| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
|-------------------------------------------|
|                                           |
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  |
|                                           |
| APPLE INC.,                               |
| Petitioner,                               |
| v.                                        |
| MASIMO CORPORATION, Patent Owner.         |
|                                           |
| Case IPR2022-01465                        |
| U.S. Patent 10,687,745                    |

## PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



Case No. IPR2022-01465

Attorney Docket: 50095-0045IP3

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner, Apple Inc., hereby submits this Request for Oral Argument on all of the instituted grounds of unpatentability of claims 2-6, 8, 10-14, 17, 19, and 21-26 of U.S. Patent No. 10,687,745.

The grounds and issues disputed in the present proceeding (IPR2022-01465) substantially overlap those in related proceeding IPR2022-01291, and given this overlap, Petitioner respectfully requests that the hearings for these two proceedings be consolidated to a single hearing at which the parties would be permitted to address issues from both proceedings. Petitioner requests 75 minutes per side of oral argument time for a consolidated hearing and requests that the hearing be conducted virtually by videoconference. Petitioner respectfully requests that the parties be afforded equal time to present at the hearing regardless of the total hearing time that the Board may authorize.

Petitioner specifically requests authorization to address at least the following issues at the hearing, without waiving consideration of any issue not listed below:

- 1. Obviousness of claims 2-3, 5-6, 8, 10-12, and 14 in Ground 1A based on the teachings of Iwamiya in view of Sarantos;
- 2. Obviousness of claims 4, 17, 19, and 21-26 in Ground 1B based on the teachings of Iwamiya in view of Sarantos and Venkatraman;



Case No. IPR2022-01465 Attorney Docket: 50095-0045IP3

3. Obviousness of claims 2, 5-6, 8, 10-11, 13-14, 17, and 19 in Ground 2A based on the teachings of Sarantos in view of Shie;

- 4. Obviousness of claims 3-4, 17, 19, and 21-26 in Ground 2B based on the teachings of Sarantos in view of Shie and Venkatraman;
- 5. Obviousness of claim 12 in Ground 2C based on the teachings of Sarantos in view of Shie and Savant;
  - 6. Any claim construction issues;
  - 7. Any procedural and/or evidentiary issues;
  - 8. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters; and
  - 9. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 22, 2023 /Nicholas W. Stephens/

Nicholas Stephens, Reg. No. 74,320 Fish & Richardson P.C. 60 South Sixth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402

T: 202-783-5070 F: 877-769-7945



Case No. IPR2022-01465 Attorney Docket: 50095-0045IP3

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.6(e)(4), the undersigned certifies that on September 22, 2023, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument were provided via email, to the Patent Owner by serving the email correspondence address of record as follows:

Brian C. Claassen (Reg. No. 63,051) Carol Pitzel Cruz (Reg. No. 61,224) Daniel C. Kiang (Reg. No. 79,631) Jeremiah S. Helm (*Pro Hac Vice*)

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502

E-mail: <u>AppleIPR745-3@knobbe.com</u>

/Crena Pacheco/
Crena Pacheco
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
pacheco@fr.com

