1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	MARSHALL DIVISION
3	BRIGHT DATA, LTD., (CAUSE NO. 2:19-CV-395-JRG
4	Plaintiff, (
5	vs. (
6	TESO, LT UAB, et al (NOVEMBER 5, 2021) MARSHALL, TEXAS
7	Defendants, (8:00 A.M.
8	
9	
10	VOLUME 5
11	
12	
13	TRIAL ON THE MERITS
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP
15	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE and a jury
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	SHAWN M. MCROBERTS, RMR, CRR 100 E. HOUSTON STREET
22	MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670 (903) 237-7464
23	shawn_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR PLAINTIFF: RUYAKCHERIAN LLP - BERKLEY 1936 UNIVERSITY, SUITE 350
4	BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704 (510) 944-0187
5	BY: MR. SUNNY CHERIAN MR. ROBERT HARKINS
6	Capshaw DeRieux LLP
7	114 E. COMMERCE AVENUE GLADEWATER, TEXAS 75647 (903) 845-5770
8	BY: MS. ELIZABETH DERIEUX
9	MANN TINDEL & THOMPSON 201 E. HOWARD STREET
10	HENDERSON, TEXAS 75654
11	(903) 657-8540 BY: MR. MARK MANN
12	MR. GREGORY THOMPSON
13	FOR DEFENDANTS: CHARHON, CALLAHAN, ROBSON & GARZA, PLLC
14	3333 LEE PARKWAY, SUITE 460 DALLAS, TEXAS 75219
15	(214) 521-6400 BY: MR. STEVEN CALLAHAN
16	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 2200 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 3600
17	DALLAS, TEXAS 75201 (214) 855-8118
18	BY: MR. BRETT GOVETT
19	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP -
20	HOUSTON 1301 McKINNEY, SUITE 5100
21	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010-3095 (713) 651-5151
22	BY: MR. DANIEL LEVENTHAL
23	SCHEEF & STONE, LLP - MARSHALL P.O. BOX 1556
24	MARSHALL, TEXAS 75671-1556 (903) 938-8900
25	BY: MR. MICHAEL SMITH





he walked through a very long claim to prove that.

Our burden of proof is met by way more than the feather. We only need the feather, but we've gone well beyond that. They don't even dispute how our system works -- their system works.

Invalidity. Now, patents are presumed valid. We start with the premise that the Patent Office did its job. They had a technical person at the Patent Office review and have a lot of back and forth here.

I want to show you something. We saw these ribbon copies of the patent, but they only give this to you after they've done a whole review.

Now, the other side showed you one paragraph that the Patent Office had back and forth. But maybe you don't know this: When you send -- when you try to get a patent, they scrutinize it. And every time you go back and forth debating what the patent is and whether you really deserve it, there's a piece of paper that goes to the Patent Office. That one paragraph is in this 600-plus page stack of paper that represents all the back and forth that Bright Data went through to make sure that these patents were valid before they got them issued. And there's just as thick a stack for the '510.

So they did this process two times. In 2019, they went through a huge process at the Patent Office to make sure that



2.0

the patents were valid, and in 2020 they did it again. And the Patent Office agreed. That's why their burden is so much higher. It's really -- once that's happened, do you really want to second-guess the work that those people did?

You would need a firm belief or conviction or, as Your Honor said, an abiding belief or conviction, that this absolutely is not valid, that the Patent Office messed up twice. Somehow in the 1200 pages of communications, those patent examiners were clueless. And if you don't find that, you have to say no to invalidity in this case.

Lack of written description. They say you didn't describe this invention in 2009. We already showed you their documents describing the patent did say they were doing this routing technology. They knew about it. We just proved this to you.

Doctor Rhyne was trying to make it easy on you, and he said, look, anybody knows in this time period with this patent specification that you can put a client device in a proxy server in Figure 3. Okay? That's fair.

They questioned this. Well, would you really do this?

Well, we also cited you text that says in the patent that you would put a proxy server between the client devices. And

Doctor Freedman admitted that you would -- that, in fact, a client and an agent are actually the same kind of device in the system in Figure 3. He said that right on the stand.



2.0

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

