| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| BARGER-GREAT SOUTH VENTURES, LLC. | DATE FILED: | 9/8/2004 |
| PLAINTIFF(s) | CASE TYPE: | COMPLAINT |
| VS. | CASE SUBTYPE: | FRAUD |
| EQUILIBRIUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL. | DATE OF LAST ACTIVITY: | 5/7/2007 |
| DEFENDANT(s) | DATE/TIME RUN: | 06/07/2022 04:02 PM |
| REGISTER OF ACTIONS | CASE NUMBER: | CIV 043997 |
| INVOLVED PERSON/PARTY AND ATTORNEY SUMMARY: | | |

| INVOLVED PERSON/PARTY AND ATTORNEY SUMMARY: | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | AUTOMATED MEDIA PROCESSING SOLUTIONS, INC. is the PLAINTIFF and is represented by: THOMPSON, RODERICK M. | | |
| | SCENE7 INC., A CALIFORINA CORPORATION is the DEFENDANT and is represented by: BURTT, DAVID R. | | |
| | MACK, DOUGLAS is the DEFENDANT and is represented by: BURTT, DAVID R. | | |
| | SAMANIEGO, CHRIS is the DEFENDANT and is represented by: BURTT, DAVID R. | | |
| | BIGONESS, TIM is the DEFENDANT and is represented by: BURTT, DAVID R.; KOO, JONMI N. | | |
| | ROULSTEN, KIRK is the DEFENDANT and is represented by: BURTT, DAVID R. | | |
| | NOEL, PETER is the DEFENDANT and is represented by: BURTT, DAVID R. | | |
| REGISTER OF A | ACTIONS: | | |
| 09/08/2004 | CASE OPEN / ACTIVE STATUS HON. LYNN O'MALLEY TAYLOR | | |
| 09/08/2004 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: PLTF, BARGER-GREAT SOUTH VENTURES, LLC 293.00 | | |
| 09/08/2004 | COMPLAINT/FIRST PAPER COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD, DECEIT, FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, FORECLOSURE ON SECURITY, CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, AND CIVIL CONSPIRACY | | |
| 09/08/2004 | SUMMONS ISSUED | | |
| 09/08/2004 | HEARING CONFIRMED FOR: 11/17/2004 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 03 | | |
| 09/08/2004 | HEARING CONFIRMED FOR: 12/17/2004 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 03 | | |
| 09/08/2004 | HEARING CONFIRMED FOR: 01/26/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT IN DEPARTMENT: 03 | | |
| 11/17/2004 | HEARING CONTINUED TO: 01/26/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 03 FROM DATE: 11/17/2004 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH FROM DEPARTMENT: 03 | | |
| 11/17/2004 | APPEARANCE DROPPED FOR 12/17/2004 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 03 DROP REASON: AT THE REQUEST OF J. ERLICH 11-16-04. CASE MAY SETTLE | | |
| 12/28/2004 | CASE REASSIGNED TO JUDGE HON. VERNON F. SMITH | | |
| 12/28/2004 | APPEARANCE MOVED TO: 01/26/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 06 MOVE REASON: JUDICIAL REASSIGNMENT FROM DATE: 01/26/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH FROM DEPARTMENT: 03 | | |
| 12/28/2004 | APPEARANCE MOVED TO: 01/26/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT IN DEPARTMENT: 06 MOVE REASON: JUDICIAL REASSIGNMENT FROM DATE: 01/26/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT FROM DEPARTMENT: 03 | | |
| 12/29/2004 | NOTICE OF CASE REASSIGNMENT AND NOTICE OF HEARING MAILED TO THE PARTIES | | |
| 01/20/2005 | APPEARANCE MOVED TO: 04/21/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 06 MOVE REASON: PER EHRLICH'S LETTER OF 1/10/05 FROM DATE: 01/26/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 | | |
| 01/20/2005 | APPEARANCE MOVED TO: 04/21/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT IN DEPARTMENT: 06 MOVE REASON: PER EHRLICH'S LETTER OF 1/10/05 FROM DATE: 01/26/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 | | |
| 02/07/2005 | AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED (1ST) BY ATTY. EHRLICH FOR PLTF.; ADDING DEFENDANTS: CHRIS SAMANIEGO; TIM BIGONESS; NIGEL WARREN; KIRK ROULSTEN; PETER NOEL AND DOES | | |
| 02/22/2005 | SUMMONS ISSUED 1ST AMENDED COMPLAINT | | |
| 04/15/2005 | PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, SCENE7 INC., A CALIFORINA CORPORATION NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECIPT 03/31/05 | | |
| 04/15/2005 | PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, KIRK ROULSTEN NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT 03/31/05 | | |
| 04/15/2005 | PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, TIM BIGONESS NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT 03/31/05 | | |
| | | | |

Disclaimer: This Register of Actions is not an official court record. For an official and/or certified record, visitors must obtain it from the Court.

Page 1 of 12

| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| BARGER-GRE | EAT SOUTH VENTURES, LLC. | DATE FILED: | 9/8/2004 | |
| PLAINTIFF(s) VS. | | CASE TYPE: | COMPLAINT | |
| | | CASE SUBTYPE: | FRAUD | |
| EQUILIBRIUM | TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL. | DATE OF LAST ACTIVITY: | 5/7/2007 | |
| DEFEND | ANT(s) | DATE/TIME RUN: | 06/07/2022 04:02 PM | |
| | REGISTER OF ACTIONS | CASE NUMBER: | CIV 043997 | |
| 04/15/2005 PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, CHRIS SAMANIEGO NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF R | | | | |
| 04/13/2003 | 03/31/05 | OAMANIEGO NO NOE AND A | | |
| 04/15/2005 | PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, DOUG | PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, DOUGLAS MACK NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDMENT OF REEIPT 03/31/05 | | |
| 04/21/2005 | 2005 MINUTE ORDER POSTED | | | |
| | JUDGE/PROTEM/REFEREE VERNON SMITH , REPORTER TERESA THOMAS , DEP CLK LOUISE MORRIS | | | |
| | ATTORNEY JOSEPH EHRLICH APPEARED FOR F | PLAINTIFF | | |
| | NO APPEARANCE BY OR FOR THE DEFENDANTS | S | | |
| | COUNSEL REPORTS THAT ALL DEFENDANTS HAVE BEEN SERVED EXCEPT NIGEL WARREN. MEDIATION IS UNDERWAY. THE COURT CONTINUES THIS HEARING FOR FILING OF ALL PROOFS OF SERVICE, ANSWERS OR DISMISSALS TO 7/20/05 AT 9AM IN DEPT. F. | | | |
| | PLAINTIFF MUST PROVIDE NOTICE OF THIS ORDER TO DEFENDANTS | | | |
| | HEARING CONTINUED TO: 07/20/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 06 FROM DATE: 04/21/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 | | | |
| HEARING CONTINUED TO: 07/20/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT IN DEPARTMENT: 06 FROM DATE: 04/21/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 ENTERED BY: LMM | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 05/04/2005 | PROOF OF SERVICE FILED, AS TO: DEFT, PETER NOEL; BY NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT SIGNED ON 3-31-05 | | | |
| 05/13/2005 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: DEFT, SCENE7 INC., A CALIFORINA CORPORATION - 293.00 (1ST APPEARANCE) | | | |
| 05/13/2005 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: DEFT, DOUGLAS MACK - 293.00 (1ST APPEARANCE) | | | |
| 05/13/2005 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: DEFT, CHRIS SAMANIEGO - 293.00 (1ST APPEARANCE) | | | |
| 05/13/2005 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: DEFT, TIM BIGONESS - 293.00 (1ST APPEARANCE) | | | |
| 05/13/2005 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: DEFT, KIRK ROULSTEN - 293.00 (1ST APPEARANCE) | | | |
| 05/13/2005 | FILING FEE PROCESSED: DEFT, PETER NOEL - 293.00 (1ST APPEARANCE) | | | |
| 06/15/2005 | AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED (2ND) AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST SCENE7, DOUGLAS MACK, CHRIS SAMANIEGO, TIM BIGONESS, NIGEL WARREN, KIRK ROULSTEN, PETER NOEL FILED BY EHRLICH FOR PLTF. | | | |
| 07/18/2005 | HEARING CONFIRMED FOR: 09/07/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: LMCV IN DEPARTMENT: 06 | | | |
| 07/20/2005 | MINUTE ORDER POSTED | | | |
| | JUDGE/PROTEM/REFEREE VERNON SMITH , REPORTER ELAINE NINKOVICH , DEP CLK LOUISE MORRIS | | | |
| | COURT CALL APPEARANCE BY JOSEPH EHRLICH FOR PLAINTIFF | | | |
| COURT CALL APPEARANCE BY DAVID BURTT FOR ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT EQUILBRIUM TECHNOLOGIES | | T EQUILBRIUM TECHNOLOGIES | | |
| | COUNSEL'S REQUEST TO REQUEST A 90 DAY C DEFENDANT NIGEL WARREN WILL BE DISMISSE TECHNOLOGIES WILL BE DISMISSED. THE COU RE; ANSWER TRAILS THE DEMURRER HEARING DEPT.F | D. PER COUNSEL BURTT INE RT CONTINUES OSC RE: PRC | DICATES THAT EQUILIBRIUM DOF OF SERVICE TO 9/7/05. THE OSC | |
| | HEARING CONTINUED TO: 09/07/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT IN DEPARTMENT: 06 FR DATE: 07/20/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 | | | |
| | HEARING CONTINUED TO: 09/07/2005 AT: 09:00 A DATE: 07/20/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM A | | | |
| 00/26/2005 | ENTERED BY: LMM | | | |
| 08/26/2005 | APPEARANCE MOVED TO: 10/12/2005 AT: 09:00 A REASON: STIPULATION SIGNED 8/26/05 FROM D LMCV FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 | | | |

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| BARGER-GRI | EAT SOUTH VENTURES, LLC. | DATE FILED: | 9/8/2004 | |
| PLAINTI | FF(s) | CASE TYPE: | COMPLAINT | |
| VS. | | CASE SUBTYPE: | FRAUD | |
| EQUILIBRIUM | 1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL. | DATE OF LAST ACTIVITY: | 5/7/2007 | |
| DEFEND | ANT(s) | DATE/TIME RUN: | 06/07/2022 04:02 PM | |
| | REGISTER OF ACTIONS | CASE NUMBER: | CIV 043997 | |
| 09/07/2005 | PARTIAL DISMISSAL AS TO: DEFT, NIGEL WARR | I EN WITH PREJUDICE | | |
| 09/07/2005 | | | | |
| JUDGE/PROTEM/REFEREE VERNON F. SMITH , REPORTER ELAINE NINKOVICH , DEP CLK TERESA RA | | I, DEP CLK TERESA RAMIREZ | | |
| | ATTORNEY JOSEPH EHRLICH APPEARED FOR PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY DAVID ONGARO APPEARED FOR DEFENDANT'S | | | |
| | | | | |
| | COUNSEL STATES DEFENDANT WARREN IS DIS | MISSED. | | |
| | THE LAW AND MOTION MATTER IS SET FOR 10/1 | 2/05. | | |
| | CASE MANAGEMENT CONT. TO 10/12/05 @ 9:00A | M. | | |
| HEARING CONTINUED TO: 10/12/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYP DATE: 09/07/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: CMGT | | | | |
| | HEARING CONTINUED TO: 10/12/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 06 FRO DATE: 09/07/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH FROM DEPARTMENT: 06 | | | |
| | NO ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS ARE NEEDED. | | | |
| | ENTERED BY: T. RAMIREZ | | | |
| 10/12/2005 | MINUTE ORDER POSTED | | | |
| JUDGE/PROTEM/REFEREE VERNON F. SMITH , REPORTER ELAINE NINKOVICH , DEP CLK TERESA RAM | | | I , DEP CLK TERESA RAMIREZ | |
| ATTORNEY DAVID ONGRO APPEARED FOR DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY JOSEPH BRUBAKER-APPEARED LATE APPEARED FOR PLAINTIFF PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL CAME LATE- MATTER REHEARD. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | SANCTIONS PREVIOUSLEY ORDERED TODAY IS STRICKEN. | | | |
| CASE MANAGEMENT CONT. TO 12/01/05 @ 9:00AM. | | | | |
| | MR. ONGRO MUST PROVIDE NOTICE OF THIS ORDER TO ALL PARTIES | | | |
| HEARING CONTINUED TO: 12/01/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE T DATE: 10/12/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: CMG | | | | |
| ENTERED BY: T. RAMIREZ 10/12/2005 MINUTE ORDER POSTED JUDGE/PROTEM/REFEREE VERNON F. SMITH , REPORTER ELAINE NINKOVICH (NOT REPORTED) , D TERESA RAMIREZ | | | | |
| | | I (NOT REPORTED) , DEP CLK | | |
| | NO APPEARANCE BY OR FOR THE PARTIES | | | |
| | THE COURT MAKES THE FOLLOWING TENTATIVE RULING AS FOLLOWS: | | | |
| | DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE SECOND AM PART. INITIALLY, THE COURT FINDS THAT PLAIR EQUILIBRIUM INDIVIDUALS, PLAINTIFF ALLEGES AS THEIR ALTER EGO" AND "IGNORED THE COR NOT FACTS. THE ONLY FACTUAL ALLEGATION I UNDERCAPITALIZATION OF EQUILIBRIUM, AND T ASSETS OF EQUILIBRIUM TO THEIR OWN USES. EQUILIBRIUM BECAME UNDERCAPITALIZED AND SCENE7. THIS IS NOT A SITUATION WHERE THE OWN. EVEN IF IT WERE, SEVERAL FACTORS MU AN ALTER EGO OF THE INDIVIDUALS. (SEE UNIT 342-343, CONCURRING OPINION.) | NTIFF'S ALTER EGO ALLEGATH IN CONCLUSORY FASHION TH PORATE FORM OF EQUILIBRIU S THAT "THEIR ACTIONS RESU THE DIVERSION OF EQUILIBRIU " HOWEVER, PLAINITFF'S ALL D LOST ASSETS AS A RESULT E INDIVIDUALS TREATED THE A JST BE PRESENT IN ORDER TO | ONS ARE DEFICIENT. AS TO THE HAT THEY "TREATED EQUILIBRIUM JM." THESE ARE CONCLUSIONS, JLTED IN AN UM TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE EGATIONS SHOW THAT OF THE TRANSFER OF ASSETS TO ASSETS OF EQUILIBRIUM AS THEIR D FIND THAT THE CORPORATION IS | |

| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| BARGER-GREAT SOUTH VENTURES, LLC. | DATE FILED: | 9/8/2004 | |
| PLAINTIFF(s) | CASE TYPE: | COMPLAINT | |
| VS. | CASE SUBTYPE: | FRAUD | |
| EQUILIBRIUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL. | DATE OF LAST ACTIVITY: | 5/7/2007 | |
| DEFENDANT(s) | DATE/TIME RUN: | 06/07/2022 04:02 PM | |
| REGISTER OF ACTIONS | CASE NUMBER: | CIV 043997 | |
| PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGATIONS ARE SIMILARLY DEFICIENT AS TO SCENE7 AND THE INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH | | | |
| IT. PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGATIONS THAT THEY "TREATED EQUILIBRIUM AS SCENE7'S ALTER EGO" AND "IGNORED | | | |
| THE CORPORATION FORM OF EQUILIBRIUM" ARE CONCLUSIONS. FURTHER THE FACT THAT EQUILIBRIUM'S | | | |
| ASSETS WERE TRANSFERRED TO SCENE7 DOES NOT SHOW THAT THERE WAS "SUCH UNITY OF INTEREST AND | | | |

ASSETS WERE TRANSFERRED TO SCENE? DOES NOT SHOW THAT THERE WAS "SUCH UNITY OF INTEREST AND OWNERSHIP THAT THE SEPARATE PERSONALITIES OF THE CORPORATION(S) NO LONGER EXIST(ED)." (IBID.) DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD IS SUSTAINED. PLAINTIFF FAILS TO ALLEGE THAT DEFENDANTS CONSPIRED WITH CMGI OR EQUILIBRIUM, THE PARTIES WHO ALLEGEDLY MADE THE REPRESENTATIONS, TO DEFRAUD PLAINTIFF. INSTEAD, PLAINTIFF ALLEGES THAT DEFENDANTS "CONSPIRED AND AGREED WITH MONKS AND OTHERS AT EQUILIBRIUM TO EFFECTIVELY TRANSFER ALL OF EQUILIBRIUM'S ASSETS TO SCENE 7..." ALTHOUGH PLAINTIFF ALLEGES THAT THE REPRESENTATIONS WERE MADE IN ORDER TO PRODUCE THE DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S LAWSUIT AGAINST CMGI, THE EARLIER ALLEGATIONS SHOW THAT IT WAS BARGER WHO DISMISSED HIS LAWSUIT (E.G., RELIED). BARGER IS NOT THE PLAINTIFF.

PLAINTIFF MUST SHOW THAT CMGI AND EQUILIBRIUM INTENDED TO DECEIVE PLAINTIFF AND THAT PLAINTIFF RELIED UPON THE REPRESENTATIONS. FINALLY, PLAINTIFF FAILS TO ALLEGE WHO MADE THE REPRESENTATIONS. (SEE TARMANN V. STATE FARM MUT. AUTO. INS. CO. (1991) 2 CAL. APP.4TH 153, 157.)

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT IS SUSTAINED AS FOLLOWS: A) PROMISSORY NOTE AND NON-DILUTABLE STOCK WARRANTS (56): EVEN IF THE COURT ASSUMES THAT THE EQUILIBRIUM INDIVIDUALS KNEW OF THESE AGREEMENTS BY VIRTUE OF THEIR STATUS AS OFFICERS AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PLAINTIFF ALLEGES NO FACTS SHOWING THAT SCENE7 AND THE INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH IT HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE STOCK WARRANTS. FURTHER, PLAINTIFF ALLEGES NO FACTS SHOWING THAT ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS HAD KNOWLEDGE THAT CMGI ASSIGNED THE PROMISSORY NOTE AND NON-DILUTABLE STOCK WARRANTS TO PLAINTIFF. B) TRANSACTION AGREEMENT AND OTHER SECURITY DOCUMENTS (57):

AGAIN, EVEN IF THE COURT ASSUMES THAT THE EQUILIBRIUM INDIVIDUALS KNEW OF THESE AGREEMENTS, PLAINTIFF FAILS TO SHOW THAT SCENE7 AND THE INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH IT HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THESE AGREEMENTS OR THAT ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS HAD KNOWLEDGE THAT THESE AGREEMENTS WERE ASSIGNED BY CMGI TO PLAINTIFF. ADDITIONALLY, THERE ARE NO FACTS SHOWING HOW DEFENDANTS DISRUPTED THESE CONTRACTS. FINALLY, THERE ARE NO FACTS SHOWING HOW PLAINTIFF WAS DAMAGED AS A RESULT OF THESE BREACHES.

C) EMPLOYEE AGREEMENTS (58): THERE ARE NO FACTS SHOWING THAT SCENE7 OR THE INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH IT HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THESE AGREEMENTS. AS TO THE INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH EQUILIBRIUM, IT IS NOT CLEAR WHETHER PLAINTIFF IS REFERRING TO THEIR CONTRACTS WITH EQUILIBRIUM OR THE CONTRACTS OF OTHER UNIDENTIFIED EMPLOYEES WHO WENT TO WORK FOR SCENE7. IN EITHER EVENT, THE CAUSE OF ACTION IS IMPROPER."...(A) PARTY TO A CONTRACT OWES NO TORT DUTY TO REFRAIN FROM INTERFERENCE WITH ITS PERFORMANCE..." (APPLIED EQUIPMENT CORP. V. LITTON SAUDI ARABIA, LTD. (1994) 7CAL. 4TH 503, 514.)"...(I)T IS WELL ESTABLISHED THAT CORPORATE AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES ACTING FOR AND ON BEHALF OF A CORPORATION CANNOT BE HELD LIABLE FOR INDUCING A BREATH OF THE CORPORATION'S CONTRACT.'..." (REYNOLDS V. BEMENT (2005) 36 CAL. 4TH 1075, 1087.) AS TO ALL OF THE DEFENDANTS, PLAINTIFF HAS NOT ALLEGED THAT DEFENDANTS INTENTIONALLY INTERFERED WITH THESE CONTRACTS AND THERE ARE NO FACTS SHOWING HOW EQUILIBRIUM WAS INJURED BY THE INTERFERENCE.

D) CUSTOMER MAINTENANCE AGREEMENTS (59): THERE ARE NO FACTS SHOWING THAT ANY OF THE DEFENDANTS HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THESE AGREEMENTS. PLAINTIFF DOES NOT ALLEGE THAT DEFENDANTS INTENTED TO INTERFERE WITH THE CONTRACTS. PLAINITFF HAS NOT CLEARLY ALLEGED HOW EQUILIBRIUM WAS DAMAGED BY THE INTERFERENCE. BECAUSE PLAINTIFF HAS IDENTIFIED THE NATURE OF THE CONTRACTS, IT DOES NOT NEED TO IDENTIFY EVERY CUSTOMER. (SEE ACCUIMAGE DIAGNOSTICS CORP. V. TERARECON, INC. (N.D.CAL. 2003) 260 F. SUPP. 2D 941,956.)

DOCKET

| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| BARGER-GREAT SOUTH VENTURES, LLC. | DATE FILED: | 9/8/2004 | |
| PLAINTIFF(s) | CASE TYPE: | COMPLAINT | |
| VS. | CASE SUBTYPE: | FRAUD | |
| EQUILIBRIUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL. | DATE OF LAST ACTIVITY: | 5/7/2007 | |
| DEFENDANT(s) | DATE/TIME RUN: | 06/07/2022 04:02 PM | |
| REGISTER OF ACTIONS | CASE NUMBER: | CIV 043997 | |
| DEFENDANTS DEMUDDED TO THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DREADL OF THE SOLVENANT OF SOOR FAITH | | | |

AUDEDIAD COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING IS SUSTAINED AS TO THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS AND OVERRULED AS TO SCENE7. AS TO THE INDIVIDUALS, THOSE WHO ARE NOT PARTIES TO THE AGREEMENT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO AN IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING. (GRUENBERG V. AETNA INS. CO. (1973) 9 CAL. 3D 566, 576.) AS TO SCENE7, DEFENDANTS DO NOT ARGUE THAT PLAINTIFF HAS NOT STATED A CAUSE OF ACTION BASED UPON THE SCENE7-EQUILIBRIUM LICENSE AGREEMENT AND EXCLUSIVITY AGREEMENT. "ORDINARILY, A GENERAL DEMURRER DOES NOT LIE AS TO A PORTION OF A CAUSE OF ACTION, AND IF ANY PART OF A CAUSE OF ACTION IS PROPERLY PLEADED, THE DEMURRER WILL BE OVERRULED." (FIRE INS. EXCHANGE V. SUPERIOR COURT (2004) 116 CAL. APP. 4TH 446, 452.)

NO OPPOSITION AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONVERSION-MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS IS SUSTAINED.

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF CORPORATE OPPORTUNITIES IS SUSTAINED. PLAINTIFF ARGUES THAT EQUILIBRIUM OWES PLAINTIFF A FIDUCIARY DUTY (SEE OPPOSING BRIEF AT 9:1-16), BUT THE CAUSE OF ACTION IS BASED UPON WRONGS COMMITTED AGAINST EQUILIBRIUM.

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY TO MISAPPROPRIATE CORPORATE OPPORTUNITIES IS SUSTAINED. ALTHOUGH THE COMPLAINT CONTAINS A GENERIC BOILERPLATE AGENCY ALLEGATION, THE FACTS DO NOT SUPPORT A CONCLUSION THAT SCENE7, MACK AND NOEL WERE ACTING AS AGENTS OF MONKS WHEN MONKS BREACHED HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY TO EQUILIBRIUM, (SEE EVEREST INVESTORS 8 V. WHITEHALL REAL ESTATE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP XI (2002) 100 CAL. APP.4TH 1102, 1104.) EVEN IF THE COURT WERE TO ACCEPT THE GENERAL AGENCY ALLEGATION, PLAINTIFF HAS ALLEGED NO FACTS SHOWING THAT SCENE7, MACK AND NOEL WERE ACTING FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT IN ORDER TO AVOID THE AGENT'S IMMUNITY RULE. (IBID.)

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE BUSINESS ADVANTAGE IS SUSTAINED. PLAINTIFF ALLEGES NO FACTS SHOWING THAT ANY RELATIONSHIPS WERE DISRUPTED. DEFENDANTS' REMAINING ARGUMENTS HAVE NO MERIT. AMID V. HAWTHORNE COMMUNITY MEDICAL GROUP, INC. (1989) 212 CAL. APP.3D 1383 DOES NOT STAND FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT PLAINTIFF IS REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY EVERY CUSTOMER. THE FACTS SHOW THAT SCENE7, MACK AND NOEL INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EQUILIBRIUM AND ITS CUSTOMERS. (SEE 31)

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATION OF STATUTORY DUTY/NEGLIGENCE PER SE/PRIMA FACIE TORT IS OVERRULED. DEFENDANTS AGREE PLAINTIFF HAS PROPERLY ALLEGED NEGLIGENCE PERE SE. "IF THE COMPLAINT STATES A CAUSE OF ACTION UNDER ANY THEORY, REGARDLESS OF THE TITLE UNDER WHICH THE FACTUAL BASIS FOR RELIEF IS STATED, THAT ASPECT OF THE COMPLAINT IS GOOD AGAINST A DEMURRER..." (QUELIMANE CO. V. STEWART TITLE GUARANTY CO. (1998) 19 CAL 4TH 26, 38.)

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR LIBEL IS OVERRULED. PLAINITFF ALLEGES THAT "DEFENDANTS" PUBLISHED THE PRESS RELEASE. THE FACT THAT PARAGRAPH 26 IDENTIFIES THE PRESS RELEASE AS "SCENE7('S)...PRESS RELEASE" DOES NOT MEAN THAT IT WAS NOT PUBLISHED BY "DEFENDANTS," THE CAUSE OF ACTION IS NOT UNCERTAIN SINCE DEFENDANTS CAN EASILY ADMIT OR DENY THE ALLEGATIONS, (WEIL AND BROWN, CAL. PRACTICE GUIDE: CIVIL PROCEDURE BEFORE TRIAL (TRG 2005) 7:85.)

DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO THE THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY IS OVERRULED. ALTHOUGH IT IS IN CETAIN RESPECTS DUPLICATIVE OF OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION OR IS RENDERED MERITLESS BY VIRTUE OF THE COURT'S ORDER SUSTAINING DEMURRERS TO THE UNDERLYING CAUSES OF ACTION, THE CAUSE OF ACTION IS NOT DUPLICATIVE TO THE EXTENT IT ALLEGES CONSPIRACY TO CONVERT. AS NOTED EARLIER, "(O)RDINARILY, A GENERAL DEMURRER DOES NOT LIE AS TO A PORTION OF A CAUSE OF ACTION, AND IF ANY PART OF A CAUSE OF ACTION IS PROPERLY PLEADED, THE DEMURRER WILL BE OVERRULED." (FIRE INS. EXCHANGE, SUPRA, 116 CAL. APP.4TH AT 452.)

THE MATTER IS NOT HEARD OR REPORTED. THE TENTATIVE RULING IS FINAL.

ENTERED BY: T. RAMIREZ

HEARING CONTINUED TO: 12/01/2005 AT: 09:00 AM FOR APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH IN DEPARTMENT: 06 FROM DATE: 10/12/2005 FROM TIME: 09:00 AM FROM APPEARANCE TYPE: OSCH FROM DEPARTMENT: 06

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT HON. VERNON F. SMITH

10/21/2005

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.