

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC dba VIVATO
TECHNOLOGIES,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-625-ADA

PUBLIC VERSION

**GOOGLE LLC'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Samsung et al. v. XR Commc'ns.
IPR2022-01362
Exhibit 1110

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	1
A. Google’s Relevant Evidence And Witnesses Are In the NDCA, Not In The WDTX.....	2
B. XR’s Evidence And Witnesses Are Not In The WDTX.....	3
C. Many Relevant Third-Party Witnesses Are In Or Near California.....	3
LEGAL STANDARD.....	5
I. THE NDCA IS A CLEARLY MORE CONVENIENT FORUM THAN THE WDTX.....	6
A. XR Could Have Brought This Action In The NDCA.....	6
B. All Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer To The NDCA	6
1. Cost of attendance for willing witnesses heavily favors transfer	6
2. Relative ease of access to sources of proof favors transfer	8
3. Availability of compulsory process favors transfer	9
4. There are no practical problems with transferring this case	11
C. The Public Interest Factors Also Strongly Weigh In Favor Of Transfer	12
1. NDCA has a strong local interest in this dispute	12
2. Court congestion weighs in favor of transfer.....	13
3. The remaining public interest factors are neutral	14
CONCLUSION.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>10Tales, Inc. v. TikTok Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00810-ADA, 2021 WL 2043978 (W.D. Tex. May 21, 2021)	6, 7, 8
<i>Adaptix, Inc. v. HTC Corp.</i> , 937 F. Supp. 2d 867 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 28, 2013)	9
<i>Correct Transmission LLC v. ADTRAN, Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00669-ADA, 2021 WL 1967985 (W.D. Tex. May 17, 2021)	11, 13
<i>In re Adobe Inc.</i> , 823 F. App'x 929 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	8
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 581 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	10
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	8, 13
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2021-181, 2021 WL 5291804 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 15, 2021)	11
<i>In re Cray Inc.</i> , 871 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	6
<i>In re DISH Network L.L.C.</i> , No. 2021-182, 2021 WL 4911981 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 21, 2021).....	11
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	1, 6
<i>In re Google Inc.</i> , No. 2017-107, 2017 WL 977038 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 23, 2017)	12
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , No. 2021-170, 2021 WL 4427899 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 27, 2021)	6, 13
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , No. 2021-171, 2021 WL 4592280 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 6, 2021).....	8, 9
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , No. 2021-178, 2021 WL 5292267 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 15, 2021)	9

<i>In re Hoffmann-La Roche Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	12
<i>In re Hulu, LLC</i> , No. 2021-142, 2021 WL 3278194 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 2, 2021)	8
<i>In re Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 2021-160, 2021 WL 4343309 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 24, 2021)	14
<i>In re NetScout Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 2021-173, 2021 WL 4771756 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 13, 2021).....	11
<i>In re Nintendo Co.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	1, 5, 6, 13
<i>In re Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 2 F.4th 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	6, 10, 11, 13
<i>In re Toyota Motor Corp.</i> , 747 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	5
<i>In re Tracfone Wireless, Inc.</i> , No. 2021-136, 852 F. App'x 537 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	12
<i>In re TS Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	13, 14
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	7, 8
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008) (en banc)	5, 7, 13
<i>Moskowitz Family LLC v. Globus Med.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00672-ADA, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 145438 (W.D. Tex. July 2, 2020)	12
<i>RPB Safety, LLC v. Tru-Vision Plastics, Inc.</i> , No. 6:18-CV-00367-ADA, 2019 WL 10349405 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 20, 2019).....	10
<i>Super Interconnect Techs. v. Google LLC</i> , 6:21-cv-259-ADA, Dkt. 49 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 8, 2021).....	8
<i>W. Prop. Holdings, LLC v. Aequitas Cap. Mgmt., Inc.</i> , 392 P.3d 770 (Or. App. 2017).....	5
<i>XR Commc'ns, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.</i> , 6:21-cv-00619-ADA, Dkt. 23 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 7, 2021)	11

XR Commc'ns, LLC v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.,
No. 18-cv-01992-WHO, 2021 WL 3918136 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 1, 2021).....12

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)6

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)1, 3, 5, 7

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 459, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.