

## DECLARATION OF SCOTT DELMAN

I, Scott Delman, am over twenty-one (21) years of age. I have never been convicted of a felony, and I am fully competent to make this Declaration. I declare the following to be true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:


1. I am Director of Publications for the Association for Computing Machinery (“ACM”).
2. Neither I nor ACM itself is being compensated for this Declaration.
3. Among my responsibilities as Director of Publications, I act as a custodian of records for ACM’s publications.
4. I make this Declaration based on my personal knowledge, information contained in the business records of ACM, or confirmation with other responsible ACM personnel with such knowledge.
5. As part of its ordinary course of business, ACM publishes technical papers, including ACM’s conference proceedings, journals, and other full-text publications. ACM publications are distributed to ACM’s individual and institutional subscribers, ACM members, and/or ACM conference attendees. Additionally, ACM publications are available for public download through ACM’s Digital Library (as described further herein), which has existed since July 1997. Prior to the creation of the Digital Library in July 1997, members of the public could have obtained access to ACM publications by contacting ACM directly.
6. As part of its ordinary course of business, since July 1997, ACM has maintained a Digital Library where ACM publications are available to the public, either for free or purchase, depending on the article. The Digital Library includes keyword search functionality permitting users to search for articles using keyword search terms and to filter search results by whether the term appears in the title, full-text, index terms, and/or abstract of the article. In addition, users may search by author name, and may filter search results by the particular type of journal, type of proceeding, and/or the date of publication.
7. In addition to providing access to ACM publications, the Digital Library also indexes papers by other publishers. When a non-ACM publication is indexed in the Digital Library, the public may access “metadata” concerning the publication through the Digital Library but cannot access the full text of the paper; instead, a member of the public who wishes to obtain such a paper would have to contact the publisher of that paper directly.
8. “SCAAT: incremental tracking with incomplete information” by Greg Welch and Gary Bishop on August 3, 1997, in Proceedings of the 24th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, (SIGGRAPH '97). ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., USA, 333–344. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/258734.258876>. Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of this paper.

9. The conference started on August 3, 1997, and the paper would have been available to the conference attendees on this date.

10. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief, are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on: December 20, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Scott Delman, Director of Publications

# Exhibit 1

# SCAAT: Incremental Tracking with Incomplete Information

Greg Welch and Gary Bishop

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

We present a promising new mathematical method for tracking a user's pose (position and orientation) for interactive computer graphics. The method, which is applicable to a wide variety of both commercial and experimental systems, improves accuracy by properly assimilating sequential observations, filtering sensor measurements, and by concurrently autocalibrating source and sensor devices. It facilitates user motion prediction, multisensor data fusion, and higher report rates with lower latency than previous methods.

Tracking systems determine the user's pose by measuring signals from low-level hardware sensors. For reasons of physics and economics, most systems make multiple sequential measurements which are then combined to produce a single tracker report. For example, commercial magnetic trackers using the SPASYN (*Space Synchro*) system sequentially measure three magnetic vectors and then combine them mathematically to produce a report of the sensor pose.

Our new approach produces tracker reports as each new low-level sensor measurement is made rather than waiting to form a complete collection of observations. Because single observations under-constrain the mathematical solution, we refer to our approach as single-constraint-at-a-time or SCAAT tracking. The key is that the single observations provide some information about the user's state, and thus can be used to incrementally improve a previous estimate. We recursively apply this principle, incorporating new sensor data as soon as it is measured. With this approach we are able to generate estimates more frequently, with less latency, and with improved accuracy. We present results from both an actual implementation, and from extensive simulations.

**CR Categories and Subject Descriptors:** I.3.7 [Computer Graphics] Three-Dimensional Graphics and Realism—Virtual reality; I.4.4 [Image Processing] Restoration—Kalman filtering; I.4.8 [Image Processing] Scene Analysis—Sensor fusion; G.0 [Mathematics of Computing] General—Numerical Analysis, Probability and Statistics, Mathematical Software.

**Additional Key Words and Phrases:** virtual environments tracking, feature tracking, calibration, autocalibration, delay, latency, sensor fusion, Kalman filter.

---

<sup>†</sup> CB 3175, Sitterson Hall, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599-3175  
welch@cs.unc.edu, <http://www.cs.unc.edu/~welch>  
gb@cs.unc.edu, <http://www.cs.unc.edu/~gb>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The method we present requires, we believe, a fundamental change in the way people think about estimating a set of unknowns in general, and tracking for virtual environments in particular. Most of us have the preconceived notion that to estimate a set of unknowns we need as many constraints as there are degrees of freedom at any particular instant in time. What we present instead is a method to constrain the unknowns *over time*, continually refining an estimate for the solution, a *single constraint at a time*.

For applications in which the constraints are provided by real-time observations of physical devices, e.g. through measurements of sensors or visual sightings of landmarks, the SCAAT method isolates the effects of error in individual measurements. This isolation can provide improved filtering as well as the ability to individually calibrate the respective devices or landmarks concurrently and continually while tracking. The method facilitates user motion prediction, multisensor or multiple modality data fusion, and in systems where the constraints can only be determined sequentially, it provides estimates at a higher rate and with lower latency than multiple-constraint (batch) approaches.

With respect to tracking for virtual environments, we are currently using the SCAAT method with a new version of the UNC wide-area optoelectronic tracking system (section 4). The method could also be used by developers of commercial tracking systems to improve their existing systems or it could be employed by end-users to improve custom multiple modality hybrid systems. With respect to the more general problem of estimating a set of unknowns that are related by some set of mathematical constraints, one could use the method to trade estimate quality for computation time. For example one could incorporate individual constraints, one at a time, stopping when the uncertainty in the solution reached an acceptable level.

### 1.1 Incomplete Information

The idea that one might build a tracking system that generates a new estimate with each individual sensor measurement or *observation* is a very interesting one. After all, individual observations usually provide only partial information about a user's complete state (pose), i.e. they are "incomplete" observations. For example, for a camera observing landmarks in a scene, only limited information is obtained from observations of any single landmark. In terms of control theory, a system designed to operate with only such incomplete measurements is characterized as *unobservable* because the user state cannot be observed (determined) from the measurements.

The notion of observability can also be described in terms of constraints on the unknown parameters of the system being estimated, e.g. constraints on the unknown elements of the system state. Given a particular system, and the corresponding set of unknowns that are to be estimated, let  $C$  be defined as the minimal number of independent simultaneous constraints necessary to uniquely determine a solution, let  $N$  be the number actually used to generate a new estimate, and let  $N_{\text{ind}}$  be the number of *independent* constraints that can be formed from the  $N$  constraints. For any  $N \geq N_{\text{ind}}$  constraints, if  $N_{\text{ind}} = C$  the problem is *well constrained*, if  $N_{\text{ind}} > C$  it is *over constrained*, and if  $N_{\text{ind}} < C$  it is *under-constrained*. (See Figure 1.)




Figure 1: SCAAT and constraints on a system of simultaneous equations.  $C$  is the minimal number of independent simultaneous constraints necessary to uniquely determine a solution,  $N$  is the number of given constraints, and  $N_{\text{ind}}$  is the number of *independent* constraints that can be formed from the  $N$ . (For most systems of interest  $C > 1$ ). The conventional approach is to ensure  $N \geq N_{\text{ind}}$  and  $N_{\text{ind}} \geq C$ , i.e. to use enough measurements to well-constrain or even over-constrain the estimate. The SCAAT approach is to employ the smallest number of constraints available at any one time, generally  $N = N_{\text{ind}} = 1$  constraint. From this viewpoint, each SCAAT estimate is severely under-constrained.

## 1.2 Landmark Tracking

Consider for example a system in which a single camera is used to observe known scene points to determine the camera position and orientation. In this case, the constraints provided by the observations are multi-dimensional: 2D image coordinates of 3D scene points. Given the internal camera parameters, a set of four known coplanar scene points, and the corresponding image coordinates, the camera position and orientation can be uniquely determined in closed-form [16]. In other words if  $N = C = 4$  constraints (2D image points) are used to estimate the camera position and orientation, the system is completely observable. On the other hand, if  $N < C$  then there are multiple solutions. For example with only  $N = 3$  non-collinear points, there are up to 4 solutions. Even worse, with  $N = 2$  or  $N = 1$  points, there are infinite combinations of position and orientation that could result in the same camera images.

In general, for closed-form tracking approaches, a well or over-constrained system with  $N \geq C$  is observable, an under-constrained system with  $N < C$  is not. Therefore, if the individual observations provide only partial information, i.e. the measurements provide insufficient constraints, then multiple devices or landmarks must be excited and (or) sensed prior to estimating a solution. Sometimes the necessary observations can be obtained simultaneously, and sometimes they can not. Magnetic trackers such as those made by Polhemus and Ascension perform three *sequential* source excitations, each in conjunction with a complete sensor unit observation. And while a camera can indeed observe multiple landmarks simultaneously in a single image, the image processing to identify and locate the individual landmarks must be done sequentially for a single CPU system. If the landmarks can move independently over time, for example if they are artificial marks placed on the skin of an ultrasound patient for the purpose of landmark-based tracking [41], batch processing of the landmarks can reduce the effectiveness of the system. A SCAAT implementation might grab an image, extract a *single* landmark, update the estimates of both the camera *and* landmark positions, and then throw-away the image. In this way estimates are generated faster and with the most recent landmark configurations.

## 1.3 Putting the Pieces Together

Given a tracker that uses multiple constraints that are each individually incomplete, a *measurement model* for any one of incomplete constraints would be characterized as *locally unobservable*. Such a system must incorporate a sufficient set of these incomplete constraints so that the resulting overall system is observable. The corresponding aggregate measurement model can then be characterized as *globally observable*. Global observability can be obtained *over space* or *over time*. The SCAAT method adopts the latter scheme, even in some cases where the former is possible.

## 2 MOTIVATION

### 2.1 The Simultaneity Assumption

Several well-known virtual environment tracking systems collect position and orientation constraints (sensor measurements) sequentially. For example, tracking systems developed by Polhemus and Ascension depend on sensing a sequence of variously polarized electromagnetic waves or fields. A system that facilitated simultaneous polarized excitations would be very difficult if not impossible to implement. Similarly both the original UNC optoelectronic tracking system and the newer HiBall version are designed to observe only one ceiling-mounted LED at a time. Based on the available literature [25,27,37] these systems currently assume (mathematically) that their sequential observations were collected simultaneously. We refer to this as the *simultaneity assumption*. If the target remains motionless this assumption introduces no error. However if the target is moving, the violation of the assumption introduces error.

To put things into perspective, consider that typical arm and wrist motion can occur in as little as 1/2 second, with typical “fast” wrist tangential motion occurring at 3 meters/second [1]. For the current versions of the above systems such motion corresponds to approximately 2 to 6 centimeters of translation *throughout* the sequence of measurements required for a single estimate. For systems that attempt sub-millimeter accuracies, even slow motion occurring during a sequence of sequential measurements impacts the accuracy of the estimates.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.