

Filed: September 13, 2022

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Irfan A. Lateef (Reg. No. 51,922)
Ted M. Cannon (Reg. No. 55,036)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404
Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR127-2@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01300
U.S. Patent 7,761,127

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR JEREMIAH S. HELM TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE* ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER MASIMO CORPORATION

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to the Board's August 4, 2022, Notice of Filing Date Accorded (Paper 4) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.10(c) and 42.22, Patent Owner Masimo Corporation ("Masimo") hereby moves for an Order allowing Jeremiah S. Helm of Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Masimo in the above-captioned case. Masimo has conferred with counsel for Petitioner Apple Inc. ("Apple"), and Apple's counsel indicated that Apple does not intend to oppose Masimo's motion to allow Mr. Helm to appear *pro hac vice* in this matter.

II. LIST OF EXHIBITS RELIED UPON FOR THIS MOTION

Masimo Exhibit 2001 - Declaration of Jeremiah S. Helm in Support of Motion to Appear *Pro Hac Vice* on Behalf of Patent Owner Masimo Corporation.

III. REASONS THE REQUESTED RELIEF SHOULD BE GRANTED

As set forth below in the Statement of Material Facts, Masimo has made all of the showings required under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) for recognizing Mr. Helm *pro hac vice*. In particular, Mr. Helm is an experienced litigation attorney who has represented clients in numerous patent litigation cases in various United States District Courts and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, including technically and legally complex matters such as will be present in this proceeding. Accordingly, allowing Mr. Helm to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Masimo is appropriate in this proceeding.

IV. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

1. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) provides that “[t]he Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.”

2. Lead counsel for Masimo in this *inter partes* review proceeding is Irfan A. Lateef. Mr. Lateef is registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office and holds Registration No. 51,922.

3. As set forth in Masimo Exhibit 2001 (the “Helm Declaration”), Mr. Helm is an experienced litigation attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Helm Decl. ¶¶ 2-4. In particular, Mr. Helm has over 12 years of experience as a patent litigator and has represented clients in numerous patent litigation cases in various proceedings in United States District Courts and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. *Id.* ¶ 2.

4. Further, Mr. Helm holds a Ph.D. degree in Chemistry from Princeton University and served as a law clerk to the Honorable Kimberly A. Moore, Chief

Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. *Id.* ¶ 3.

Moreover, Mr. Helm is experienced with technically and legally complex matters in the field of chemistry and biotechnology. *Id.*

5. With regard to U.S. Patent 10,945,648 (“the ’648 patent”), the patent at issue in this proceeding, Mr. Helm is familiar with the ’648 patent, and with the legal subject matter, technical subject matter, and cited art discussed in the petition for *inter partes* review of the ’648 patent and Apple’s petition for *inter partes* review. *Id.* ¶ 4. In view of his legal experience, technical background, and familiarity with the issues in the present matter, Patent Owner Masimo has requested his services in the present matter. Denial of his appearance in this case would create an undue burden on Patent Owner. *Id.*

6. Mr. Helm has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations. *Id.* ¶ 9. Mr. Helm also agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.* ¶ 10.

7. Finally, Mr. Helm has attested to the remaining elements of Paragraph 2(b) of the representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7. *Id.* ¶¶ 2-11; *see* Notice of Filing

IPR2022-01300

Apple Inc. v. Masimo Corporation

Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response
(Paper 3) at 2.

V. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing and having satisfied the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Masimo hereby moves for an Order allowing Jeremiah S. Helm of Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP, to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Masimo in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: September 13, 2022

/Irfan A. Lateef/

Irfan A. Lateef (Reg. No. 51,922)

Customer No. 64,735

Attorney for Patent Owner
Masimo Corporation

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.