

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

META PLATFORMS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,
Patent Owner

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,725,253

IPR2022-01308

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO
PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,725,253**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION1

 A. “Estimation Subsystem,” “Sensor Subsystem,” And “Coupled To”1

 B. “Sensor Module”5

 C. “Configuration Data”6

 D. “Enumerating Sensing Elements Available To A Tracking System”7

 E. “Expected Utility Of A Measurement”8

 F. “Set Of Sensing Elements”8

III. ANALYSIS.....9

 A. Ground I9

 1. Claims 1-29

 2. Claims 6 And 813

 3. Claim 715

 4. Claim 915

 B. Ground II16

 1. Claims 3-416

 2. Claim 518

 C. Ground III.....18

 D. Ground IV.....19

 1. Claims 1 And 519

 2. Claims 2-326

 3. Claim 427

 4. Claim 627

 5. Claim 729

 6. Claims 8-930

E. Ground V30

 1. Claim 730

 2. Claim 8-9.....31

IV. OBJECTIVE INDICIA.....31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Ex parte Amrita Mathuriya et al.</i> , Appeal 2022-003116, 2023 WL 2424996 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 7, 2023)	2
<i>Becton, Dickinson and Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Group, LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4
<i>Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC v. Promptu Sys. Corp.</i> , IPR2018-00345, Paper 59 (P.T.A.B. June 28, 2019)	4, 5
<i>CUPP Computing AS v. Trend Micro Inc.</i> , 53 F.4th 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	2
<i>General Elec. Co. v. ITC</i> , 685 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	3
<i>In re GPAC Inc.</i> , 57 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	31
<i>Linear Tech. Corp. v. ITC</i> , 566 F.3d 1049 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	2
<i>Novo Industries, L.P. v. Micro Molds Corporation</i> , 350 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	9
<i>NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd.</i> , 418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005), <i>abrogated in part on other grounds</i>	4
<i>Skedco, Inc. v. Strategic Operations, Inc.</i> , 685 F. App’x 956 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	4
<i>Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc. v. Michigan Motor Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2020-00446, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 25, 2020).....	9
<i>Wi-LAN Inc. v. Sharp Elecs. Corp.</i> , 992 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	3

Other Authorities

MPEP §14819

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.