UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Petitioner,
V.
MERCK SERONO SA, Patent Owner.
Case IPR2023-00050 Patent 8,377,903

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			rage			
I.	Introduction1					
II.	Background5					
III.	Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art					
IV.	Claim Construction					
V.	The Petition Should Be Denied Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d)					
	A.	The	Office Already Considered Petitioner's Alleged Prior Art And			
		Argı	uments			
		1.	Becton Dickinson Factors (a) And (b): Asserted Art Was			
			Considered During Examination			
		2.	Becton Dickinson Factor (d): Petitioner's And The Examiner's			
			Arguments Are Not Materially Different11			
	B. Petitioner Has Not Identifie		tioner Has Not Identified A Material Error By The Examiner13			
		1.	Becton Dickinson Factor (c): Asserted Art Was Evaluated			
			During Examination14			
		2.	Becton Dickinson Factor (e): Petitioner Has Not Identified Any			
			Examiner Error			
		3.	Becton Dickinson Factor (f): Additional Evidence Does Not			
			Warrant Reconsideration			
VI.	Petitioner Is Not Reasonably Likely To Prevail On Any Ground21					
	A.	Ground I – Bodor Does Not Anticipate2				



1

1.	Ground I Is Based On An Incorrect Interpretation Of The Law				
	21				
2.	Bodor Does Not Disclose All Claim Limitations Expressly,				
	Inherently, Or By Inference				
	a) Bodor Does Not Disclose RRMS or early SPMS25				
	b) Bodor Does Not Disclose Induction Period Dose Of				
	About 1.7 Or 1.7-3.5 Mg/Kg Or Maintenance Period				
	Dose Of About 1.7 Mg/Kg27				
	c) Bodor Does Not Disclose The Claimed Maintenance				
	Period Or "Cladribine-Free Period" Thereafter32				
Ground II – The Challenged Claims Are Not Obvious Over Bodor4					
1.	Bodor Does Not Disclose Or Suggest All Claim Limitations41				
2.	Petitioner Has Not Established Any Motivation To Modify				
	Bodor's Method to Arrive At The Challenged Claims42				
3.	Petitioner Has Not Established Any Reasonable Expectation Of				
	Success In Modifying Bodor's Method to Arrive At The				
	Challenged Claims				
Ground III – The Challenged Claims Are Nonobvious Over Bodor					
And Rice54					
1.	Bodor And Rice Fail To Disclose Or Suggest All Claim				
	Limitations				
2.	Petitioner Has Not Established Any Motivation To Modify				
	Bodor's Method In View Of Rice To Arrive At The Challenged				
	Claims57				
	Groud 1. 2. 3. Groud And 1.				



	3.	Petitioner Has Not Established Any Reasonable Expectation Of	
		Success In Combining Bodor With Rice To Arrive At The	
		Challenged Claims	61
VII.	Objective In	ndicia Support Non-Obviousness	63
1/111	Conclusion		62



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Acoustic Tech., Inc. v. Itron Networked Sols., Inc., 949 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	22
Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Elektromedizinische Gerate GmbH, IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 13, 2020)	passim
Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Cable & Wireless Internet Servs., Inc., 344 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	23
Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG, IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017)	10
Bettcher Indus. v. Bunzl USA, Inc., 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	22
In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Pat. Litig., 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	49
Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc., 329 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	30
Eli Lilly & Co. v. L.A. Biomedical Rsch. Inst. at Harbor-UCLA Med. Ctr., 849 F.3d 1073 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	24
Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharms. Int'l GmbH, 8 F.4th 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	8
Ex Parte Ramsey, No. 2009-3451, 2009 WL 3044465 (B.P.A.I. Sept. 22, 2009)	23, 33
Forest Lab'ys, Inc. v. Ivax Pharms., Inc., 438 F. Supp. 2d 479 (D. Del. 2006)	26, 29, 34



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

