

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

META PLATFORMS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

ANGEL TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2023-00057  
U.S. Patent 8,954,432

---

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	PETITIONER IMPROPERLY INTRODUCES NEW ARGUMENTS IN ITS REPLY .....	1
III.	THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1-8 UNPATENTABLE.....	4
A.	Ground 1 .....	4
1.	Sharpe Does Not Disclose or Suggest Limitations 6[e] or 7[a] .....	4
2.	Sharpe Does Not Disclose or Suggest Limitations 7[a], 7[b], or 1[h] .....	6
3.	Sharpe Does Not Disclose or Suggest Limitations 8[a], 1[d], 1[e], 1[h], or 1[i].....	7
4.	Sharpe Does Not Disclose or Suggest Limitations 8[b] or 1[m] .....	10
5.	The Petition Does Not Plainly Present Sharpe As A Single-Reference Obviousness Ground.....	12
B.	Ground 2.....	14
1.	Petitioner Has Failed to Establish that a POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Modify or Combine the Cited References to Arrive at the Claimed Invention .....	14
2.	The Petition Fails to Explain How the Combination of Sharpe and Eintracht Would Operate and Have a Reasonable Expectation of Success .....	15
3.	Independent Claim 1 .....	18
4.	Claims and 2 and 4.....	19
5.	Claim 5 .....	19
C.	Ground 3 .....	21
D.	Ground 4.....	22
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	22

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Allsteel Inc. v. Dirtt Environmental Solutions LTD.</i> , IPR2015-01691, Paper 61 (PTAB April 22, 2019) .....	2, 3
<i>Apple Inc. v. Yu</i> , IPR2019-01258, Paper 29 (PTAB Jan. 5, 2021) .....	12
<i>Application of Skoner</i> , 517 F.2d 947 (C.C.P.A. 1975) .....	13
<i>ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.</i> , 159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998) .....	17
<i>Beckson Marine, Inc. v. NFM, Inc.</i> , 292 F.3d 718 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	17
<i>Cohesive Techs., Inc. v. Waters Corp.</i> , 543 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	13
<i>Crown Operations Int’l, Ltd. v. Solutia, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	17
<i>Fisher &amp; Paykel Healthcare Ltd. V. ResMed R&amp;D Ger. GmbH</i> , IPR2017-00272, Paper 41 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2018).....	15
<i>Google LLC v. Ecofactor, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2021-00054, Paper 35 (PTAB April 18, 2022) .....	11
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	12
<i>Group III Int’l, Inc. v. Targus Int’l LLC</i> , IPR2021-00371, Paper 43 (Oct. 21, 2021) .....	6
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	1, 10, 21
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Google LLC</i> , 948 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	13, 14

<i>Kranos Corp. v. Riddell, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01646, Paper 10 (PTAB Feb. 14, 2017).....	12
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	12
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	1
<i>PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	5
<i>SAS Inst. Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....	13
<i>Wasica Fin. GmbH v. Cont’l Auto. Sys., Inc.</i> , 853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	2, 3
<i>Yamaha Golf Car Co. v. Club Car, LLC</i> , 2019 WL 1473077 (PTAB Apr. 2, 2019).....	3
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. §312(a) .....	1
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) (2012).....	21
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)–(5) (2012) .....	21
Consolidated Trial Practice Guide 73 (Nov. 2019) .....	3

## PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

2001	Eliza Beeney Biography (previously submitted)
2002	Declaration of Eliza Beeney in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> (previously submitted)
2003	Kaylee Hoffner Biography (previously submitted)
2004	Declaration of Kaylee Hoffner in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> (previously submitted)
2005	Declaration of Mark Frigon Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 (previously submitted)
2006	Pict_inpt (previously submitted)
2007	Picture.mbd (previously submitted)
2008	Pict_upd (previously submitted)
2009	Picture.asp (previously submitted)
2010	Links.asp (previously submitted)
2011	Ex0006.log (previously submitted)
2012	Messages_post (previously submitted)
2013	Ex0007.log (previously submitted)
2014	American Express Statement (previously submitted)
2015	Emails (users populating profiles) (previously submitted)
2016	Declaration of Chris Malone Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 (previously submitted)
2017	Provisional File History Regarding Application 60/248994 of November 15, 2000 (previously submitted)
2018	Declaration of Lisa Larson Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 (previously submitted)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.