

August 10, 2022

**VIA E-MAIL**


Reza Mirzaie  
Russ August & Kabat  
12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Re: *XR Communications LLC dba Vivato Technologies v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 6:21-cv-00626 (W.D. Tex.)

Dear Reza:

We write regarding our on-going litigation, in which XR Communications LLC dba Vivato Technologies asserts U.S. Patent Nos. 10,715,235 (the “’235 patent”) against Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (together, “Samsung”).

Samsung is planning to submit a petition for *inter partes* review (IPR2022-01362) challenging claims 8-14 of the ’235 patent. By this letter, Samsung stipulates that, unless the Board denies or later vacates institution of this petition, Samsung will not seek resolution of invalidity in this litigation based on the specific grounds asserted in this petition.

Sincerely,  
  
Jin-Suk Park

cc: Counsel of record

Samsung et al. v. XR Commc'ns. IPR2022-01362 Exhibit 1113
---