Matthew S. Warren (State Bar No. 230565)	
` '	
2261 Market Street, No. 606	
Facsimile: 415-895-2964	
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP	
Elise M. Baumgarten*	
Arthur John Argall III*	
Washington, DC 20024	
* Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>	
Attornevs for Plaintiffs Gentex Corp. and	
Indigo Technologies, LLC	
IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	Case No.: 22-cv-03892-YGR
	Case 110 22-ev-03072-1 GR
Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND
THALES VISIONIX, INC.,	INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS
Involuntary Plaintiff,	PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 3-1
,	
V.	
META PLATFORMS, INC. and META	
TEATFORMS TECHNOLOGIES, ELC,	
Defendants.	
	Q 14 06 0000 1555
PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOSURE	Case No. 22-cv-3892-YGR OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND
	Erika H. Warren (State Bar No. 295570) Sachli Balazadeh-Nayeri (State Bar No. 341885) 2261 Market Street, No. 606 San Francisco, California 94114 Telephone: 415-895-2940 Facsimile: 415-895-2964 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP David I. Berl* Adam D. Harber* Elise M. Baumgarten* Melissa B. Collins* D. Shayon Ghosh (Cal. Bar No. 313628) Arthur John Argall III* Andrew G. Borrasso* 680 Maine Avenue SW Washington, DC 20024 Telephone: 202-434-5000 Facsimile: 202-434-5029 * Admitted pro hac vice Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gentex Corp. and Indigo Technologies, LLC IN THE UNITED STA FOR THE NORTHERN D GENTEX CORPORATION and INDIGO TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Plaintiffs, THALES VISIONIX, INC., Involuntary Plaintiff, v. META PLATFORMS, INC. and META PLATFORMS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,



INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS

Pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-1 and the Court's Case Management and Pretrial Order (Dkt. No. 116), Plaintiffs Gentex Corporation and Indigo Technologies, LLC (collectively, "Gentex") hereby serve their Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions.

These infringement contentions are preliminary. Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, "Meta") have not yet provided any information or technical documents other than source code, and Gentex's investigation is ongoing. The Court also has not yet construed any of the asserted claims. Gentex specifically reserves its right to supplement these disclosures—including by asserting additional claims, accusing different or additional functionality, and accusing additional and/or different products—based on the Court's claim construction, pursuant to Patent Local Rule 3-6(a), or based on information obtained, including through discovery, as the case progresses, pursuant to Patent Local Rule 3-6(c). Gentex also reserves the right to amend its infringement contentions and asserted claims based on the concurrent proceedings before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office regarding the asserted patents.

As set forth in detail in Gentex's Complaint and the Exhibits attached thereto (Dkt. No. 1), Gentex alleges that Meta has infringed and continues to infringe, directly and indirectly, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,757,068 (the "'068 patent"), 7,301,648 (the "'648 patent"), 8,224,024 (the "'024 patent"), 6,922,632 (the "'632 patent"), and 7,725,253 (the "'253 patent") (collectively, the "Asserted Patents").

I. IDENTIFICATION OF ASSERTED CLAIMS (PATENT L.R. 3-1(A))

Based upon presently known information, Gentex alleges that Meta has infringed and continues to infringe the following claims (collectively, the "Asserted Claims") of the Asserted Patents both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c):

- '068 patent: Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8, 11-12, 14-20, 23-33, 35, 41, 45-48, 50, 54, and 56-59
- '648 patent: Claims 1-5, 8-11, 16-18, 20-32, 35, 37-38, and 40-44
- '024 patent: Claim 1
- '632 patent: Claims 1-2, 5-8, 24-25, 28-29, 47, 50-53, and 59-61
- '253 patent: Claims 1-4, 6, and 7-9

Case No. 22-cv-3892-YGR

PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



Based upon presently known information, Gentex alleges that Meta has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing its Oculus Rift S, Oculus Quest, Oculus Quest 2, and Meta Quest 2 products (collectively, with their respective related instructions, systems, services, and software, the "Accused Products"). For a table listing each claim separately, see *infra* Table 1.

III. CLAIM CHARTS FOR THE ASSERTED PATENTS (PATENT L.R. 3-1(C)–(E))

Gentex attaches as Exhibits 1-5 claim charts identifying the manner in which the Accused Products infringe each element of the asserted claims. The charts further include, for each claim that is alleged to have been indirectly infringed, an identification of any direct infringement and a description of Meta's actions that contribute to or are inducing that direct infringement based on presently known information. The charts also include, for each claim that is allege to have been directly infringed based on joint acts of multiple parties, the role of each such party in the direct infringement based on presently known information. The charts further specify which claim elements are literally present in the Accused Products and which claim elements are present under the doctrine of equivalents.

These claim charts are based on a reasonable investigation of information currently available to Gentex. These infringement contentions are intended to serve a notice function, and do not constitute an exhaustive explanation of all theories Gentex may present in this case. Gentex reserves the right to amend, revise, alter, or otherwise modify these charts as this case progresses, including to incorporate new information obtained during the course of discovery pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-6(c).

Exhibit 1 sets forth Gentex's contentions concerning Meta's direct and indirect infringement of the Asserted Claims of the '068 patent, including a chart setting forth where in the Accused

2 Case No. 22-cv-3892-YGR

PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOUSRE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



¹ Gentex understands that Meta will release a new product, Meta Quest Pro, on October 25, 2022. See Meta, Introducing Meta Quest Pro, an Advanced VR Device for Collaboration and Creation, Oculus Blog (Oct. 11, 2022), https://www.oculus.com/blog/meta-quest-pro-price- release-date/. Once the Meta Quest Pro is released, Gentex reserves the right to update these contentions and pleadings as appropriate.

Products each element of the claims is found, to the best of Gentex's current knowledge and information.

Exhibit 2 sets forth Gentex's contentions concerning Meta's direct and indirect infringement of the Asserted Claims of the '648 patent, including a chart setting forth where in the Accused Products each element of the claims is found, to the best of Gentex's current knowledge and information.

Exhibit 3 sets forth Gentex's contentions concerning Meta's direct and indirect infringement of claim 1 of the '024 patent, including a chart setting forth where in the Accused Products each element of the aforementioned claim is found, to the best of Gentex's current knowledge and information.

Exhibit 4 sets forth Gentex's contentions concerning Meta's direct and indirect infringement of the Asserted Claims of the '632 patent, including a chart setting forth where in the Accused Products each element of the claims is found, to the best of Gentex's current knowledge and information.

Exhibit 5 sets forth Gentex's contentions concerning Meta's direct and indirect infringement of the Asserted Claims of the '253 patent, including a chart setting forth where in the Accused Products each element of the claims is found, to the best of Gentex's current knowledge and information.

The Court has not yet construed the Asserted Claims. Depending on any constructions by the Court as to the Asserted Claims, and/or positions that Meta or its expert witness(es) may take concerning claim interpretation, infringement, and/or validity issues, the charts in Exhibit 1–5 and the disclosures referenced therein may be of greater or lesser relevance, and different disclosures relating to the Accused Products may be implicated. Given this uncertainty, the charts may reflect alternative applications of the claims to the Accused Products. Nothing stated herein shall be construed as an admission or a waiver of any particular construction of any claim term.

Case No. 22-cv-3892-YGR

PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOUSRE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



IV. THE PRIORITY DATE TO WHICH EACH ASSERTED CLAIM IS ENTITLED (PATENT L.R. 3-1(F))

Gentex contends that the Asserted Claims of the '068 patent are entitled to a priority date of January 28, 2000, the date of the filing of Provisional Application No. 60/178,797, to which the '068 patent claims priority.

Gentex contends that the Asserted Claims of the '648 patent are entitled to a priority date of January 28, 2000, the date of the filing of Provisional Application No. 60/178,797, to which the '648 patent claims priority.

Gentex contends that claim 1 of the '024 patent is entitled to a priority date no earlier than July 14, 2005, or in the alternative, no later than the October 4, 2005 filing of Provisional Application No. 60/723,648, to which the '024 patent claims priority.

Gentex contends that the Asserted Claims of the '632 patent are entitled to a priority date no earlier than June 13, 2001, or in the alternative, no later than the August 9, 2002 filing of Provisional Application No. 60/402,178, to which the '632 patent claims priority.

Gentex contends that the Asserted Claims of the '253 patent are entitled to a priority date no earlier than June 13, 2001, or in the alternative, no later than the August 9, 2002 filing of Provisional Application No. 60/402,178, to which the '253 patent claims priority.

Gentex's investigation is ongoing, and not all materials related to the conception and reduction to practice of the Asserted Claims are in its possession. Gentex reserves the right to amend its contentions regarding the priority dates of the Asserted Claims, including to identify and establish earlier dates, based on information learned as the case progresses.

V. GENTEX PRODUCTS THAT PRACTICE THE CLAIMED INVENTIONS (PATENT L.R. 3-1(G))

Gentex does not presently intend to rely on the assertion that its or its licensee's current apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention. Gentex's investigation is ongoing, however, and not all materials related to the practice of the claimed inventions are in its possession. In particular, Gentex is aware that, during the approximately one year that Gentex owned Intersense, Inc., Intersense sold products marked as practicing one or more of the Asserted Patents, including the IS-900 PCI, IS-900 VE Tracker, IS-900

4 Case No. 22-cv-3892-YGR

PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOUSRE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

