

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

META PLATFORMS, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,922,632

IPR2022-01304

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

December 27, 2022

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iv
TABLE OF EXHIBITS .....	vii
I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8).....	1
A. Real Party in Interest.....	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Counsel and Service Information.....	2
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4): Service Information.....	3
II. INTRODUCTION .....	3
III. BACKGROUND .....	5
A. The '632 Patent .....	5
B. Welch 2001.....	8
C. Welch 1997.....	9
D. Welch Thesis.....	9
E. Horton.....	9
F. Kramer.....	11
G. Chen.....	12
H. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	12
IV. PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF DEMONSTRATING OBVIOUSNESS OF ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	13
A. The Petition Fails to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood that Welch 2001 and Welch 1997 Render Any Claim Obvious (Ground I).....	13
1. Petitioner Fails to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood that Welch 2001 and Welch 1997 Render Claims 1-9, 11-22, and 24-29 Obvious.....	14
2. Petitioner Fails to Incorporate Its Arguments Regarding Independent Claims into Arguments Regarding Dependent Claims 2-9, 11-22, and 24-29.....	26

B. The Petition Fails to Establish that Welch 2001, Welch 1997, and Welch Thesis Render Claim 23 Obvious (Ground II).....28

C. The Petition Fails to Establish that Horton Renders Any Claim Obvious (Ground III). .....29

1. The Petition Does Not Adequately Specify the Bases for This Ground, and Therefore Fails on Procedural Grounds. ....30

2. Petitioner Failed to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood that Horton Renders the Challenged Claims Obvious.....32

D. The Petition Fails to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood that Horton in View of Welch 1997 Renders Any Claim Obvious (Ground IV).....46

E. The Petition Fails to Establish That Kramer and Chen Render Claims 66-68 Obvious (Grounds V). .....46

1. Chen is not Analogous Art.....47

2. Failure of Motivation to Combine .....50

3. Kramer and Chen Fail to Teach Selective Performance.....52

4. Kramer and Chen Fail to Teach “configuring a data processing module of the tracking or navigation system based on the sensor configuration information.” .....54

F. The Petition Fails to Establish That Kramer, Chen, and Welch 2001 Render Claim 69 Obvious (Grounds VI). .....55

1. Chen is Non-Analogous Art.....55

2. Failure of Motivation to Combine. ....55

3. Kramer and Chen Fail to Teach Selective Performance.....55

V. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(D).....55

CONCLUSION.....58

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### FEDERAL CASES

<i>Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	35
<i>BlephEx, LLC v. Myco Indus., Inc.</i> , 24 F.4th 1391 (Fed. Cir. 2022) .....	24
<i>Gaus v. Conair Corp.</i> , 363 F.3d 1284, 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	35
<i>Gentex Corp. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.</i> , No. 5:22-cv-03892-YGR (N.D. Cal. 2022) .....	1, 56
<i>Gentex Corp. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00755-ADA (W.D. Tex. 2021) .....	1, 56
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	47
<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992) .....	48
<i>In re Icon Health &amp; Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	48
<i>In re Klein</i> , 647 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	47
<i>In re Montgomery</i> , 677 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	32
<i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litig.</i> , 483 F.3d 1364, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	31
<i>In re Wesslau</i> , 353 F.2d 238 (1965).....	52
<i>In re Wood &amp; Eversole</i> , 599 F.2d 1032 (C.C.P.A. 1979).....	47

*Innovention Toys, LLC v. MGA Ent., Inc.*,  
637 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....47

*Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.*,  
821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....27

*Monsanto Co. v. Syngenta Seeds, Inc.*,  
503 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....46

*TQ Delta, LLC v. CISCO Sys., Inc.*,  
942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....42

*Univ. of Strathclyde v. Clear-Vu Lighting LLC*,  
17 F.4th 155 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....21

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....47

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)..... 27, 30

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) ..... 4, 13, 55

35 U.S.C. § 315(b) .....57

35 U.S.C. § 325(d) .....55

**OFFICE AUTHORITIES**

*Adaptics Ltd. v. Perfect Co.*,  
IPR2018-01596, Paper 20 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 6, 2019).....31

*Apple Inc. v. OpenTV, Inc.*,  
IPR2015-01031, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 13, 2015).....30

*Apple, Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.*,  
IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020).....55

*Biofrontera Inc. v. DUSA Pharms., Inc.*,  
IPR2018-01585, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 26, 2019).....57

*Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC*,  
IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 29, 2014)..... 19, 21

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.