

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN FITNESS DEVICES, STREAMING
COMPONENTS THEREOF, AND SYSTEMS
CONTAINING SAME

INV. NO. 337-TA-1265

INITIAL DETERMINATION ON VIOLATION OF SECTION 337 AND
RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND

Chief Administrative Law Judge Clark S. Cheney

(September 9, 2022)

Pursuant to the notice of investigation, 86 Fed. Reg. 27106 (May 19, 2021), this is the initial determination in *Certain Fitness Devices, Streaming Components Thereof, and Systems Containing Same*, United States International Trade Commission Investigation No. 337-TA-1265.

A violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act, as amended, has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain fitness devices, streaming components thereof, and systems containing same, with respect to U.S. Patent No. 9,407,564; U.S. Patent No. 10,469,554; U.S. Patent No. 10,469,555; and U.S. Patent No. 10,757,156.

Table of Contents

I. Introduction..... 1

 A. Procedural History 1

 B. The Private Parties 4

 1. Complainant DISH..... 4

 2. Respondent Peloton 4

 3. Respondent iFit 5

 4. Respondent MIRROR..... 5

 C. Overview of the Technology 5

 D. The Asserted Patents..... 8

 1. U.S. Patent No. 9,407,564..... 10

 2. U.S. Patent No. 10,757,156..... 11

 3. U.S. Patent No. 10,469,554..... 13

 4. U.S. Patent No. 10,469,555..... 15

 E. The Accused Products..... 16

 1. Models Accused by DISH 17

 2. Technology in the Accused Products..... 20

 F. The Domestic Industry Products..... 23

II. Jurisdiction..... 25

 A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction 25

 B. Personal Jurisdiction 26

 C. *In Rem* Jurisdiction 26

III. Standing 27

IV. Importation..... 27

V. Legal Principles 28

 A. Claim Construction 28

 B. Infringement..... 29

 1. Direct Infringement..... 29

 2. Inducement of Infringement 31

 C. Validity 31

 1. Anticipation..... 31

 2. Obviousness 32

 3. Indefiniteness 33

4. Inventorship 34

5. Inequitable Conduct..... 34

D. Domestic Industry 35

 1. Economic Prong..... 35

 2. Technical Prong 36

VI. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... 37

VII. Claim Construction 38

 A. Agreed Constructions..... 38

 B. Disputed Constructions..... 38

 1. “streamlet(s)” (’554 patent, claims 16, 17, and 20; ’555 patent, claims 10, 11, and 15; ’156 patent, claims 1, 2, 4, and 5)..... 38

 2. “place a streamlet request to the server over the one or more network connections for the selected stream; receive the requested streamlets from the server via the one or more network connections” (’555 patent, claim 10)..... 43

 3. “quality” terms: “low/medium/high quality stream” (’554 patent, claims 16, 17, and 20; ’555 patent, claims 10, 11, and 15)..... 46

 4. “factor” terms (’564 patent, claim 1; ’156 patent, claim 1)..... 53

 5. “device” terms: “An end user station,” “the content player device,” and “client module”..... 65

VIII. Representative products for domestic industry (technical prong) 76

 A. DISH Set-Top Boxes 76

 B. Sling TV Apps 78

IX. The ’564 Patent..... 80

 A. Infringement..... 80

 1. Claim 1 80

 2. Claim 3..... 99

 3. Claim 4..... 100

 4. Claim 5..... 101

 B. Domestic Industry (Technical Prong)..... 101

 1. Claim 1 102

 2. Claim 3..... 113

 3. Claim 5..... 114

X. The ’156 Patent..... 114

 A. Infringement..... 114

..

[REDACTED]

1. Claim 1.....	115
2. Claim 2.....	127
3. Claim 4.....	127
4. Claim 5.....	128
B. Domestic Industry (Technical Prong).....	129
1. Claim 1.....	129
2. Claim 4.....	134
XI. The '554 Patent.....	135
A. Infringement.....	135
1. Claim 16.....	135
2. Claim 17.....	144
3. Claim 20.....	145
B. Domestic Industry (Technical Prong).....	145
1. Claim 16.....	146
2. Claim 17.....	152
XII. The '555 Patent.....	153
A. Infringement.....	153
1. Claim 10.....	153
2. Claim 11.....	157
3. Claim 14.....	158
4. Claim 15.....	159
B. Domestic Industry (Technical Prong).....	159
1. Claim 10.....	160
2. Claim 11.....	166
3. Claim 14.....	166
XIII. Indirect Infringement.....	167
A. Knowledge of the Patent.....	167
B. Inducement.....	168
XIV. Validity.....	169
A. Priority Date.....	169
B. Validity Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.....	172
1. Anticipation – Carmel.....	172
2. Anticipation – Akiyama.....	186

...

3. Obviousness – Carmel	192
4. Obviousness – Akiyama	196
5. Obviousness – RealNetworks	201
6. Secondary Considerations.....	211
C. Public Use	217
D. Inventorship	217
E. Inequitable Conduct.....	219
1. Carmel and Related Materials.....	219
2. Public Use	222
3. Conclusion	222
F. Eligibility Under 35 U.S.C. § 101.....	222
XV. Economic Prong of the Domestic Industry Requirement	230
A. Findings of Fact Relating to the Domestic Industry	232
B. DISH’s Allocation Methodology	235
C. DISH’s Investments in Plant and Equipment	237
1. Buildings, Land, and Improvements.....	237
2. Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment	239
3. Rent, Utilities, and Maintenance.....	241
4. Leased Equipment.....	243
5. Third-Party CDN Servers	245
6. Total Allocated Investments in Plant and Equipment.....	245
D. DISH’S Investments in Labor and Capital	246
1. Salaries and Benefits.....	246
2. Total Allocated Investments in Labor and Capital	249
E. DISH’S Investments in Exploiting the Asserted Patents.....	250
F. Significance Analysis.....	251
XVI. Conclusions of Law	254
XVII. Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond.....	256
A. Limited Exclusion Order.....	256
B. Cease and Desist Order.....	258
C. Bond During Presidential Review	260
XVIII. Initial Determination on Violation.....	262

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.