IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TQ DELTA, LLC,		
	Plaintiff,	
٧.		C.A. No. 13-cv-1835-RGA
2WIRE, INC.,		
	Defendant.	

Jury Verdict

I. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,836,381 (THE '381 PATENT)

1. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed corrected claim 5 of the '381 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5031NV, 5168NV, 5168N, and 5268AC (BCM6368/BCM63168 chipsets)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes	/	No

II. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,844,882 (THE '882 PATENT)

2. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed corrected claim 13 of the '882 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5031NV, 5168NV, 5168N, and 5268AC (BCM6368/BCM63168 chipsets)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes	/	No	

3. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed corrected claim 13 of the '882 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. i3812V and 3801HGV (BCM6091 chipset)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes V	No	

III. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,276,048 (THE '048 PATENT)

4. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed claim 1 of the '048 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5031NV, 5168NV, 5168N, and 5268AC (BCM6368/BCM63168 chipsets)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes _ _ _ No ____

5. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed claim 1 of the '048 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. i3812V and 3801HGV (BCM6091 chipset)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes _ ✓ No _ ___

IV. OBVIOUSNESS

- 6. Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 5 of the '381 patent is invalid as obvious in view of:
 - a. The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.



No 🗸

b.	The disclosure of LB-031 in combination with the disclosure of Mazzoni?
	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.
	Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.
	Yes No _ <u> </u>
	2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 13 of the '882 at is invalid as obvious in view of:
a.	The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in

The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in
the art?
Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.
Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.

Yes	No _

7.

b. The disclosure of LB-031 in combination with the disclosure of Mazzoni?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.

Yes _____ No _____

- 8. Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 1 of the '048 patent is invalid as obvious in view of?
 - a. The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.

Yes _____ No ____

b. The disclosure of LB-031 in combination with the disclosure of Mazzoni?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.

Yes _____ No ____

V. VALIDITY OF THE CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION

9. Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that the error in claim 5 of the '381 patent was not clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art, or that the correction of that error would not have been clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.

Yes ____ No ___

10. Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that the error in claim 13 of the '882 patent was not clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art, or that the correction of that error would not have been clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.

Yes_____ No _____