WAG Slides IPR2022-01412, -01413



SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR AF

As a factual matter, the scope and content of Carmel cor

- The **object** of performing internet streaming from hardware using widely supported standards.
- Dividing the stream into time-sequenced slices in
- Transmitting the slices at the selected encoding to with the most recently available slice or an earlier the user.
- Seeking to sustain the transmission of the succession equal to the rate at which the slices are being general ahead of or fall behind the program.
- Commonly known as "push".



DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTE

- Claimed Subject Matter:
 - Analysis must address each and every limitation, a
 - It is impossible for an internet streaming mechani
 '824 claim limitations unless
 - Content is divided into a plurality of time-sequ
 - The client originates successive requests that is every element to be sent in response to each s

Prior Art:

 Carmel discloses only a single request to start a st starting point.

OBVIOUSNESS ANALYSIS MUST BE CONSISTENT WIT

PC



PETITION RELIES ON <u>BOTH</u> FIGS. 6A AND 6B OF CA REQUEST" [MIS]CHARACTERIZA

- Pet. at 26: "the request for a particular slice of data serial indicator (slice index) of the requested slice this process is repeated for each slice."
- Pet. at 29: "Carmel teaches that after the client 30 the client 30 downloads that slice. (Polish, ¶138; 0 also id., 2:1-21, 3:63-66, 4:7-11, 10:24-54, Figs. 6A
- Pet. at 38: "Figures 6A and 6B show that Carmel r streaming system where the data recipient is resp to be transmitted."
- **Pet. at 40:** "data slices are sent by the server 36 of from clients 30 ... this confirmed by **Figs. 6A and 6** each slice is selected and downloaded, **the proces**



GOOGLE'S EXPERT, DR. POLISH, CONFIRMED HIS RELIANC CARMEL AS DISCLOSING SUCCESSIVE INDIVIDUAL SL

- Dr. Polish: As in the Petition, Dr. Polish maintained the
 represented pulls. EX1002 ¶ 176: "Figs. 6A and 6B ...
 selected and downloaded, the process repeats."
- EX1002 ¶ 182: Similarly characterizing both Figs. 6A side-by-side illustration to highlight their similarity and
- Asked to describe the difference, Dr. Polish stated: "Sin there to choose the level and to determine link rated: 104:5-18 (answer).
- Note the Petition and its expert support were presented.
 Figs. 6A and 6B were directed to "classic 'client pull'



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

