

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 10,417,275)
Issued: Sep. 17, 2019)
Application No.: 15/933,531)
Filing Date: Mar. 23, 2018)

For: Artificial Intelligence Assisted Tagging of Users in Digital Media Online
FILED VIA P-TACTS

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 10,417,275**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	2
	A. Real Parties-in-Interest.....	2
	B. Related Matters.....	2
	C. Notice of Counsel and Service Information.....	3
	D. Fee for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	5
	E. Certification of Grounds for Standing.....	5
III.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	5
IV.	BACKGROUND	6
	A. Technology Overview	6
	B. The '275 Patent	7
	C. The Challenged Claims	11
	D. Prosecution History	11
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	14
	A. “user identifier” (all claims).....	14
	B. “contact list” (all claims).....	15
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	16
	A. Sharpe (EX1005).....	16
	B. Eintracht (EX1006)	18

C. FotoFile (EX1011)21
D. Carey (EX1007)24

VIII. GROUND 1: SHARPE IN VIEW OF EINTRACHT AND FOTOFILE
RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-1225

A. Motivation to Combine Sharpe with Eintracht25
B. Motivation to Combine Sharpe and Eintracht with FotoFile.....27
C. Reasonable Expectation of Success28
D. Independent Claim 130
 1. **1[pre]:** method implemented within a computer system
 for associating users with digital media.....30
 2. **1[a]:** distinguishing users via unique user identifiers.....32
 3. **1[b]:** in response to selection of digital media,
 determining unique digital media identifier
 corresponding to digital media selected.....40
 4. **1[c]:** receiving input indicating selection of named user
 from contact list of other users including the named user41
 5. **1[d]:** in response to selection of named user from list,
 determining unique user identifier of the named user46
 6. **1[e]:** receiving input indicating set of coordinates
 corresponding to location of named user within image;
 and47
 7. **1[f]:** applying artificial intelligence algorithms to locate
 images, wherein coordinates associated with unique user
 identifier of named user and unique image identifier51
E. Dependent Claims 2-1258

1.	Claim 2: artificial intelligence algorithms include image recognition algorithm.....	58
2.	Claim 3, 4: in response to locating image, providing information indicating that named user has been associated with one or more images via email	59
3.	Claims 5, 6: set of coordinates defines polygonal or circular area.....	61
4.	Claim 7: providing graphical user interface configured to display representation of set of coordinates indicating location of named user within image.....	62
5.	Claim 8: in response to locating image, providing interface configured for named user to select or deselect users for which to store association with unique image identifier	63
6.	Claim 9: in response to selection of named user and determining photo album associated with named user, adding image to photo album associated with named user.....	66
7.	Claim 10: naming information includes name, e-mail address, other naming information, or home page address	67
8.	Claim 11: naming information includes screen name	67
9.	Claim 12: located image matching characteristics of the subset of image data does not have prior stored association with the named user	68
IX.	GROUND 2: SHARPE IN VIEW EINTRACHT AND FOTOFIL, AND IN FURTHER VIEW OF CAREY, RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-12	69
A.	Motivation to Combine	69
B.	Reasonable Expectation of Success	70
C.	Independent Claim 1	71

D. Dependent Claims72

1. Claim 1072

2. Claim 1173

3. Claims 2-9, 1274

X. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS74

XI. THE BOARD SHOULD REACH THE MERITS OF THIS PETITION.....74

 A. Institution is appropriate under §325(d).....74

 B. Institution is appropriate under §314(a).....75

XII. CONCLUSION.....75

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.