Filed: October 2, 2023

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation

By: Brian C. Claassen (Reg. No. 63,051)

Carol Pitzel Cruz (Reg. No. 61,224)

Daniel Kiang (Reg. No. 79,631)

Jeremiah S. Helm, Ph.D. (admitted pro hac vice)

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502

E-mail: AppleIPR745-3@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01465 U.S. Patent 10,687,745

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page No.
I.	INT	RODU	JCTION	1
II.	WA	IVER .		2
III.	CLA	AIM CO	ONSTRUCTION	3
IV.	ARC	GUME	NT	4
	A.	Iwar	niya-Sarantos Combinations	4
	B.	Sara	ntos-Shie Combinations	13
		1.	Shie Does Not Disclose a Material Used in Physiological Sensors	13
		2.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Make Sarantos-Shie	14
		3.	Sarantos's Figures 22-25 Are Not "Cohesive"	17
		4.	Sarantos-Shie Does Not Render Obvious the Claime Spatial Configuration	
		5.	Claim 12	19
	C.	No Expectation of Success		19
		1.	The Newly Cited References Do Not Establish a Reasonable Expectation of Success	21
		2.	A POSITA's Knowledge of All Art Does Not Establish an Expectation of Success	27
		3.	Apple Witness Testimony and Documents Are Highly Probative	28
		4.	Apple's Enablement Arguments Are Irrelevant	32
V	CON	JCI II9	SION	34



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	2
Fromson v. Advance Offset Plate, Inc., 755 F.2d 1549 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	2
Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	32
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	6
<i>In re Rouffet</i> , 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	27
<i>In re Wands</i> , 858 F.2d 731 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	32
WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co., 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	25
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
35 U.S.C. §312	27
37 C.F.R. §42.6	15, 25

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description	
2001	Declaration of Jeremiah S. Helm in Support of Pro Hac Vice Motion	
2002	Declaration of Professor R. James Duckworth, Ph.D.	
2003	Curriculum Vitae of Professor R. James Duckworth, Ph.D.	
2004	Y. Mendelson et al., "A wearable reflectance pulse oximeter for remote physiological monitoring," Proceedings of the 28th IEEE EMBS Annual International Conference, pp. 912-915, 2006	
2005	R.J. Duckworth et al., "Field Testing of a Wireless Wearable Reflectance Pulse Oximeter," American Telemedicine Association Annual Conference, 2006	
2006	Y. Mendelson, "Wearable Wireless Pulse Oximetry for Physiological Monitoring," Worcester Polytechnic Institute Precise Personnel Location Workshop, 2008	
2007	RESERVED	
2008	Masimo Corp. et al. v. Apple Inc., June 6-10, 2022 Public Hearing Transcript, ITC Inv. No 337-TA-1276	
2009- 2010	RESERVED	
2011	Masimo Corp. et al. v. Apple Inc., Masimo's June 27, 2022 Public Initial Post-Hearing Brief, ITC Inv. No 337-TA-1276	
2012	Masimo Corp. et al. v. Apple Inc., Masimo's August 18, 2022 Motion to Modify Protective Order, ITC Inv. No 337-TA-1276	
2013	Masimo Corp. et al. v. Apple Inc., Apple's August 29, 2022 Opposition to Masimo's Motion to Modify Protective Order, ITC Inv. No 337-TA-1276	



Exhibit No.	Description	
2014	Masimo's September 20, 2022 Email to Board Requesting Authorization to File Motions for Additional Discovery	
2015	Apple's September 19, 2022 Email to Masimo Opposing Masimo's Request for Additional Discovery	
2016- 2018	RESERVED	
2019	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2017/0325744	
2020	January 3, 2013 Masimo Press Release Regarding iSpO2	
2021	October 2, 2013 Marcelo Lamego Email to Apple CEO Tim Cook	
2022	U.S. Patent No. 10,524,671	
2023	U.S. Patent No. 10,247,670	
2024	U.S. Patent No. 11,009,390	
2025	U.S. Patent No. 10,219,754	
2026	RESERVED	
2027	Masimo Corp. et al. v. Apple Inc., Public Order Regarding Masimo's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Case No. 8:20-cv-00048 (C.D. Cal.)	
2028	Apple Webpage Titled "Apple Watch Series 6"	
2029	Apple Watch Series 6 Video	
2030- 2049	RESERVED	
2050	Respondent Apple Inc.'s Post-Hearing Brief (publicly filed July 13, 2022 in the Investigation)	



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

