

Filed: November 4, 2022

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Brian C. Claassen (Reg. No. 63,051)
Carol Pitzel Cruz (Reg. No. 61,224)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)
Daniel Kiang (Reg. No. 79,631)

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404
Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR745-2@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01292
U.S. Patent 10,687,745

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	4
A. Overview of the Technology	4
B. The '745 Patent	6
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	10
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
A. The Petition Violates 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	10
B. The Specification and Prosecution History Drive the Proper Construction of “Second Shape”	12
C. Apple Agreed That “a mere difference in size, without any other difference, is not a shape different from the first shape”	15
D. Apple Improperly Limits “material configured to change the first shape into a second shape” to a Diffuser	17
IV. NO GROUND WOULD ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS	17
A. Apple Failed to Address Known Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness	19
1. Apple’s Skepticism and Copying of Masimo’s Technology Demonstrates the Nonobviousness of Oxygen Saturation Measurements at the Wrist (Claims 9 and 18)	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
2. Apple’s Failures Demonstrate the Nonobviousness of Claimed Material that Changes a First Shape to a Second Shape	25
3. Commercial Success	27
4. Nexus.....	29
B. Grounds 1A and 1B Based on Ackermans Fail to Show that Any Claim Would Have Been Obvious.....	30
1. Apple Fails to Show a “First Shape” and “Second Shape” (Claims 1, 9, 20, 27)	31
2. Apple Fails to Demonstrate that a POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Ackermans and Savant with a Reasonable Expectation of Success (Claims 1, 9, 15, 18, 20, 27)	32
3. Apple Relied on Hindsight to Argue a POSITA Would Have Used a Plurality of Photodiodes in Ackermans (Claims 1, 9, 15, 18, 20, 27)	37
4. Apple Fails to Identify a “surface comprising a dark-colored coating” as Claimed (Claims 1, 9, 20, 27)	38
a) Apple Fails to Identify Any Dark-Colored Coating.....	39
b) Ackermans’ Adhesive Is Not “positioned between the plurality of photodiodes and the tissue when the physiological monitoring device is in use”	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
c) There Is No “opening defined in the dark-colored coating” as Claimed	41
C. Ground 2A Based on Mendelson-799 Fail to Show that Any Claim Would Have Been Obvious	42
1. The Examiner Already Considered a PCT Publication of Mendelson-799 and a Parent of Venkatraman	42
2. Apple Fails to Identify a “First Shape” and “Second Shape” in the Proposed Combination (Claims 1, 9, 20, 27).....	43
3. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Mendelson-799 and Savant with a Reasonable Expectation of Success (Claims 1, 9, 15, 18, 20, 27)	44
4. A POSITA Would Not Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Modifying Mendelson-799 in view of Venkatraman to Measure Oxygen Saturation at the Wrist (Claims 1, 9, 15, 18, 20, 27).....	48
5. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Mendelson-799 with a Black Mask from Haar (Claims 1, 9, 20, 27).....	50
V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS	53
VI. CONCLUSION.....	54

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>ActiveVideo Networks v. Verizon Comms.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	37, 47
<i>Adidas AG v. Nike, Inc.</i> , 963 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2020), <i>cert denied</i> , 141 S. Ct. 1376 (2021).....	39
<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , 839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	28
<i>Apple Inc. v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson</i> , IPR2022-00850, Paper 7 (PTAB Nov. 1, 2022).....	35
<i>Coalition for Affordable Drugs V LLC v. Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01792, Paper 14 (PTAB Mar. 11, 2016).....	20
<i>In re Dow Chem. Co.</i> , 837 F.2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	38
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 994 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	30
<i>Gilead Sciences, Inc. v. United States</i> , IPR2019-01456, Paper 17 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2020).....	20
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	53
<i>Mallinckrodt, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , 147 F. App'x 158, 2005 WL 2139867 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 7, 2005).....	1, 5
<i>Mallinckrodt, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , No. 2:00-cv-06506, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28518 (C.D. Cal. 2004), <i>aff'd in part and rev'd in part</i>	5
<i>Masimo Corp. v. Philips Electronic N, Amer. Corp.</i> , 2015 WL 2379485 (D. Del. May 18, 2015)	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.