UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.

ZENTIAN LIMITED, Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2023-00037 U.S. Patent No. 10,971,140

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	TRODUCTION	1	
II.		E JIANG-CHEN COMBINATION RENDERS OBVIOUS AIMS 1(D) AND 1(E)	1	
III.		NTIAN PROVIDES NO EVIDENCE OF A LACK OF ASONABLE EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS	3	
	A.	ZENTIAN'S ARTIFICIAL COMPLEXITY REQUIREMENTS ARE UNCLAIMED AND UNDESCRIBED IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS	4	
	В.	LEVEL OF SKILL		
		1. Zentian Does Not Dispute the Level of Skill	8	
		2. Mr. Schmandt's Level of Skill		
		3. Dr. Anderson's Level of Skill	10	
		4. Zentian's "Specialized" Requirements Are Found in the Cited References	11	
	C.	SKILLED PERSONS KNEW OF VARIOUS SPEECH RECOGNITION		
		HARDWARE ARCHITECTURES		
	D.	ZENTIAN'S "INACCESSIBLE MEMORY" THEORY	15	
IV.	ZENTIAN DOES NOT IDENTIFY REASONS FOR NON- OBVIOUSNESS			
	A.	ZENTIAN'S "QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS" THEORY	18	
		1. Cost Reduction		
		2. Improved Processing Speed		
		3. Obviousness Includes Evaluating Tradeoffs and		
		Sufficiency of Known Techniques	21	
	B.	FLEXIBILITY AND SCALABILITY		
	C.	NO DESIRE TO ADD ANOTHER PROCESSOR		
	D.	REPROGRAMMING EFFORT	25	
V.	CO	NCLUSION	27	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Allied Erecting and Dismantling Co. v. Genesis Attachments, LLC, 825 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	21
Antor Media Corp., 689 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
Corephotonics, LTD. v. Apple Inc., et al., No. 2020-1961, 2021 WL 4944471 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 25, 2021)	21
Elekta Limited v. ZAP Surgical Systems, Inc., 81 F.4th 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	19
Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC, 973 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	7
Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. v. Lynk Labs, Inc., No. IPR2021-01368, Paper 49 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2023)	3
<i>In re Epstein</i> , 32 F.3d 1559 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	5
In re Mouttet, 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	18
In re Publicover, 813 F. App'x. 527 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	5
In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	15
Intel Corp. v. PACT XPP Schweiz AG, 61 F.4th 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	3, 22
Intel Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc., 21 F.4th 784 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	22
Keep Truckin, Inc., v. Innovative Glob. Sys., LLC, No. IPR2020-00694, Paper 33 (PTAB July 21, 2021)	9
Keynetik, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., No. 2022-1127, 2023 WL 2003932 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	6
MCM Portfolio LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 812, F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	3
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al. v. Staton Techiya, LLC, IPR2022-00324, Paper 33 (PTAB July 10, 2023)	5
SEB S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., 594 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	9
Sundance, Inc. v. DeMonte Fabricating Ltd., 550 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	9



TQ Delta, LLC v. CISCO Systems, Inc., 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	5
Uber Techs., Inc. v. X One, Inc., 957 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	5
Walmart Inc., et al. v. Power Concepts, LLC, No. IPR2022-00534, Paper 50	
(PTAB Sept. 15, 2023)	5



I. INTRODUCTION

Zentian does not dispute the Petition's combination teaches each of the claim elements. Nor does Zentian dispute the Petition's level of skill for a POSITA or that Mr. Schmandt (Apple's expert) qualifies as a POSITA. Zentian instead argues a lack of motivation to combine for various reasons that are either technically incorrect, overly generalized and not responsive to the Petition's mapping, or are not supported by Federal Circuit caselaw.

II. THE JIANG-CHEN COMBINATION RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1(D) AND 1(E)

Zentian incorrectly argues the Petition and Declaration do not "explain how Jiang's tree search engine 74 modified in view of Chen would operate to meet limitation 1(d) and 1(e)." (Paper 19, 29) (emphasis original). The Petition specifies "each of the one or more clusters in the modified Jiang-Chen circuit would have been configured by a POSITA to include a plurality of processors described by Chen performing the techniques described regarding the tree search engine 74 described by Jiang, replicating the tree search engine's functionality amongst each of the plurality of Chen's processors." (Paper 1, 17-18 (emphasis added), 24 (the combination "would have stored at least a portion of the acoustic model data (HMM acoustic model from Jiang's model memory 72) in the shared cluster memories 104a-d" of the Chen clusters), 30 ("each of the plurality of processors" of the Chen cluster "would have been utilized to perform the probability computations



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

