

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

EMBLAZE LTD.,)	Case No. 5:11-cv-01079-PSG
)	
Plaintiff,)	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER
v.)	(Re: Docket No. 169)
APPLE INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

In this patent infringement suit, Plaintiff Emblaze Ltd. (“Emblaze”) alleges that Defendant Apple, Inc. (“Apple”) infringes U.S. Patent No. 6,389,473. The parties submitted 16 claim construction disputes for resolution by the court. Two days after the hearing, the court issued a summary construction order and explained that a more complete order would follow providing the court’s reasoning.¹ The court now provides that reasoning.

I. BACKGROUND

A. The Parties and Disputed Technology

Emblaze is an Israeli corporation dedicated to the “development and marketing of innovative high-tech technologies and products.”² Apple is a California-based corporation that,

¹ See Docket No. 169.

² Docket No. 143 at ¶ 1.

1 among other things, markets phones, tablets and computers that incorporate “HTTP Live Streaming
2 technology” capable of “real-time” broadcasting.³ Emblaze owns the sole patent at issue in this
3 case: U.S. Patent No. 6,389,473 (“the ’473 patent”).⁴

4 The ’473 patent claims methods and apparatuses that allow “transmission of live audio and
5 video to multiple devices” without requiring “devoted streaming servers” and permitting
6 adjustment to “different bandwidths” where necessary.⁵ As the abstract of the ’473 patent puts it,
7 the invention disclosed is:

8
9 A method for real-time broadcasting from a transmitting computer to one or more client
10 computers over a network, including providing at the transmitting computer a data stream
11 having a given data rate, and dividing the stream into a sequence of slices, each slice having
12 a predetermined data size associated therewith. The slices are encoded in a corresponding
13 sequence of files, each file having a respective index, and the sequence is uploaded to a
14 server at an upload rate generally equal to the data rate of the stream, such that the one or
15 more client computers can download the sequence over the network from the server at a
16 download rate generally equal to the data rate.

17 Independent Claim 1 of the ’473 patent is representative:

18 A method for real-time broadcasting from a transmitting computer to one or more client
19 computers over a network, comprising:

20 providing at the transmitting computer a data stream having a given data rate;

21 dividing the stream into a sequence of slices, each slice having a predetermined data
22 size associated therewith;

23 encoding the slices in a corresponding sequence of files, each file having a respective
24 index; and

25 uploading the sequence to a server at an upload rate generally equal to the data rate of
26 the stream, such that the one or more client computers can download the sequence
27 over the network from the server at a download rate generally equal to the data
28 rate.⁶

Emblaze claims that Apple’s HTTP Live Streaming, which Apple introduced into its products
around 2009,⁷ infringes asserted ’473 patent claims 23, 28, 37, and 40.

³ *Id.* at ¶ 11.

⁴ *See id.* at ¶ 6; Docket No. 143-1, Ex. A.

⁵ *See* Docket No. 143 at ¶ 9.

⁶ *See* Docket No. 143-1, Ex. A at 14:18-32.

⁷ *See* Docket No. 143 at ¶ 12.

B. Procedural History

Emblaze kicked off this case by filing a complaint for patent infringement in the Southern District of New York.⁸ Several months later, the case was transferred to this district.⁹ After the parties initially declined to consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction, the case was assigned to United States District Judge Sandra Brown Armstrong.¹⁰ Emblaze thereafter sought leave to amend its complaint to:

- (1) amend the list of claims of the '473 Patent that are asserted by Emblaze so as to conform the allegations to what Emblaze has asserted in its Infringement Contentions;
- (2) amend the products that Emblaze is accusing of infringement so as to conform the allegations of the complaint to what Emblaze has learned in its ongoing investigation and from discovery thus far;
- (3) remove certain allegations concerning Apple's presence in the Southern District of New York (no longer relevant now that the action has been transferred to the Northern District of California);
- (4) update the firm affiliation of counsel for Emblaze and the change of venue from the Southern District of New York to the Northern District of California; and
- (5) make minor editing changes to the text.¹¹

After Apple filed a statement of non-opposition, Judge Armstrong granted Emblaze's motion for leave to amend the complaint. Apple then moved to dismiss the amended complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Judge Armstrong dismissed Emblaze's indirect infringement claims with leave to amend, but denied Apple's related request to dismiss Emblaze's direct infringement or willfulness claims.¹² Emblaze's responded with a second amended complaint claiming direct, induced, contributory and willful infringement.¹³

⁸ See Docket No. 1.

⁹ See Docket No. 24.

¹⁰ See Docket No. 31.

¹¹ See Docket No. 75 at 2-3 (verb tenses modified).

¹² See Docket No. 137.

¹³ See Docket No. 143.

1 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the case was reassigned to the undersigned.¹⁴ Following
2 this latest reassignment and a tutorial and hearing, the court construed the disputed claim terms as
3 follows:¹⁵
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹⁴ See Docket No. 150.

28 ¹⁵ See Docket No. 169 at 1-3.

CLAIM TERM	CONSTRUCTION
“real-time broadcasting”	simultaneous transmission of data to one or more clients matching the human perception of time or proceeding at the same rate as a physical or external process
“providing at the transmitting computer a data stream having a given data rate”	the transmitting computer provides a data stream having a given amount of data per unit of time
“data stream having a given data rate”	a data stream having a given amount of data per unit of time
“slice”	a discrete segment of the data stream
“each slice having a predetermined data size associated therewith”	each slice having a data size, which may be a time duration, assigned in advance of the stream being divided
“encoding the slices in a corresponding sequence of files”	forming each slice as a file, wherein a file includes compressed data from the slice and a file descriptor, and wherein the sequence of files corresponds to the sequence of slices
“sequence of files, each file having a respective index”	sequence of files, wherein each file has an indicator that represents a respective slice’s location in the sequence
“uploading the sequence to a server at an upload rate generally equal to the data rate of the stream”	transmitting the files from the transmitting computer to the server at an upload rate generally equal to the data rate of the stream
“such that one or more client computers can download the sequence over the network from the server at a download rate generally equal to the data rate”	such that one or more client computers are able to select individual files corresponding to the slices for download over the network at a download rate generally equal to the data rate
“decode the sequence”	decompressing any compressed data in the sequence
“play back the data stream responsive to the indices of the files”	playing back the data stream based on the indices of the files to be played back
“at a replay rate generally equal to the data rate”	the rate at which the client plays back the data stream is generally equal to the data rate of the stream
“uploading and updating an index file containing the index of the file in the sequence that was most recently uploaded”	uploading to a server an index file, and updating the index file with the index of the most recently uploaded file
“encoding slices at a different plurality of different quality levels”	forming slices at more than one quality level
“determining a data bandwidth of the network between the server and the client computer”	the client determines a data rate at which a client can download a file from the server
“wherein dividing the stream into the sequence of slices comprises dividing the stream into a sequence of time slices, each having a predetermined duration associated therewith”	the stream is divided into a sequence of slices, where the predetermined data size of the slices is established by setting the time duration of the slices

A few months later, Apple moved the court to reconsider or clarify its prior construction that the term “each slice having a predetermined data size associated therewith” means “each slice having a data size, which may be a time duration, assigned in advance of the stream being

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.