

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

META PLATFORMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,
Patent Owner

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,922,632

IPR2022-01305

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §312 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Counsel and Service Information.....	2
D. 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4): Service Information.....	3
III. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.103	3
IV. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	3
V. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	4
A. Prior Art References	4
B. Relief Requested.....	5
VI. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE HERE.....	6
A. The '632 Patent Has Not Been Subject to a Prior Petition	6
B. Multiple Petitions are Warranted	6
C. The Presented Grounds and Argument Are Dissimilar to the Art and Arguments Previously Presented to the Office	7
D. Efficiency, Fairness, and the Merits Support the Exercise of the Board's Authority to Grant the Petition	7
VII. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY	9
A. Head-Mounted Display in Virtual and Augmented Reality Systems.....	9
B. Sensors for Tracking an Object in VR and AR.....	10

C.	Using Kalman Filters to Estimate the Position and Location of a Tracked Object	11
VIII.	THE '632 PATENT	12
IX.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	13
X.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	13
XI.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	14
A.	Overview of Welch Prior Art.....	14
B.	Overview of Horton.....	15
XII.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION	16
A.	<u>Ground I</u> : Claims 30-36, 44-45, 47-49, 51-53, and 59-61 are Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001 and Welch 1997	16
B.	<u>Ground II</u> : Claim 50 is Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001, Welch 1997, and Welch Thesis.....	41
C.	<u>Ground III</u> : Claims 54-55 and 57-58 are Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001 and Welch 1997 in view of Harris	42
D.	<u>Ground IV</u> : Claims 30-33, 47, 50-53, and 59-61 are Rendered Obvious by Horton	48
E.	<u>Ground V</u> : Claims 34-36 are Rendered Obvious by Horton in View of Welch 1997.....	66
F.	<u>Ground VI</u> : Claims 54-55 and 57-58 are Rendered Obvious by Horton in view of Harris	69
XIII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	74
XIV.	CONCLUSION	74

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH,</i> IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 13, 2020).....	7
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,</i> IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020).....	7, 9
<i>Becton, Dickinson, & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG,</i> IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017)	7
<i>Bowtech, Inc. v. MCP IP, LLC,</i> IPR2019-00383, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 6, 2019).....	7
<i>Fasteners for Retail, Inc. v. RTC Indus., Inc.,</i> IPR2019-00994, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 5, 2019).....	7
<i>Gentex Corporation et al. v. Facebook, Inc. et al.,</i> No. 6:21-cv-00755-ADA (W.D. Tex.)	2, 6, 8, 9
<i>Microchip Technology Inc. v. Bell Semiconductor, LLC,</i> IPR2021-00148, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. May 14, 2021)	8
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.,</i> 868 F. 3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	13
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.,</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	14
<i>Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Cont’l Intermodal Grp.-Trucking LLC,</i> IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (P.T.A.B. June 16, 2020)	8, 9
<i>Slayback Pharma LLC, v. Eye Therapies, LLC,</i> IPR2022-00146, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. May 18, 2022)	8
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.,</i> IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2020)	8

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,922,632

Wyers v. Master Lock Co.,
616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010)74

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.