

3. Defendant Samsung Electronics America is a corporation organized under the laws of New York, with its principal place of business at 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660. Upon information and belief, Samsung Electronics America has corporate offices in the Eastern District of Texas at 1303 East Lookout Drive, Richardson, Texas 75082 and 2800 Technology Drive, Suite 200, Plano, Texas 75074. Samsung Electronics America has publicly indicated that, in early 2019, it would be centralizing multiple offices in a new location in the Eastern District of Texas at the Legacy Central office campus,¹ located at 6225 Declaration Drive, Plano, Texas 75023. Samsung Electronics America may be served with process through its registered agent CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136.

4. Defendants have authorized sellers and sales representatives that offer and sell products pertinent to this Amended Complaint through the State of Texas, including in this Judicial District, and to consumers throughout this Judicial District, such as: Best Buy, 422 West TX-281 Loop, Suite 100, Longview, Texas 75605; AT&T Store, 1712 East Grand Avenue, Marshall, Texas 75670; Sprint Store, 1806 East End Boulevard North, Suite 100, Marshall, Texas 75670; T-Mobile, 900 East End Boulevard North, Suite 100, Marshall, Texas 75670; Verizon authorized retailers, including Russell Cellular, 1111 East Grand Avenue, Marshall, Texas 75670; Victra, 1006 East End Boulevard, Marshall, Texas 75670; and Cricket Wireless authorized retailer, 120 East End Boulevard South, Marshall, Texas 75670.

¹ <https://news.samsung.com/us/samsung-electronics-america-open-flagship-north-texas-campus/>, last accessed Apr. 29, 2019.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1338, and 1367.

6. This Court has specific and personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants consistent with the requirements of the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution and the Texas Long Arm Statute. Upon information and belief, each Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the forum because each Defendant transacts substantial business in the State of Texas and in this Judicial District. Further, each Defendant has, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in the State of Texas and in this Judicial District as alleged in this Amended Complaint, as alleged more particularly below.

7. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b) and 1391(b) and (c) because each Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District, has committed acts of patent infringement in this Judicial District, and has a regular and established place of business in this Judicial District. Each Defendant, through its own acts and/or through the acts of each other Defendant, makes, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell infringing products within this Judicial District, regularly does and solicits business in this Judicial District, and has the requisite minimum contacts with the Judicial District such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Further, venue is proper in this Judicial District because Samsung Electronics is a foreign corporation formed under the laws of Korea with a principal place of business in Korea. Further, upon information and belief, the Defendants have admitted or not contested proper venue in this Judicial District in other patent infringement actions.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. On September 13, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,019,091 (the “’091 Patent”) entitled “Voice Activity Detector (VAD)-Based Multiple-Microphone Acoustic Noise Suppression.” A true and correct copy of the ’091 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. On October 2, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,280,072 (the “’072 Patent”) entitled “Microphone Array with Rear Venting.” A true and correct copy of the ’072 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

10. On July 17, 2007 the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,246,058 (the “’058 Patent”) entitled “Detecting Voiced and Unvoiced Speech Using Both Acoustic and Nonacoustic Sensors.” A true and correct copy of the ’058 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

11. On September 15, 2020 the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 10,779,080 (the “’080 Patent”) entitled “Dual Omnidirectional Microphone Array.” A true and correct copy of the ’080 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

12. On September 14, 2021 the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 11,122,357 (the “’357 Patent”) entitled “Forming Virtual Microphone Arrays Using Dual Omnidirectional Microphone Array (DOMA).” A true and correct copy of the ’357 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

13. On Jun 18, 2013 the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,467,543 (the “’543 Patent”) entitled “Microphone and Voice Activity Detection (VAD) Configurations For Use with Communications Systems.” A true and correct copy of the ’543 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

14. On August 6, 2013 the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,503,691 (the “’691 Patent”) entitled “Virtual Microphone Arrays Using Dual Omnidirectional Microphone Array (DOMA).” A true and correct copy of the ’691 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

15. Jawbone is the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title, and interest to and in the ’091 Patent, ’072 Patent, ’058 Patent, ’080 Patent, ’357 Patent, ’543 Patent, and ’691 Patent (together, the “Patents-in-Suit”), and holds the exclusive right to take all actions necessary to enforce its rights to the Patents-in-Suit, including the filing of this patent infringement lawsuit. Jawbone also has the right to recover all damages for past, present, and future infringement of the Patents-in-Suit and to seek injunctive relief as appropriate under the law.

16. The technology of the Patents-in-Suit was developed by Jawbone, Inc, which was originally founded in 1998 as AliphCom, Inc. (“AliphCom”). AliphCom set out to develop a noise reducing headset that would allow soldiers to communicate better in combat conditions. In 2002, AliphCom won a contract with the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to research noise suppression techniques for the United States military.

17. AliphCom launched a mobile headset called the “Jawbone” in 2004. The “Jawbone” included the innovative noise-suppression technology that AliphCom developed for the military.² This technology virtually eliminated background noise while increasing the volume of the speakers’ voices. AliphCom followed with a Bluetooth version of the “Jawbone” in 2008 which was sold in the Apple Store.

18. On the heels of the success of the “Jawbone” products, AliphCom changed its name to Jawbone, Inc. in 2011 and later expanded its product offerings into Bluetooth speakers and

²See <https://www.wired.com/2004/09/military-headset-reaches-masses/>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.