. Combination of Anthony and Hanggie	22
	4. Combination of Hanggie and Anthony	30
	5. Manner in which Grounds 1A and 2A render obvious Claims 1-10 and 13-30.....	34
	B. GROUNDS 1B/2B – Claims 6-8 and 15-17 is obvious over HAC and Matthews.....	77
	1. Matthews	77
	2. Combination of Matthews with HAC	79
	3. Manner in which HAC and Matthews render claims 6-8 and 15-17 obvious	80
	C. GROUNDS 1C/2C – Claim 7 is obvious over HAC, Matthews, and Robertson	90
	1. Robertson.....	90
	2. Combination of Robertson with HAC-Matthews	91
	3. Manner in which HAC, Matthews, and Robertson render obvious claim 7	92
VII.	PTAB DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION.....	93
	A. 35 U.S.C. §325(d)— <i>Advanced Bionics</i>	93
	B. 35 U.S.C. §314(a)— <i>Fintiv</i>	94
	1. Factor 1: Petitioner Requested a Stay	95
	2. Factor 2: The Board’s Statutory Timeline is More Reliable Than the District Court’s	95

3.	Factor 3: Petitioner’s Diligence and Investment in IPR Favors Institution.....	96
4.	Factor 4: The Petition’s Grounds are Materially Different from Any That Might be Raised in Litigation	97
5.	Factor 5: Parties in Parallel Proceedings.....	97
6.	Factor 6: The Merits of this Petition Compel Institution	97
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	98
IX.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. §42.103.....	98
X.	MANDATORY NOTICES—37 C.F.R §42.8(a)(1)	99
A.	Real Party-In-Interest—37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1).....	99
B.	Related Matters—37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)	99
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel—37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3).....	99
B.	Service Information	100

EXHIBITS

- APPLE1001 U.S. Patent No. 9,696,868 to Ezra E. Bakhsh (“the ’868 Patent”)
- APPLE1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’868 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- APPLE1003 Declaration of Dr. Henry Fuchs
- APPLE1004 Complaint, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v Apple Inc.*, 6-22-cv-00149, (W.D. Tex.), Feb. 10, 2022
- APPLE1005 Apple Opening Claim Construction Brief, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v Apple Inc.*, 6-22-cv-00149, (W.D. Tex.), Sept. 1, 2022
- APPLE1006 US Patent Pub. No. 2005/0088447 (“Hanggie”)
- APPLE1007 US Patent Pub. No. 2005/0091596 (“Anthony”)
- APPLE1008 US Patent Pub. No. 2006/0107229 (“Matthews”)
- APPLE1009 US Patent No. 6,414,677 (“Robertson”)
- APPLE1010 Dictionary of Electrical & Computer Engineering, McGraw-Hill, 2004.
- APPLE1011 US Patent Pub. No. 2012/0053926 (“Satpute”)
- APPLE1012 US Patent No. 5,664,896 (“Blumberg”)
- APPLE1013 US Patent No. 7,536,676 (“Baker”)
- APPLE1014-APPLE1019 RESERVED
- APPLE1020 U.S. District Courts–Combined Civil and Criminal Federal Court Management Statistics (June 30, 2022) | United States Courts (uscourts.gov),

https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/fcms_na_distprofile_0630.2022_0.pdf (last visited December 9, 2022)

- APPLE1021 Memorandum, Interim Procedure for Discretionary Denials in AIA Post-Grant Proceedings with Parallel District Court Litigation (USPTO June 21, 2022) (“Interim Procedure”)
- APPLE1022 Order Cancelling *Markman* Hearing, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, 6-22-cv-00149, (W.D. Tex.)
- APPLE1023 Apple’s Opposed Motion to Stay Pending Transfer, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No.:6:22-cv-00149 (WDTX)
- APPLE1024 Scheduling Order, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No.:6:22-cv-00149 (WDTX)
- APPLE1025 Order Resetting *Markman* Hearing, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No.:6:22-cv-00149 (WDTX)
- APPLE1026 Stipulation by Apple, *SpaceTime3D, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, Case No.:6:22-cv-00149 (WDTX)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.