

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

META PLATFORMS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,
Patent Owner

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,725,253

IPR2022-01308

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §312 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
	A. Real Parties-In-Interest.....	1
	B. Related Matters.....	2
	C. Counsel and Service Information.....	2
	D. 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4): Service Information.....	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.103	3
IV.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	3
V.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	4
	A. Prior Art References.....	4
	B. Relief Requested.....	6
VI.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE HERE.....	6
	A. The '253 Patent Has Not Been Subject to a Prior Petition	6
	B. The Presented Grounds and Argument Are Dissimilar to the Art and Arguments Previously Presented to the Office	7
	C. Efficiency, Fairness, and the Merits Support the Exercise of the Board's Authority to Grant the Petition	8
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY.....	10
	A. Head-Mounted Display in Virtual and Augmented Reality Systems.....	10
	B. Sensors for Tracking an Object in VR and AR.....	10
	C. Using Kalman Filters to Estimate a Tracked Object's Position and Location	12
VIII.	THE '253 PATENT.....	14

A.	Summary of the Prosecution History	16
B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	17
IX.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	17
X.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	18
A.	Overview of Welch Prior Art.....	18
B.	Overview of Harris.....	20
C.	Overview of Reitmayr.....	22
D.	Overview of Horton.....	22
XI.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....	24
A.	Ground I: Claims 1-2 and 6-9 Are Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001 and Welch 1997.....	24
1.	Motivation to Combine	24
2.	Claim 1[preamble]: “A tracking system comprising:”	25
3.	Claim 1[a]: “an estimation subsystem; and”	26
4.	Claim 1[b]: “a sensor subsystem coupled to the estimation subsystem and configured to provide configuration data to the estimation subsystem and to provide measurement information to the estimation subsystem for localizing an object;”	28
5.	Claim 1[c]: “wherein the estimation subsystem is configured to update a location estimate for the object based on configuration data and measurement information accepted from the sensor subsystem.”	32
6.	Claim 2: “The system of claim 1 wherein the sensor subsystem includes one or more sensor modules, each providing an interface for interacting with a corresponding set of one or more sensing elements.”	34
7.	Claim 6[preamble]: “A method comprising:”	36
8.	Claim 6[a]: “enumerating sensing elements available to a tracking system that includes an estimation subsystem that estimates a position or orientation of an object; and”	36

..

9.	Claim 6[b]: “providing parameters specific to the enumerated sensing elements to the tracking system to enable the estimation subsystem to be configured based on the parameters specific to the enumerated sensing elements to enable the estimation subsystem to estimate the position or orientation of the object.”	38
10.	Claim 7: “The method of claim 6, further comprising selecting a pair of sensing elements from a sequence of candidates of pairs of sensing elements, the selected pair of sensing elements being ready to make a measurement at the time of selection of the pair or at a predefined time after the time of selection of the pair, the selected pair having a highest expected utility of a measurement among the sequence of candidates.”	38
11.	Claim 8: “The method of claim 6 wherein the set of sensing elements comprises at least one sensor and at least one target, the sensor making a measurement with respect to the target.”	40
12.	Claim 9: “The method of claim 8 wherein the target comprises a natural feature in an environment.”	41
B.	Ground II: Claims 3-5 Are Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001 and Welch 1997 in Combination with Harris.	42
1.	Motivation to Combine	42
2.	Claim 3: “The system of claim 2 wherein the interface enables the sensor module to perform computations independently of an implementation of the estimation subsystem.”	44
3.	Claim 4: “The system of claim 2 wherein the interface enables the estimation subsystem to perform computations independently of an implementation of the sensor modules.”	51
4.	Claim 5: “The system of claim 1 further comprising a navigation subsystem to navigate the object in an environment based on the location estimate for the object.”	52
C.	Ground III: Claims 3 and 4 Are Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001 and Welch 1997 in Combination with Reitmayr.	54

...

1.	Motivation to Combine	54
2.	Claim 3: “The system of claim 2 wherein the interface enables the sensor module to perform computations independently of an implementation of the estimation subsystem.”	56
3.	Claim 4: “The system of claim 2 wherein the interface enables the estimation subsystem to perform computations independently of an implementation of the sensor modules.”	57
D.	Ground IV: Claims 1-9 Are Rendered Obvious by Horton.	58
1.	Claim 1[preamble]: “A tracking system comprising:”	58
2.	Claim 1[a]: “an estimation subsystem; and”	58
3.	Claim 1[b]: “a sensor subsystem coupled to the estimation subsystem and configured to provide configuration data to the estimation subsystem and to provide measurement information to the estimation subsystem for localizing an object;”	60
4.	Claim 1[c]: “wherein the estimation subsystem is configured to update a location estimate for the object based on configuration data and measurement information accepted from the sensor subsystem.”	62
5.	Claim 2: “The system of claim 1 wherein the sensor subsystem includes one or more sensor modules, each providing an interface for interacting with a corresponding set of one or more sensing elements.”	63
6.	Claim 3: “The system of claim 2 wherein the interface enables the sensor module to perform computations independently of an implementation of the estimation subsystem.”	64
7.	Claim 4: “The system of claim 2 wherein the interface enables the estimation subsystem to perform computations independently of an implementation of the sensor modules.”	65
8.	Claim 5: “The system of claim 1 further comprising a navigation subsystem to navigate the object in an environment based on the location estimate for the object.”	66
9.	Claim 6[preamble]: “A method comprising:”	67

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.