

Ex. 1005 - Declaration of Ulrich Neumann, Ph.D.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

META PLATFORMS, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

THALES VISIONIX, INC.  
Patent Owner

IPR2022-01304, -01305

**DECLARATION OF DR. ULRICH NEUMANN UNDER C.F.R. §1.68  
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S.  
PATENT NO. 6,922,632**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. QUALIFICATIONS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
A. Education Background and Career History.....	2
B. Publications and Patents.....	3
C. Other Relevant Qualifications.....	4
D. Prior Testimony.....	5
<b>III. UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>IV. BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY AND PATENTS.....</b>	<b>9</b>
A. Technology Background .....	9
1. Head-mounted Display in Virtual and Augmented Reality Systems.....	9
2. Sensors for Tracking an Object in VR and AR .....	10
3. Calibrating Sensors to Improve Tracking Accuracy .....	11
4. Using Kalman Filters to Estimate the Position and Location of a Tracked Object .....	13
B. The '632 Patent .....	15
<b>V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>VII. PRIOR ART REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>17</b>
A. Welch Prior Art .....	18
B. Horton.....	20
C. Harris .....	22

D. Kramer.....23  
E. Chen.....25

**VIII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION NO. 1: '632 PATENT CLAIMS 1-29 AND 66-69.....26**

A. Ground I: Claims 1-9, 11-22, and 24-29 Are Rendered Obvious by Welch 2001 and Welch 1997 .....26

1. Motivation to Combine .....26

2. Claim 1 .....27

3. Claim 2: “The method of claim 1 wherein coupling the sensor subsystem to the estimation subsystem includes coupling software modules each associated with one or more of the sensing elements.” .....32

4. Claim 3: “The method of claim 2 wherein each of the software modules provides a software interface for receiving information related to an expected sensor measurement and providing measurement information that depends on said received information.” .....33

5. Claim 4: “The method of claim 3 wherein each of the software modules implements calculations that are independent of a representation of the state in the estimation subsystem.” .....34

6. Claim 5: “The method of claim 1 wherein the state estimate characterizes an estimate of a location of the object.” .....34

7. Claim 6: “The method of claim 1 wherein the state estimate characterizes configuration information for one or more sensing elements fixed to the object.” .....34

8. Claim 7: “The method of claim 6 wherein the configuration information for the one or more sensing elements fixed to the object includes information related to position or orientation of said sensing elements relative to the object.” .....35

Ex. 1005 - Declaration of Ulrich Neumann, Ph.D.

9.	Claim 8: “The method of claim 6 wherein the configuration information for the one or more sensing elements fixed to the object includes operational parameters for the one or more sensing elements.” .....	36
10.	Claim 9: “The method of claim 1 wherein the state estimate characterizes configuration information for one or more sensing elements fixed in an environment of the object.” .....	37
11.	Claim 11 .....	38
12.	Claim 12: “The method of claim 11 wherein providing the information related to an expected sensor measurement includes providing information related to a relative geometric configuration of two of the sensing elements.” .....	39
13.	Claim 13: “The method of claim 12 wherein providing information related to a relative geometric configuration of the two of the sensing elements includes providing information characterizing a relative location of said sensing elements” .....	40
14.	Claim 14: “The method of claim 11 wherein accepting the information related to an actual sensor measurement includes accepting information enabling the estimation subsystem to calculate a difference between the actual measurement and the expected measurement.” .....	41
15.	Claim 15: “The method of claim 11 wherein accepting the information related to an actual sensor measurement includes accepting information for correlating measurements and geometric relationships between sensing elements.” .....	41
16.	Claim 16: “The method of claim 15 wherein the information for correlating measurements and geometric relationships between sensing elements includes a mapping between a relative pose of the sensing elements and a sensor measurement.” .....	42

17.	Claim 17: “The method of claim 16 wherein the mapping between the relative pose of the sensing elements and the sensor measurement characterizes a linear mapping.” .....	43
18.	Claim 18: “The method of claim 11 wherein accepting the information related to an actual sensor measurement includes accepting information characterizing an uncertainty in the actual measurement.” .....	43
19.	Claim 19: “The method of claim 18 wherein the information characterizing the uncertainty in the actual measurement includes parameters of a statistical distribution of an error of the actual measurement.” .....	44
20.	Claim 20 .....	45
21.	Claim 21: “The method of claim 20 wherein selecting the pair of sensing elements includes selecting said elements according to an expected utility of a measurement associated with said elements to the updating of the state.” .....	46
22.	Claim 22: “The method of claim 11 wherein repeatedly updating the state further includes: updating the state according to the accepted information related to an actual sensor measurement.” .....	46
23.	Claim 24: “The method of claim 1 wherein updating the state estimate includes applying a Kalman Filter approach.” .....	47
24.	Claim 25: “The method of claim 1 wherein each of said sensing elements comprises at least one of a sensor and a target.” .....	48
25.	Claim 26: “The method of claim 25 wherein the target comprises an active device that interacts with the sensor.” .....	48
26.	Claim 27: “The method of claim 26 wherein the target comprises at least one of a man-made signal reflector and a natural feature of an environment.” .....	49

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.