

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

EQUIL IP HOLDINGS LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00330

U.S. Patent No. 8,495,242

**DECLARATION OF JONATHAN BRADFORD
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,495,242**

1. I, Jonathan Bradford, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746: I am a Senior IP Paralegal at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.
2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified *Inter Partes* Review proceeding that is before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”). Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based on my personal knowledge.
3. Ex. 1001 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Pat. No. 8,495,242 (“242”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
4. Ex. 1002 is a true and correct copy of File History of U.S. Application No. 12/713,637 (“242 FH”), which led to U.S. Patent No. 8,495,242, which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
5. Ex. 1004 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,421,733 (“Tso”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at

the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

6. Ex. 1005 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,438,576 (“Huang”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

7. Ex. 1007 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0078093 (“Samaniego”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

8. Ex. 1008 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,420,967 (“Delp”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

9. Ex. 1009 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,969,716 (“Davis”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at

the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

10. Ex. 1010 is a true and correct copy of File History of U.S. Application No. 11/269,916 (“916 FH), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

11. Ex. 1011 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,964,009 (“009”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

12. Ex. 1012 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,792,575 (“575”), which was obtained from the USPTO’s Patent Center system. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

13. Ex. 1013 is a true and correct copy of Change-Pro Redline Samaniego vs. ’242 patent, which was obtained at my direction. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right

corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

14. Ex. 1014 is a true and correct copy of Lorelee Stevens, Internet Video Startup Gets \$3.5 Million, N. BAY BUS. J. (Apr. 14, 2008), available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20080421191837/www.northbaybusinessjournal.com/article/20080414/BUSINESSJOURNAL/19773530/1207/BUSINESSJOURNAL02>, which was obtained at my direction. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

15. Ex. 1015 is a true and correct copy of LinkedIn Profile for Chris Samaniego, available at <https://www.linkedin.com/in/chris-samaniego-18b6a01>, which was obtained at my direction. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

16. Ex. 1016 is a true and correct copy of Equil IP Holdings LLC v. Akamai Technologies, Inc., 1:22-cv-00677 (D. Del. 2022) First Amended complaint (Aug. 3, 2022), which was obtained at my direction. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.