

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Marcus Da Silva et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,715,235 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0047IP1  
Issue Date: July 14, 2020  
Appl. Serial No.: 15/495,539  
Filing Date: April 24, 2017  
Title: DIRECTED WIRELESS COMMUNICATION

**DECLARATION OF DR. ROBERT AKL**

I declare that all statements made herein on my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

By: Robert Akl

Robert Akl, D.Sc.

Date: January 7, 2022

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	5
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	6
III.	MATERIALS RELIED UPON .....	12
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CONCLUSIONS FORMED .....	14
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	14
VI.	LEGAL STANDARDS .....	16
A.	Terminology .....	16
B.	Legal Standards .....	16
1.	Anticipation.....	17
2.	Obviousness .....	17
VII.	THE '235 PATENT.....	21
A.	Overview of the '235 Patent.....	21
B.	Relevant History of the '235 Patent.....	27
1.	Applicant's Arguments During Prosecution.....	27
2.	Applicant Failed to Establish a February 2002 Invention Date .....	28
3.	The Effective Filing Date is After November 4, 2002 .....	30
VIII.	OVERVIEW AND COMBINATIONS OF PRIOR ART REFERENCES .....	35
A.	Burke .....	35
B.	Shull.....	40
C.	Combination of Burke and Shull.....	42
IX.	MANNER IN WHICH THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES RENDER THE '235 CLAIMS UNPATENTABLE .....	44
A.	Claim 8 .....	44
[8pre]	A method in a wireless communications system, the method comprising:.....	44
[8a]	receiving a first signal transmission from a remote station via a first antenna element of an antenna and a second	

	signal transmission from the remote station via a second antenna element of the antenna simultaneously, .....	45
[8b]	wherein the first signal transmission and the second signal transmission comprise electromagnetic signals comprising one or more transmission peaks and one or more transmission nulls;.....	48
[8c]	determining first signal information for the first signal transmission; and [8d] determining second signal information for the second signal transmission, wherein the second signal information is different than the first signal information; .....	51
[8e]	determining a set of weighting values based on the first signal information and the second signal information, wherein the set of weighting values is configured to be used by the remote station to construct one or more beam-formed transmission signals; and.....	59
[8f]	transmitting to the remote station a third signal comprising content based on the set of weighting values.....	61
B.	Claim 9 .....	62
[9]	The method as recited in claim 8, further comprising: transmitting the third signal to the remote station via the antenna. ....	62
C.	Claim 10 .....	62
[10]	The method as recited in claim 8, wherein the first signal transmission and the second signal transmission are directional transmissions.....	62
D.	Claim 11 .....	64
[11]	The method as recited in claim 8, wherein the set of weighting values is further based on one or more of: a transmit power level, a data transmit rate, an antenna direction, quality of service data, or timing data. ....	64
E.	Claim 12 .....	65
[12]	The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the content comprises data configured to be used by the remote station to modify the placement of one or more transmission	

	peaks and one or more transmission nulls in a subsequent signal transmission.....	65
F.	Claim 13 .....	66
	[13a] The method as recited in claim 12, further comprising: determining a plurality of signal strength indications for the first signal transmission; .....	66
	[13b] determining a first signal strength average based on the plurality of signal strength indications for the first signal transmission; .....	69
	[13c] determining a plurality of signal strength indications for the second signal transmission; and [13d] determining a second signal strength average based on the plurality of signal strength indications for the second signal transmission; and.....	69
	[13e] generating a fourth signal based on the first signal strength average and the second signal strength average. ....	70
G.	Claim 14 .....	72
	[14] The method as recited in claim 13, further comprising: causing the transceiver to transmit the fourth signal to the remote station via the antenna.....	72
X.	CONCLUSION.....	72

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Robert Akl. I have been retained by counsel as an expert witness to provide assistance regarding U.S. Patent No. 10,715,235 (“the ’235 Patent”). It is my understanding that Apple Inc. and HP Inc. (collectively “Petitioners”) are submitting an IPR petition challenging certain claims of the ’235 Patent. Specifically, I have been asked to review claims 8-14 of the ’235 Patent (the “Challenged Claims”) in view of prior art references, and the understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”) as it relates to the ’235 Patent. I have personal knowledge of the facts and opinions set forth in this declaration and believe them to be true. If called upon to do so, I would testify competently thereto.

2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study, the substance of my opinions, or the outcome of any proceeding involving the challenged claims. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter or on the pending litigation between Petitioner and Patent Owner.

3. In writing this declaration, I have considered the following: my own knowledge and experience, including my work experience in the fields of wireless communications and electrical engineering; my experience in teaching those subjects; and my experience in working with others involved in those fields. In

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.