

Filed on Behalf of Novarad Corp.

By: Joseph Harmer  
Jed Hansen  
Thorpe North & Western, LLP  
175 S. Main St., Ste. 900  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Tel: (801) 566-6633

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDIVIS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

NOVARAD CORP.,

Patent Owner.

Patent 11,004,271 B2

Case IPR 2023-00042

---

**PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PETITIONER HAS NOT CARRIED ITS BURDEN.....	1
II.	NOVARAD’S EXPERTS ARE QUALIFIED.....	1
III.	MEDIVIS’ BELATED PRODUCTION .....	4
IV.	MEDIVIS’ LACK OF CANDOR .....	5
V.	NOVARAD’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS ARE PROPER .....	6
	A. “3D Data” is Not “2D Data.” .....	6
	B. 3D Data is Direct Volume Rendered (DVR).....	9
	C. “Inner Layer(s) of the Patient” .....	10
	D. “Confined Within a Volume” .....	12
	E. “Being Having” is Correctable Error. ....	12
VI.	<i>DOO</i> DOES NOT ANTICIPATE CLAIMS 1, 5, AND 6. ....	13
	A. <i>Doo</i> Does Not Anticipate Claim 1. ....	13
	i. <i>Doo</i> does not disclose “3D data ... including an outer layer ... and multiple inner layers.” .....	14
	ii. <i>Doo</i> does not disclose “displaying ... one of the inner layers of the patient from the 3D data.” .....	15
	iii. <i>Doo</i> does not disclose “being confined within a virtual 3D shape.” .....	17
	B. <i>Doo</i> Does Not Anticipate Claims 5 and 6. ....	19
VII.	CLAIMS 1-6 AND 11-20 ARE NOT OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF <i>DOO</i> AND <i>AMIRA</i> . ....	19
	A. No Motivation to Combine <i>Doo</i> and <i>Amira</i> . ....	19
	B. Claim 1 is Not Obvious in view of <i>Doo</i> and <i>Amira</i> . ....	22
	C. Claims 2-6 are Not Obvious in view of <i>Doo</i> and <i>Amira</i> . ....	23
	D. Claims 12-20 are Not Obvious in view of <i>Doo</i> and <i>Amira</i> . ....	24
VIII.	CLAIMS 1-6 AND 11-20 ARE NOT OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF <i>CHEN</i> , <i>3D VISUALIZATION</i> , AND <i>3D-SLICER</i> . ....	25
	A. No Motivation to Combine <i>Chen</i> , <i>3D Visualization</i> , and <i>3D-Slicer</i> (“the Chen Combination”).....	25

..

Case IPR 2023-00042

Patent 11,004,271 B2

B. Claims 1-6 are Not Obvious in view of the <i>Chen</i> Combination. ....	26
C. Claims 11-20 are Not Obvious in view of the <i>Chen</i> Combination. ....	26
IX. CONCLUSION.....	27

...

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Apotex Inc. v. Wyeth LLC</i> , IPR2014-00115 (Apr. 20, 2015).....	19
<i>Blackberry Corp. v. Zipit Wireless, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01506 (March 29, 2016) .....	4
<i>Enzo Biochem v. Gen-Probe, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1276 (2005) .....	1
<i>General Access Solutions, Ltd. v. Sprint Spectrum L.P.</i> , 811 F. App'x 654 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	2
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F. 3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	1
<i>In re Enhanced Security Research, LLC</i> , 739 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	22
<i>Laboratoire Francais du Fractionnement et des Biotechnologies S.A. v. Novo Nordisk Healthcare AG</i> , IPR2017-00028 (June 13, 2017) .....	4
<i>Net MoneyIn, Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	14
<i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	19
<i>SEB S.A. v. Montgomery Ward &amp; Co.</i> , 594 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	3
<i>Shopkick, Inc. v. Novitaz, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00279 (May 29, 2015).....	20
<i>Sundance, Inc. v. DeMonte Fabricating Ltd.</i> , 550 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	3

### Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.11 .....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.53(a).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....	1

### EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description
Ex. 2002	Declaration of Mahesh S. Mulumudi, M.D. (“Mulumudi”)
Ex. 2003	Dr. Mulumudi C.V.
Ex. 2004	Declaration of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D. (“Rosenberg”)
Ex. 2005	Dr. Rosenberg C.V.
Ex. 2006	Dr. Kazanzides Deposition (“Kazanzides Depo”)
Ex. 2007	Dr. Rosenberg Resume
Ex. 2008	Dr. Rosenberg Supplemental Declaration
Ex. 2009	Dr. Mulumudi Supplemental Declaration

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.