

---

---

IN THE  
**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.,  
AND AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,

Petitioner

- vs. -

WAG ACQUISITION, LLC

Patent Owner

---

Patent No. 9,762,636

Issued: September 12, 2017

Inventor: Harold Edward Price

Title: STREAMING MEDIA DELIVERY SYSTEM

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2022-01433

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319**

---

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

August 23, 2022

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	1
A. Real Party-in-Interest.....	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Counsel .....	5
D. Service Information, Email, Hand Delivery, and Postal .....	6
III. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	6
IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	6
A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications .....	6
B. Grounds for Challenge .....	8
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '636 PATENT .....	8
A. Summary of the Alleged Invention .....	8
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	9
C. Prosecution History .....	10
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	11
VII. CLAIMS 1-12 ARE OBVIOUS OVER CARMEL IN VIEW OF FEIG AND WILLEBEEK.....	12
A. Overview of Carmel .....	13
B. Overview of Feig.....	15
C. Overview of Willebeek.....	17
D. Motivation to combine Carmel, Feig, and Willebeek .....	17

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(Continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
E. Independent claims 1, 5, and 9 .....	18
1. Preamble Limitations .....	18
2. Limitations reciting reading the live program .....	23
3. Limitations reciting supplying media data elements .....	26
4. Limitations reciting serially identifying the media data elements.....	30
5. Limitations reciting storing the media data elements .....	33
6. Limitations reciting receiving requests at the server system.....	35
7. Limitations reciting sending media data elements to the requesting user systems.....	40
8. Limitations reciting that the data connection has a data rate more rapid than the playback rate .....	42
9. Limitations reciting that “each sending is at a transmission rate as fast as the data connection between the server system and each requesting user system allow[s]” .....	46
10. Limitations reciting that the elements are sent without depending on the server system to maintain a record of the last element sent.....	50
11. Limitations reciting that all of the elements are sent in response to the requests .....	53
12. Limitations reciting that all of the elements are sent from the data structure as the elements were first stored therein.....	56

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(Continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
F. Claims 2, 6, and 10 are obvious over Carmel in view of Feig and Willebeek.....	59
G. Claims 3, 7, and 11 are obvious over Carmel in view of Feig and Willebeek.....	60
H. Claims 4, 8, and 12 are obvious over Carmel in view of Feig and Willebeek.....	61
VIII. THE PETITION SHOULD NOT BE DENIED UNDER §§ 314 OR 325.....	63
IX. CONCLUSION.....	67

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. et al. v. WAG Acquisition LLC</i> , IPR2022-01429 .....	63
<i>Amazon.com, Inc., v. M2M Solutions LLC</i> , IPR2019-01204, Paper No. 14 (PTAB Jan. 23, 2020) .....	66
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Alexion Pharm., Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00739, Paper No. 15 (PTAB Aug. 30, 2019).....	66
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) .....	63, 64, 65
<i>Arunachalam v. IBM</i> , 759 F. App’x 927 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	22
<i>Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper No. 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017) .....	65, 66
<i>Duodecad IT Servs. Luxembourg S.a r.l. v. WAG Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01036, Final Written Decision, Paper No. 17 at 9 (Oct. 20, 2016) .....	12
<i>Phil-Insul Corp. v. Airlite Plastics Co.</i> , 854 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	22
<i>WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Google, LLC</i> , 6-21-cv-00816 (W.D. Tex.), Dkt. No. 39, 13-14.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>WAG Acquisition v. WebPower, Inc.</i> , 781 F. App’x 1007 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	12, 42
<i>WebPower v. WAG Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01238, Paper No. 22 (Dec. 26, 2017).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>WebPower v. WAG Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01238, Paper No. 28 (July 16, 2020) .....	<i>passim</i>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.