

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

META PLATFORMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ANGEL TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00059
U.S. Patent 10,417,275

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 3

 A. The '275 Patent 3

 1. Existing Technology 3

 2. Advantages Provided by the '275 Patent 4

 3. System Components 5

 4. The Operation of the '275 Patent's System 8

 B. ALLEGED PRIOR ART 9

 1. Sharpe 9

 2. Eintracht 13

 3. FotoFile 16

 4. Carey 16

III. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL 17

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 17

V. THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1-12 UNPATENTABLE 18

 A. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Sharpe with Eintracht and FotoFile to Arrive at the Challenged Claims 18

 B. The Petition Fails to Explain How the Combination of Sharpe and Eintracht Would Operate 20

 C. Ground 1: Sharpe, Eintracht, and FotoFile Do Not Render Obvious Claims 1-12 22

 1. Limitation 1[d]: “in response to receiving from the identifying user the input indicating the selection of the named user from the list of other users, determining a unique user identifier of the named user” 22

 2. Limitation 1[e]: “receiving, from the identifying user, one or more inputs indicating a set of coordinates corresponding to a location of the named user within the image; and” 27

3.	Limitation 1[f]: “applying artificial intelligence algorithms to image data of other images accessible to said computer system to locate images matching characteristics of a subset of image data bound by the set of coordinates corresponding to the location of the named user within the image, wherein the set of coordinates corresponding to the location of the named user within the image is associated with the unique user identifier of the named user and the unique image identifier.”.....	32
4.	Dependent Claims 2-12	35
a.	Claims 3 and 4.....	35
b.	Claim 7	37
c.	Claim 8	38
d.	Claim 9	39
e.	Claim 11	41
D.	Ground 2: Sharpe in View of Eintracht, FotoFile, and Carey Does Not Render Obvious Claims 1-12.....	42
E.	The Petition’s Reliance on a Combination of References Without Including Any Analysis Regarding the Secondary References is Impermissibly Vague.....	42
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	44

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>3M Company v. Evergreen Adhesives, Inc.</i> , No. 2020-1738 (Fed. Cir. June 25, 2021)	43
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	20, 21
<i>ADT LLC v. Vivint, Inc.</i> , IPR2022-00634, Paper No. 7 (PTAB Oct. 4, 2022)	21
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i> , 66 F.4th 952 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	25
<i>ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.</i> , 159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	33
<i>Daifuku Co. v. Murata Machinery, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-00084, Paper No. 10 (PTAB May 4, 2015)	21
<i>Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Ltd. V. ResMed R&D Ger. GmbH</i> , IPR2017-00272, Paper 41 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2018)	20
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (CCPA 1981)	24, 25, 26
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	17
<i>Parus Holdings, Inc. v. Google LLC</i> , 70 F.4th 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	43
<i>Personal Web Tech. v. Apple</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	20
<i>PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 917 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	24, 25
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Circ. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	17

Toyota Motor Corp. v. Cellport Systems, Inc.,
IPR2015-00633, Paper No. 11 (Aug. 14, 2015) 17

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)..... 43

35 U.S.C. § 316(e) 2

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3)..... 43

37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2)..... 43

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)..... 43

37 C.F.R. § 100(b) (2019)..... 17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.