

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Billhartz et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 7,321,777 Attorney Docket No. 50095-0107IP1
Issue Date: January 22, 2008
Appl. Serial No.: 11/531,487
Filing Date: September 13, 2006
Title: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM INCLUDING
A WIRELESS DEVICE LOCATOR AND RELATED
METHODS

DECLARATION OF DR. ZHI DING

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	5
II.	Background and Qualifications	6
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	12
IV.	Summary of Opinions and Materials Considered/Relied Upon.....	13
V.	Legal Standards	16
	A. Legal Standards for Claim Construction	16
	B. Legal Standard for Obviousness.....	17
VI.	Overview of the '777 Patent.....	20
	A. Subject Matter Overview	20
	B. File History of the '777 Patent.....	24
VII.	Interpretation of the '777 Patent Claims at Issue	25
VIII.	Overview of the Cited References.....	26
	A. McCrady (APPLE-1005)	26
	B. Raphaeli (APPLE-1006).....	32
	C. Kuwahara (APPLE-1007).....	38
	D. Rofheart (APPLE-1009)	41
IX.	McCrady in view of Raphaeli renders obvious claims 1-2, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-17, 19-21, 23, 25 (GROUND 1).....	45
	A. McCrady-Raphaeli Combination.....	45
	B. Claims 1, 10, 12, 20	59
	1. Claim 1	59
	2. Claim 10	79
	3. Claim 12	82
	4. Claim 20	83
	C. Claims 2, 13, 21	85
	D. Claims 5, 15	85
	E. Claims 6, 16	88
	F. Claims 7, 17, 23	89
	G. Claims 9, 19, 25	92
X.	Claims 3-4, 11, 14, and 22 are Rendered Obvious by McCrady, Raphaeli, and Kuwahara (GROUND 2)	94
	A. McCrady-Raphaeli-Kuwahara Combination.....	94
	B. Claims 3, 11, 14	100
	1. Claim 3	100
	2. Claim 11	110

3. Claim 14	110
C. Claim 4.....	111
D. Claim 22.....	112
XI. Claims 7-8, 10-11, 17-18, 23-24 are Rendered Obvious by McCrady, Raphaeli, and Rofheart (GROUND 3)	112
A. McCrady-Raphaeli-Rofheart Combination	112
B. Claims 7, 17, 23	114
C. Claims 8, 18, 24	115
D. Claim 10.....	117
E. Claim 11.....	119
XII. Claims 1-3, 5-25 are Rendered Obvious by Rofheart and Raphaeli (GROUND 4).....	121
A. Rofheart-Raphaeli Combination.....	121
B. Claims 1, 10, 12, 20	128
1. Claim 1	128
2. Claim 10	146
3. Claim 12	148
4. Claim 20	150
C. Claims 2, 13, 21	151
D. Claims 3, 11, 14	152
1. Claim 3	152
2. Claim 11	154
3. Claim 14	155
E. Claims 5, 15	155
F. Claims 6, 16	157
G. Claims 7, 17, 23	159
H. Claims 8, 18, 24	160
I. Claims 9, 19, 25	162
J. Claim 22.....	163
XIII. Claims 3-4, 11, 14, and 22 are Rendered Obvious by Rofheart, Raphaeli, and Kuwahara (GROUND 5).....	163
A. Rofheart-Raphaeli-Kuwahara Combination	163
B. Claims 3, 11, 14	167
1. Claim 3	168
2. Claim 11	168
3. Claim 14	169
C. Claim 4.....	170
D. Claim 22.....	170

XIV. Additional Remarks170

I, Zhi Ding, Ph.D., declare that:

I. Introduction

1. My name is Zhi Ding, and I have been retained by counsel for Petitioner Apple, Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) as an expert witness to provide assistance regarding U.S. Patent 7,321,777 (“the ’777 Patent”). Specifically, I have been asked to consider the validity of claims 1-25 of the ’777 Patent (the “Challenged Claims”) in view of prior art, anticipation and obviousness considerations, and understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”) at the time of the invention, i.e., as of the effective filing date of the patent application as it relates to the ’777 Patent. I have personal knowledge of the facts and opinions set forth in this declaration and believe them to be true. If called upon to do so, I would testify competently thereto.

2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study, the substance of my opinions, or the outcome of any proceeding involving the challenged claims. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter or on the pending litigation between Petitioner and Patent Owner.

3. My analysis here is based on my years of education, research and experience, as well as my investigation and study of relevant materials, including those cited herein.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.