

Filed on behalf of Petitioners by:

Paper No. ____

Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149
Thomas A. Franklin, Reg. No. 63,456
Michael N. Rader, Reg. No. 52,146
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 646-8000 Phone
(617) 646-8646 Fax

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY GROUP CORPORATION (JAPAN), SONY CORPORATION OF
AMERICA, SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC, SONY
PICTURES ENTERTAINMENT INC., SONY ELECTRONICS INC., and
VERANCE CORPORATION
Petitioners,

v.

MZ AUDIO SCIENCE, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-01544
Patent No. 7,289,961

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 et seq**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MANDATORY NOTICES.....	xi
A. Real Party-In-Interest	xi
B. Related Matters.....	xi
1. United States Patent & Trademark Office	xi
2. U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware.....	xi
3. U.S. District Court for the Central District of California	xi
C. Counsel and Service Information - § 42.8(b)(3) and (4).....	xi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	1
III. GROUNDS	2
IV. '961 PATENT.....	2
A. Background	2
B. Embodiments.....	3
1. First Embodiment.....	4
2. Second Embodiment	5
C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSA”).....	7
D. Prosecution History	7
V. CLAIM INTERPRETATION	8
VI. <u>GROUND 1</u> : SRINIVASAN, CABOT AND KUDUMAKIS RENDER CLAIMS 1-10 OBVIOUS	8
A. Srinivasan	9
1. Phase Modulation Encoding	9
2. Decoding	14
B. Cabot.....	14
C. Kudumakis.....	18
D. A Watermark’s Attributes – Visibility, Robustness and Data Capacity – Were Well-Known	21
E. The Srinivasan, Cabot and Kudumakis Combination.....	22

1.	Reasons to Combine	22
a.	Maintain Low Visibility	22
b.	Improved Security and Robustness	23
c.	Narrowband Voice Applications	26
2.	Reasonable Expectation of Success	27
3.	The Resulting System	27
F.	Srinivasan, Cabot and Kudumakis Render Obvious Every Challenged Claim	29
1.	Embedding Claims	29
a.	Claim 1	29
i.	[1PRE]: “A method for embedding data in an audio signal, the method comprising:”	29
ii.	[1A]: “(a) dividing the audio signal into a plurality of time frames and, in each time frame, a plurality of frequency components;”	29
iii.	[1B]: “(b) in each of at least some of the plurality of time frames, selecting at least two of the plurality of frequency components; and”	32
iv.	[1C]: “(c) altering a phase of at least one of the plurality of frequency components in accordance with the data to be embedded, wherein:”	33
v.	[1C-1]: “step (b) comprises selecting a fundamental tone and at least one overtone;”	35
vi.	[1C-2]: “and step (c) comprises quantizing a phase difference of the at least one overtone relative to the fundamental tone to embed at least one bit of the data to be embedded.”	35
b.	Claim 2	36
i.	[2A]: “step (b) comprises selecting a plurality of said overtones; and”	36

ii.	[2B]: step (c) comprises quantizing the phase differences of the plurality of overtones selected in step (b) to embed a plurality of bits of the data to be embedded.”	38
c.	Claim 3.....	39
d.	Claims 6-8.....	39
2.	Extracting Claims.....	45
a.	Claim 4.....	45
i.	[4PRE]: “A method for extracting embedded data from an audio signal, the method comprising”	45
ii.	[4A]: “(a) dividing the audio signal into a plurality of time frames and, in each time frame, a plurality of frequency components;”	45
iii.	[4B]: “(b) in each of at least some of the plurality of time frames, selecting at least two of the plurality of frequency components;”	45
iv.	[4C]: “(c) determining a phase shift which has been applied to at least one of the plurality of frequency components in accordance with the embedded data; and”	46
v.	[4D]: “(d) from the phase shift determined in step (c), extracting the embedded data,”	46
vi.	[4E]: “wherein step (b) comprises selecting a fundamental tone and at least one overtone.”	46
b.	Claim 5.....	47
c.	Claims 9-10.....	47
VII.	<u>GROUND 2: SRINIVASAN, CABOT, KUDUMAKIS, AND HOBSON RENDER CLAIMS 2-3, 5, 7-8, AND 10 OBVIOUS</u>	50
VIII.	<u>GROUND 3: KUDUMAKIS, TILKI AND CABOT RENDER CLAIMS 1-10 OBVIOUS</u>	52

A.	Tilki	52
B.	The Kudumakis/Tilki/Cabot Combination.....	57
1.	Reasons to Combine	57
a.	Tilki’s Differential Phase Encoding Was a Known Alternative To Kudumakis’s Notch Encoding For Embedding a Watermark	57
b.	Kudumakis and Cabot Motivated Use of the Fundamental and Third Harmonic for Differential Phase Encoding.....	58
i.	Robustness.....	59
ii.	Low Visibility (Inaudibility).....	60
iii.	Data Rate	61
2.	Expectation of Success	61
3.	Resulting System.....	62
C.	Embedding Claims	62
1.	Claim 1	62
a.	[1PRE]: “A method for embedding data in an audio signal ...:”	62
b.	[1A]: “(a) dividing the audio signal into ... time frames and ... frequency components;”	62
c.	[1B]: “(b) in each of at least some of the plurality of time frames, selecting at least two of the plurality of frequency components; and”	63
d.	[1C]: “(c) altering a phase of at least one of the plurality of frequency components in accordance with the data to be embedded, wherein:”	63
e.	[1C-1]: “step (b) comprises selecting a fundamental tone and at least one overtone;”.....	64
f.	[1C-2]: “and step (c) comprises quantizing a phase difference of the at least one overtone relative to the fundamental tone to embed at least one bit of the data to be embedded.”	64
2.	Claim 2	65

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.