## **A** Coded and Shaped Discrete Multitone System

T. Nicholas Zogakis, *Member, IEEE,* James T. Aslanis **Jr.,** *Member, IEEE,*  and John **M.** Cioffi, *Senior Member, IEEE* 

Abstract-In this paper, we show how coding and constella**tion shaping may provide significant gains to a discrete multitone (DMT) system transmitting over spectrally-shaped channels. First, we present and analyze a concatenated coding scheme consisting of an inner trellis code and outer block code when applied to DMT modulation, and we address some of the implementation issues associated with this scheme. Some laboratory test results for a DMT prototype employing the coding scheme are presented. Next, we propose a method for applying Forney's trellis shaper across the tones in a DMT system to realize significant shaping gain. To illustrate the coding and shaping gains achieved, we use scenarios indicative of the newly introduced asymmetric digital subscriber line service. By combining a powerful coding scheme, shaping, and DMT modulation, we arrive at an implementable transceiver that can provide very high data rates over spectrallyshaped channels.** 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**THE** transmission of high-speed data over spectrally-shaped channels can be achieved by a sophisticated combined modulation and equalization technique. One approach that has been found well suited for a number of new applications is the use of multicarrier modulation, and we focus upon a particular form of multicarrier modulation known as discrete multitone (DMT) modulation [1]. With this approach, the channel is divided into a number of independent subchannels in the frequency domain, and bits and power are allocated among the subchannels to maximize throughput. The primary advantage of the multicarrier approach is that the problem of bandwidth optimization is greatly simplified, thus allowing very high data rates to be achieved with reasonable implementation complexity.

Given a DMT transceiver with the capability of performing bandwidth optimization, we address the problem of the application of coding and shaping to the system. First, we present a flexible, implementable, bandwidth-efficient coding scheme consisting of an outer block code and inner trellis code operating across the subchannels, and we analyze the performance of this scheme for a number of realistic scenarios. Implementation issues specific to DMT modulation

Paper approved by E. Eleftheriou, the Editor for Equalization and Coding of the **IEEE** Communications Society. Manuscript received August 9, 1994; revised February 27, 1995.

J. M. Cioffi is with the Information Systems Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305 USA (e-mail: cioffi@shannon. stanford. edu).

IEEE Log Number 9415929.

**DOCKE** 

are addressed, and some laboratory test results are presented. Next, we show how trellis shaping may be applied across the tones in a DMT system to obtain significant shaping gain. By combining the proposed coding and shaping schemes, *real* (as opposed to asymptotic) coding plus shaping gains exceeding 6.0 dB at a bit error rate (BER) of  $10^{-7}$  and 7.0 dB at a BER of  $10^{-9}$  are achieved in the DMT system. To illustrate various results, we use examples from the asymmetric digital subscriber line (ADSL), a service proposed for providing a downstream data rate ranging from 1.544 Mbps to 6.4+ Mbps from the telephone company's central office to the customer, along with a lower-speed return channel over existing copper twisted pair *[2].* 

In Section 11, we review the concept of DMT modulation and establish the baseline DMT system to which we will apply both coding and shaping. In Section 111, we show how coding is applied to the system and analyze the coding gains possible with the proposed scheme. Next, in Section IV, we address the problem of the application of shaping to DMT modulation, and we evaluate the shaping gains realized for the same scenarios used in the coding gain analysis of Section 111. Finally, we summarize our results in Section V and conclude with the presentation of a practical multicarrier encoder structure.

#### 11. BASELINE DMT SYSTEM

**A** simplified block diagram of the basic DMT transmitter is presented in [Fig. 1](#page-1-0) [3]. At the input to the system, the bit stream is partitioned into blocks of size  $b = RT$  bits, where *R* is the uncoded bit rate, *T* is the DMT symbol period, and *<sup>b</sup>* is the number of bits contained in one DMT symbol. The bits collected during the mth symbol interval are allocated among  $\overline{N}$  subchannels or tones in a manner determined during system initialization, with rnore bits given to those subchannels with higher signal-to-noise ratios (SNR's). Depending upon the data rate and SNR function, some of the channels may not be assigned any bits. We let  $b_i$  denote the number of bits assigned to the *i*th tone, so that  $b = \sum b_i$ . On subchannel *i*, the  $b_i$  bits, represented by the constellation label  $d_{i,m}$ , are mapped to a complex constellation point  $X_{i,m} = f[d_{i,m}]$  in a constellation of size  $2^{b_i}$ , where  $f[\cdot]$  denotes the mapping operation. Next, a block of real time-domain samples,  ${x_{i,m}}$ , is formed by performing a length  $N = 2\overline{N}$  Inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) on the complex symbols  $\{X_{i,m}, i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ , where  $X_{0,m} = 0$  and  $\{X_{i,m} = X^*_{N-i,m}, i = \bar{N} + 1, \bar{N} + \}$  $2, \dots, N-1$ . A cyclic prefix consisting of the last  $\nu$  samples of the data block  ${x_{i,m}}$  is added to the beginning of the block to form the signal transmitted over the channel. The receiver

0090-6778/95\$04.00 *0* 1995 IEEE

N. Zogakis was with the Information Systems Laboratory, Stanford University, Standford, CA 94305 USA. He is now with Amati Communications Corporation, Mountam View, CA 94040 USA (e-mail: zogakis@isl.stanford.edu).

**J. T.** Aslanis **Jr. is** with Amati Communications Corporation, **Mountaih**  View, CA 94040 USA (e-mail: redlands@isl. stanford. edu).

2942 IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 43, NO. 12, DECEMBER 1995

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Uncoded/unshaped DMT transmitter.

corresponding to the transmitter illustrated in Fig. I merely consists of the inverse operations.

In this paper, we assume that  $\bar{N}$  and  $\nu$  are chosen sufficiently large so that the tones are well approximated as independent and memoryless subchannels.<sup>1</sup> Under these conditions, the complex point  $Y_{i,m}$  obtained at the output of the *i*th subchannel is given by  $Y_{i,m} = H_i X_{i,m} + N_{i,m}$ , where  $H_i$ represents the complex gain of the subchannel and  $N_{i,m}$  is a sample of a complex Gaussian noise process. Hence, the key to analyzing the uncoded and unshaped DMT system is to note that each of the  $\overline{N}$  subchannels may be considered as supporting a low-speed, memoryless, quadrature amplitude modulated (QAM) signal [4]. We let  $P_i = E\{|X_{i,m}|^2\}$  denote the two-dimensional (2-D) symbol power allocated to the ith subchannel and  $2\sigma_i^2 = E\{|N_{i,m}|^2\}$  the 2-D noise variance. With these definitions, the output *SNR* for the *ith* tone is given by  $\text{snr}_i = \frac{P_i |H_i|^2}{2\sigma^2}$ .

A useful parameter for analyzing the DMT system's performance is the SNR gap, **I',** which represents the distance between the performance of a QAM signaling scheme and capacity over a memoryless channel 171, 181. Using the *SNR*  gap approximation, we can relate  $b_i$  to  $\text{snr}_i$  by the expression  $b_i = \log_2(1 + \frac{\text{snr}_i}{\Gamma(\mathcal{C},P_{2-D})})$ , where the SNR gap,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{C},P_{2-D})$ , is a function of the coding scheme  $C$  and 2-D error rate *Pz-D.* In formulating this relationship, we are assuming that the DMT system is designed to provide **equal** error rate on each subchannel.

For an uncoded DMT system operating at a 2-D error rate of  $P_{2-D}$ , the SNR gap may be written as  $\Gamma_{dB}(\mathcal{C},P_{2-D}) =$ inal gap (in dB) required to meet the error rate and  $\gamma_{m,\text{dB}}$  is any required system margin [4]. Using wellknown QAM relationships, we can show that  $P_{2-D}$  =  $4Q(\sqrt{3\Gamma_0(P_{2-D})})$ , where  $Q(\cdot)$  is the Gaussian probability of error function. When a coding scheme with gain  $\gamma_{c,dB}(\mathcal{C},P_{2-D})$  and shaping scheme with gain  $\gamma_{s,dB}$  are applied, the SNR gap is reduced to  $\Gamma_{dB}(\mathcal{C}, P_{2-D})$  =  $P_{2-D} = 4Q(\sqrt{3\Gamma(\mathcal{C}, P_{2-D})\gamma_c(\mathcal{C}, P_{2-D})\gamma_s/\gamma_m})$  [4], [8]. In the remainder of this paper, we present and analyze methods for applying coding and shaping to DMT modulation to achieve large  $\gamma_{c, \text{dB}}$  (*C*, *P*<sub>2-D</sub>) and  $\gamma_{s, \text{dB}}$  over spectrally-shaped channels.  $\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(P_{2-D}) + \gamma_{m,\text{dB}}$ , where  $\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(P_{2-D})$  is the nomapplied, the SNK gap is reduced to  $T_{dB}(c, P_{2-D}) = T_{0,\text{dB}}(P_{2-D}) + \gamma_{m,\text{dB}} - \gamma_{c,\text{dB}}(C,P_{2-D}) - \gamma_{s,\text{dB}}$  and thus

<sup>1</sup> See [1], [4] for a discussion of the choice of  $\overline{N}$  and [5], [6] for methods to reduce the length of *v.* 



Bit<br>Deallocatio<br>(n/k)b bit Trellis  $RS(n,k)$ Deinterleaver Y<sub>N</sub>

Fig. **3.** Coded DMT receiver.

III. APPLICATION OF CODING

#### *A. Coded DMT System Model*

The coding scheme that we apply to the DMT system should have large coding gain, reasonable implementation complexity, flexibility (adaptable to data rate), and some measure of burst immunity. **A** suitable scheme for achieving all these goals is a concatenated coding scheme consisting of an inner trellis code operating *across* the tones as suggested in [9]-[11] and an outer block code with variable outer code parameters. The parameters of the outer code are determined according to the desired data rate and even may be determined on a channel by channel basis, if necessary. Figs. 2 and 3 illustrate how the proposed coding scheme is incorporated in the DMT system, where only those portions of the DMT transceiver involving the encoding of bits into complex symbols and the decoding ' of complex symbols into bits are depicted.

The binary data stream at the input to the system is first encoded by an outer, interleaved Reed-Solomon (RS) code with code length *n* and information length *k.* In traditional applications, block codes result in an increase in the symbol rate (i.e., increase in bandwidth) to maintain the same information rate, but for DMT modulation, the redundancy associated with the block code is absorbed by increasing the number of bits contained in each DMT symbol by a factor of *n/k.* As a result, the bit allocation algorithm makes the best tradeoff between bandwidth expansion, where a greater fraction of the *N* tones are used for transmission, and signal set expansion, where the sizes of the constellations supported by a subset of the used tones are increased, in distributing the additional bits.

In contrast to the block encoder, the inner trellis encoder in Fig. 2 operates on the bits at the output of the bit allocation unit, producing a set of complex symbols that serves as the input to the IFFT block. The only change from a basic trellis encoder as defined in [12] is that the signal selector component must select points from different size constellations as the encoder operates across the tones; the convolutional encoder and coset selector remain the same. As a result of the redundancy of the trellis code, the number of bits on the ith subchannel is increased to  $b_i + \bar{r}$ , where  $\bar{r}$  is the normalized redundancy of the code in bits per 2-D symbol.

Find authenticated [court documents without watermarks](https://www.docketalarm.com/) at **docketalarm.com**.

ZOGAKIS *et al.:* A CODED AND SHAPED DISCRETE MULTITONE SYSTEM 2943

In the receiver, depicted in Fig. *3,* a single Viterbi decoder operates across the subchannels on the noisy constellation points at the output of the FFT, which is not pictured. In using the Viterbi decoder across the subchannels, we are implicitly exploiting the important fact that the subchannels are independent and memoryless in the DMT system. Hence, the gain obtained from applying the trellis code will essentially be the same as that obtained in an intersymbol interference-free environment.

#### *B. Theoretical Pegormance*

To determine the theoretical coding gain afforded by the codes when applied to the DMT system, we consider the components of the overall gain individually. During the course of the analysis, it will be convenient to work with 2-D symbol error rates, bit error rates, and RS symbol error rates, depending upon what part of the system is being considered. For simplicity, we assume that these quantities are related by constant factors, and we use the 2-D error rate as a common basis. In particular, 2-D error rates are converted to bit error rates by multiplying by one-half. Similarly, 2-D error rates are converted to **RS** symbol error rates by multiplying by a constant  $c$ , where  $c$  represents the average number of tones contributing bits to each **RS** symbol.

With  $P_{\text{bit}}$  denoting the required bit error rate at the output of the overall system, the net coding gain is conveniently divided into the following components:

- 1)  $\tilde{\gamma}_{rs, dB}(n, k), P_{bit}$ , the gain obtained by the RS code *without* taking into account the penalty for the increased data rate.
- 2)  $\gamma_{\text{tc},\text{dB}}((n,k), P_{\text{bit}})$ , the gain provided by the trellis code at the error rate required by the RS code to achieve  $P_{\text{bit}}$ .
- 3)  $\gamma_{\text{loss,dB}}((n,k),b)$ , the loss incurred for increasing the data rate.

The overall coding gain is computed as

$$
\gamma_{c,dB}((n,k), P_{\text{bit}}) = \gamma_{tc,dB}((n,k), P_{\text{bit}}) + \tilde{\gamma}_{rs,dB}((n,k), P_{\text{bit}}) - \gamma_{\text{loss,dB}}((n,k), b).
$$
\n(1)

Each of the coding gain components is now considered in turn.

 $\tilde{\gamma}_{rs, dB}((n, k), P_{bit})$ : A RS codeword is correctly decoded provided it contains no more than  $t = |(n - k)/2|$  errors [ 131. Assuming sufficient interleaving so that the errors appear random at the RS decoder's input and assuming the RS decoder does not attempt to correct the codeword if greater than *t* errors are detected, we may relate the output **RS** symbol error rate  $P_{rs}$  to the input RS symbol error rate  $P_s$  by

$$
P_{rs} = \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} \frac{i}{n} {n \choose i} P_s^i (1 - P_s)^{n-i}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=t+1}^{n} {n-1 \choose i-1} P_s^i (1 - P_s)^{n-i}.
$$
 (2)

Hence, given the output bit error rate  $P_{\text{bit}}$ , we equate  $P_{\text{rs}} =$  $2cP_{\text{bit}}$  and iteratively solve (2) for  $P_s$ . The corresponding input bit error rate is given by  $P_b((n, k), P_{\text{bit}}) = P_s/(2c)$ . This bit error rate is equated to the error rate at the output of a QAM demodulator to obtain  $P_b((n,k), P_{\text{bit}}) = 2Q(\sqrt{3}\Gamma_{\text{rs}})$ , from which an expression for the SNR gap  $\Gamma_{rs}$  is derived.<sup>2</sup> Now, we define the SNR gap  $\Gamma_0$  required for an uncoded system to achieve the output bit error rate  $P_{\text{bit}}$  as the solution to  $P_{\text{bit}} = 2Q(\sqrt{3}\Gamma_0)$ . The gain of the RS code without taking into account the data rate penalty is computed as the difference

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{rs, dB}((n, k), P_{\text{bit}}) = \Gamma_{0, dB} - \Gamma_{rs, dB}.
$$
 (3)

 $\gamma_{\text{tc},\text{dB}}((n, k), P_{\text{bit}}):$  In the concatenated coded system, the 2-D error rate required at the output of the trellis decoder is given by  $P_{2-D} = 2P_b((n,k), P_{bit})$ , where  $P_b((n,k), P_{bit})$ is the bit error rate required at the input to the RS decoder to achieve  $P_{\text{bit}}$ . Given  $P_{2-D}$ , we determine the SNR gap  $\Gamma_{\text{tc,dB}}(P_{2-D})$  required to achieve this error rate by using the trellis code's performance curve. The gain of the trellis code is given by

$$
\gamma_{\text{tc},\text{dB}}((n,k), P_{\text{bit}}) = \Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(P_{2-D}) - \Gamma_{\text{tc},\text{dB}}(P_{2-D}) \tag{4}
$$

where  $\Gamma_{0,\text{dB}}(P_{2-D})$  is the SNR gap for an uncoded system at the error rate  $P_{2-D}$ .

 $\gamma_{\text{loss,dB}}((n, k), b)$ : To determine the loss for the increased data rate associated with the RS code, we first define  $P_{\text{tot}}^*(b)$ as the minimum amount of power required to achieve the data rate *b* in a DMT system with a gap of 0.0 dB. This quantity is determined as the solution to the following optimization problem

$$
\min_{\{b_i \ge 0\}} P_{\text{tot}}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{N}} \frac{(2^{b_i} - 1)}{\text{snr}_{\text{ch},i}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{subject to } b = \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{N}} b_i,
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

where the ith term in the first summation is understood to be equal to zero if  $b_i = 0$  and  $\text{snr}_{\text{ch},i} = 0$ . In (5),  ${b_i}$  represents the DMT bit distribution, and  $snr_{ch,i}$  =  $|H_i|^2/(2\sigma_i^2)$  is the channel gain-to-noise function. With  $d(.)$ denoting the permutation of the subchannel indices that results in a decreasing sequence for  $\text{snr}_{\text{ch},d(i)}$ , the solution to the optimization problem is given by

$$
b_{d(i)} = \begin{cases} \log_2(K \operatorname{snr}_{\text{ch}, d(i)}) & i \le u \\ 0 & i > u \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
K = 2^{\frac{1}{u}\left(b - \sum_{i=1}^{u} \log_2 \operatorname{snr}_{\text{ch}, d(i)}\right)}.
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

The parameter *u* signifies the number of subchannels actually used for transmission and is determined by finding the largest integer  $u \leq \bar{N}$  such that  $\log_2(K \operatorname{snr}_{ch,d(u)}) > 0$ . Hence, the power distribution is simply given by a discrete version of the well-known water-pour distribution

$$
P_{d(i)} = \begin{cases} K - \frac{1}{\sin c_{\text{th}, d(i)}} & i \le u \\ 0 & i > u \end{cases} \tag{7}
$$

and the minimum power by

$$
P_{\text{tot}}^{*}(b) = uK - \sum_{i=1}^{u} \frac{1}{\text{snr}_{\text{ch},d(i)}}.
$$
 (8)

<sup>2</sup>The factor of two in front of the  $Q(\cdot)$  function results from our assumption that bit error rates are one-half the 2-D error rates.

Find authenticated [court documents without watermarks](https://www.docketalarm.com/) at **docketalarm.com.** 

#### 2944 EEE **C** TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 43, NO. 12, DECEMBER 1995

The loss now may be expressed as

$$
\gamma_{\text{loss,dB}}((n,k),b) = P_{\text{tot,dB}}^*(nb/k) - P_{\text{tot,dB}}^*(b). \tag{9}
$$

#### *C. Integer Constraints*

The theoretical coding gain analysis provided in Section II1.B assumes infinite bit granularity and allows infinite constellation sizes. In a practical DMT system, the bit granularity is determined by the complexity of the signal selector, while the constellation size is limited by finite precision, nonlinearity, and timing jitter constraints. Hence, we now consider the coding gains realized under more practical constraints on the bit distribution.

We assume that the number of bits assigned to each carrier must be an integer *before* the application of the trellis code. In addition, we restrict the number of bits per used tone to lie in the range  $b_{\text{low}} \leq b_i \leq b_{\text{max}}$ , where  $b_{\text{low}}$  and  $b_{\text{max}}$  are integers; typically, we have  $b_{\text{low}} = 2$ . The analysis remains the same as in Section 1II.B except for the computation of  $\gamma_{\text{loss},\text{dB}}((n, k), b)$ , which is now given by

$$
\gamma_{\rm loss, dB}((n, k), b) = \hat{P}_{\rm tot, dB}^*(nb/k) - \hat{P}_{\rm tot, dB}^*(b)
$$
 (10)

where  $\hat{P}_{\text{tot}}^*(b)$  is the solution to the following integerconstrained optimization problem

$$
\min_{\{b_i\}} \hat{P}_{\text{tot}}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} \frac{(2^{b_i} - 1)}{\text{snr}_{\text{ch},i}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{subject to } b = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} b_i
$$
\n
$$
b_i \in \{0, b_{\text{low}}, b_{\text{low}} + 1, \cdots, b_{\text{max}}\}. \quad (11)
$$

The solution to  $(11)$  is too difficult to compute in a practical DMT system. However, this optimization problem is closely related to the problem of determining the optimum bit and power allocation schemes for maximizing the margin of a DMT system at a given data rate. A practical, albeit ad-hoc, method for computing the DMT bit and power distributions is proposed in [14], and versions of this algorithm are implemented in current DMT products. It can be verified that for practical scenarios, the theoretical coding gains do not change as long as  $b_{\text{max}}$  is not too small. For instance, in the ADSL application  $b_{\text{max}} = 10$  is usually sufficient, and  $b_{\text{max}} = 15$ covers the worst scenarios.

#### *D. Accommodation of Trellis Code Redundancy*

Unlike the situation for traditional single-carrier systems, a trellis-coded DMT system that allows a fractional normalized redundancy,  $\bar{r}$ , must accommodate many different multidimensional constellation sizes. While these different constellations could be supported by using an algorithmic multidimensional encoder based on generalized cross constellations [15], [I61 or some other multidimensional technique, the additional complexity may be unwarranted in a practical DMT system. Indeed, even with an integer bit assignment, a DMT system based on  $2\overline{N}$ -point FFT's effectively supports a bit granularity of  $1/\bar{N}$  bits per 2-D symbol.

Under the constraints that the bit distribution must be integer and that  $b_i \leq b_{\text{max}}$  for *both* the cases of a system with and without trellis coding, we provide the following method by which a practical bit and power allocation algorithm that solves (1 1) can be used to determine the bit and power allocations for the trellis-coded DMT system:

- 1) Solve (11) for *nb/k* bits and find *u,* the number of subchannels required.
- 2) Compute  $u_{\text{tc}} = \frac{|\vec{r}u|}{\vec{r}}$ , the number of tones to be used in the trellis-coded case.
- 3) Sort the channel gain-to-noise ratios in descending order:  $\texttt{snr}_{\text{ch},d}(\cdot)$ .
- 4) Set  $\text{snr}_{ch,d(i)} = 0, i > u_{tc}.$
- *5)* Set  $b_{\text{tc}} = nb/k + \bar{r}u_{\text{tc}}$ .
- 6) Solve (11) for  $b_{\text{tc}}$  given  $\text{snr}_{\text{ch},d(i)}$ .

The suboptimal approach for accommodating the trellis code redundancy should result in approximately the same SNR loss as would be expected for expanding the constellation by a factor of  $2^{\bar{r}}$  on each tone. However, an exception arises when many of the tones are already constrained by the limitation of  $b_{\text{max}}$ . Under these conditions, the redundant bits are forced onto poor subchannels, leading to a degradation in the gain expected for the trellis code. We refer to this effect as bitcapping. If  $\hat{P}_{\text{tot},\text{dB}}^*(nb/k)$  denotes the required power for the system without trellis coding and  $\tilde{P}_{\text{tot,dB}}^*(b_{\text{tc}})$  the required power for the system with trellis coding, the bit-capping loss incurred is given by

$$
\gamma_{\text{bitcap},\text{dB}} = \tilde{P}_{\text{tot},\text{dB}}^* (b_{\text{tc}}) - \hat{P}_{\text{tot},\text{dB}}^* (nb/k) - \bar{r} 10 \log_{10}(2.0). \tag{12}
$$

#### *E. DMT Coding Gain Results*

By using the analysis discussed above, we computed the coding gains expected at BER's of  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-9}$  for two ADSL scenarios. The first scenario corresponds to a frequencydivision multiplexed system operating at 1.6 Mbps over ANSI loops [17] in the presence of near-end crosstalk (NEXT) from 49 digital subscriber line (DSL) disturbers. The second is for the case of an echo canceled system transmitting at 6.4 Mbps over **CSA** loops [18] in the presence of NEXT from 10 DSL and 24 high bit-rate DSL (HDSL) disturbers, and NEXT and far-end crosstalk (FEXT) from 10 ADSL disturbers. The configurations of the eight specific loops used in the analysis are given in Fig. 4, where the two numbers above each segment give the length of the segment in feet and the gauge of the wire (AWG). Based on the spectral efficiencies typically required for the two data rates along with simulation results, we set  $c = 2.5$  for the 1.6 Mbps scenario and  $c = 1.5$  for the 6.4 Mbps scenario, The parameters common to both scenarios include a RS information length of  $k = 200$ , the use of 512-length FFT's, a 4.0 kHz DMT symbol rate, bit assignment constraints of  $b_{\text{low}} = 2$  and  $b_{\text{max}} = 10$ , a 4.3125 kHz carrier spacing, and the presence of additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with a two-sided power spectral density of  $-143.0$  dBm/Hz.

Table I presents the maximum coding gains achieved at BER's of  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-9}$  for both the cases of an applied RS code over GF(256) and a concatenated code consisting of an

Find authenticated [court documents without watermarks](https://www.docketalarm.com/) at **docketalarm.com**.

#### ZOGAKIS et *al.:* A CODED AND SHAPED DISCRETE MULTITONE SYSTEM 2945



Fig. 4. Loops used for evaluation.

TABLE I

loop, rate (Mbps)	$10^{-7}$ error rate				$10^{-9}$ error rate			
	RS		RS+Wei		RS		$RS+Wei$	
	$\gamma_{c,dB}$	$\boldsymbol{n}$	$\gamma_{c,dB}$	$\boldsymbol{n}$	$\gamma_{c,dB}$	$\boldsymbol{n}$	$\gamma_{c,dB}$	$\boldsymbol{n}$
ANSI 1, 1.6	3.5	226	5.4	212	4.3	226	6.4	212
ANSI 6, 1.6	3.4	226	5.2	210	4.3	226	6.2	214
ANSI 9, 1.6	3.7	228	5.4	210	4.5	234	6.4	212
ANSI 15, 1.6	3.6	226	5.4	212	4.5	228	6.4	214
CSA 1, 6.4	3.1	216	5.2	208	3.9	220	6.2	208
CSA 2, 6.4	3.1	214	5.2	206	3.8	216	6.1	208
CSA 4, 6.4	3.1	216	5.2	208	3.9	218	6.1	210
CSA 6, 6.4	3.2	216	5.3	208	3.9	218	6.2	208

outer RS code and an inner 16-state, 4-D trellis code devised by Wei [15]. The performance of the Wei code was obtained by combining Monte Carlo simulation results for high error rates and distance spectrum analysis for low error rates to generate an accurate curve over a wide range of error rates [19]. In obtaining the DMT coding gains, we used integer bit distributions and accommodated the trellis code redundancy in the suboptimal fashion described in Section 1II.D. Also listed in Table I is the optimum code length for realizing each of the coding gains listed. From the results, we find that **RS** codes can provide over 3.0 dB of gain at a BER of  $10^{-7}$ , while the concatenated code provides over 5.0 dB of gain at the same error rate. We note that the gains obtained for a RS(216,200) code, the default code specified in the ADSL standard for the two data rates considered, differ from the optimum gains listed in Table **I** by at most 0.35 dB.

To confirm our coding gain analysis, we conducted laboratory tests on a DMT prototype for ADSL in October, 1993 at Amati Communications Corporation. The Amati DMT system operates at a sampling rate of 2.208 **MHz** and a DMT symbol rate of 4.0 **kHz. A** length 512 FFT is used for modulation and demodulation in the downstream direction, resulting in a carrier spacing of 4.3125 kHz. Furthermore, a maximum of  $b_{\text{max}} = 11$  bits per tone is supported. We tested performance at an effective data rate of 6.208 Mbps over a **6** kft, 26 AWG loop in the presence of **AWGN** with two different RS codes, a RS(202,194) code and a RS(210,194) code. Plots of the data points obtained in the lab are presented in Fig. 5 along with solid error rate curves derived using the same analysis techniques as those used to obtain the results in Table I. The theoretical curve and set of data points on the far right of the graph correspond to the uncoded system, while the group of



Fig. *5.* Laboratory results for case of AWGN and a 6 kft, 26 AWG loop.

curves on the far left correspond to the concatenated RS + Wei coded system. The middle group of curves pertain to the case where only a RS code was applied to the data stream.

The correspondence between the data points and the theoretical performance curves is quite good, thus verifying the accuracy of our anadysis. We note that the apparent coding gains in Fig. *5* are larger than any of the gains in Table **I** because the "uncoded" curve in Fig. *5* is actually for an uncoded system at the *same data rate* as the RS(202,194) coded system. In other words, all the curves in the figure that correspond to system performance with coding do not include the penalty for the addition of eight check bytes. $3$  The penalty is easily determined to be 0.8 dB in this case, and by subtracting 0.8 dB from the coding gains implied by Fig. *5,* we find that the extrapolated gains are 3.1 dB for the RS(210,194) code and 5.2 dB for the  $RS(202,194)$  + Wei code at a BER of  $10^{-7}$ .

#### Iv. APPLICATION OF SHAPING

#### *A. Shaping Across the Tones*

Now that a good coding scheme for achieving large  $\gamma_{c,dB}(\mathcal{C},P_{2-D})$  in a DMT system has been derived and analyzed, we turn to the problem of the application of shaping to achieve large  $\gamma_{s,dB}$ . As in the case of trellis coding, a straightforward approach would be to shape the constellations on each of the subchannels individually, but this would require too much delay, storage, and complexity. Hence, we consider a method of applying trellis shaping [20] *across* the tones in the DMT system. For simplicity, we focus only on the 4-state shaper discussed in [20], and we concentrate on the case in which one large circular constellation is stored in memory with **an** embedded labeling scheme to support the various size constellations needed on the DMT subchannels. The embedded labeling scheme is generated by ordering points on the halfinteger grid  $Z^2 + (0.5, 0.5)$  according to increasing energy

<sup>3</sup>The curves involving a RS(210,194) code do include the penalty for increasing from 6.464 Mbps to 6.720 Mbps.

# **DOCKET**



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## **API**

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

