

Filed: February 15, 2023

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Irfan A. Lateef (Reg. No. 51,922)
Ted M. Cannon (Reg. No. 55,036)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)
Jeremiah S. Helm, Ph.D. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404
Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR127-1@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01299
U.S. Patent 7,761,127

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Patent Owner Masimo Corporation objects to the admissibility of evidence submitted by Petitioner Apple Inc. Patent Owner reserves its rights to: (1) timely file a motion to exclude these objectionable exhibits or portions thereof; (2) challenge the credibility and/or weight that should be afforded to these exhibits, whether or not Patent Owner files a motion to exclude the exhibits; (3) challenge the sufficiency of the evidence to meet Petitioner's burden of proof on any issue, including, without limitation, whether Petitioner met its burden to prove the prior art status of the alleged prior art on which it relies, whether or not Patent Owner has objected to, or files a motion to exclude, the evidence; and (4) cross examine any Petitioner declarant within the scope of his or her direct testimony that is or relates to these exhibits, without regard to whether Patent Owner has objected to the testimony or related exhibits or whether the testimony or related exhibits are ultimately found to be inadmissible.

Exhibit	Objections
1003	FRE 402: The exhibit includes testimony that is not relevant to the issues set forth in the Petition, including, without limitation, testimony related to Exhibits 1004 and 1014, which are not relevant for the reasons set forth below in the objections to those exhibits. By way of example and not limitation, this objection applies to at least the

Exhibit	Objections
	<p>following paragraphs of the exhibit: 24-27, 39-52, 56, 59-66, 70, 72, 80, 85, 88, 91, 94-95, 98-103, 105, 109, 111, 122, 131, 136-145, 148, 166, 178-180, and any other paragraph incorporating or referencing the foregoing paragraphs.</p> <p>FRE 602: The declarant admits that he relied on his “own knowledge.” Ex. 1003 ¶ 14. However, insufficient evidence has been introduced to establish that the declarant has sufficient personal knowledge to rely on his own knowledge to support his obviousness opinions. This deficiency infects the declarant’s entire testimony because he did not identify which portions of his analysis rely on his own knowledge.</p> <p>FRE 701-702: The exhibit includes opinion testimony that does not comply with the requirements of FRE 701 and 702. The testimony is not lay opinion testimony under FRE 701. With respect to FRE 702, the evidence does not establish that the declarant’s obviousness opinions are (1) “based on sufficient facts or data,” (2) “the product of</p>

Exhibit	Objections
	<p>reliable principles and methods,” and that (3) “the expert has reliably applied the principles and methods to the facts of the case.” Deficiencies in the declarant’s analysis include, without limitation: (1) conclusory assertions, including mere repetition of arguments in the Petition or Apple’s ITC briefing, unsupported by sufficient underlying facts, data, or reasoning, (2) reliance on allegedly known facts or alleged motivations to combine without citation to contemporaneous evidence of what knowledge and motivation a POSITA would have possessed at the relevant time; (3) reliance on his “own knowledge,” without any specific indication what portions of his analysis are based on his own knowledge, explanation how he obtained such knowledge, or evidence that a POSITA would have possessed the knowledge at the relevant time; (4) failure to set forth constructions of relevant claim terms, and (5) failure to consider evidence or factors necessary to an obviousness analysis, including objective evidence of nonobviousness known to Apple before filing the Petition.</p>

Exhibit	Objections
	<p>By way of example and not limitation, this objection applies to at least the following paragraphs of the exhibit: 41-48, 53-54, 60-62, 74-80, 85, 90-91, 104-105, 132-134, 138, 156, 170, 180-188, and any other paragraph incorporating or referencing the foregoing paragraphs.</p> <p>FRE 802: The exhibit includes testimony that relies on inadmissible hearsay included in cited exhibits if Apple relies on the content of the cited exhibits to prove the truth of matters allegedly asserted therein. By way of example and not limitation, this objection applies to at least the following paragraphs of the exhibit: 28, 31, 34, 89, 105, 118, 132, 156, and any other paragraph incorporating or referencing the foregoing paragraphs.</p> <p>Objection to Testimony Relying on Inadmissible Exhibits: The exhibit includes testimony that relies on exhibits that are inadmissible for the reasons set forth in the objections below. Masimo objects to such testimony for the same reasons set forth below for the underlying exhibits.</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.