

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2022-01291
U.S. Patent 10,687,745

**PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S
MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Masimo’s Requests for Discovery in Relation to Commercial Success and Copying are Unjustified and Should be Denied.....3

 A. Commercial Success – General Failures.....5

 B. Copying – General Failures.....8

 C. Discovery of Unredacted ITC Briefs and Initial Determination (RFP #1).....10

 D. Discovery of Unidentified Documents Behind Redaction (RFP #4)..12

III. Masimo’s Requests for Discovery in Relation to Reasonable Expectation of Success Are Overly Broad and Should be Denied13

IV. Conclusion15

LIST OF EXHIBITS

APPLE-1001	U.S. Pat. No. 10,687,745 to Al-Ali (“the ’745 patent”)
APPLE-1002	Prosecution History of the ’745 patent (Serial No. 16/835,772)
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Dr. Brian Anthony
APPLE-1004	U.S. Pat. No. 8,670,819 (“Iwamiya”)
APPLE-1005	U.S. Pat. No. 9,392,946 (“Sarantos”)
APPLE-1006	U.S. Pub. No. 2014/0275854 (“Venkataraman”)
APPLE-1007	U.S. Pat. No. 6,483,976 (“Shie”)
APPLE-1008	U.S. Pat. No. 6,801,799 (“Mendelson-799”)
APPLE-1009	U.S. Pub. No. 2015/0018647 (“Mandel”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0275810 (“Ayers”)
APPLE-1011	PCT. Pub. No. 2011/051888 (“Ackermans”)
APPLE-1012	U.S. Pat. No. 6,158,245 (“Savant”)
APPLE-1013	Design of Pulse Oximeters, J.G. Webster; Institution of Physics Publishing, 1997 (“Webster”)
APPLE-1014	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0054112 (“Cybart”)
APPLE-1015	U.S. Pat. No. 5,893,364 (“Haar”)
APPLE-1016	U.S. Pat. No. 5,952,084 (“Anderson”)

- APPLE-1017 U.S. Pat. No. 10,470,695 (the “695 patent”)
- APPLE-1018 *Apple v. Masimo*, Case No. IPR2020-01722, Paper 29 (Final Written Decision) (PTAB May 5, 2022) (the “695 FWD”)
- APPLE-1019 – APPLE-1030 RESERVED
- APPLE-1031 *Masimo Corporation, et al. v. Apple Inc.*, Redacted Complaint, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-1276
- APPLE-1032 *Interim Procedure for Discretionary Denials in AIA Post-Grant Proceedings with Parallel District Court Litigation*, issued June 21, 2022 (“Interim Guidance”)
- APPLE-1033 Final Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337, Public Version, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-1276, January 10, 2023
- APPLE-1034 Emails re Masimo’s Request for Authorization to Motion for Additional Discovery

I. Introduction

Through its Motion, Masimo seeks production on issues of secondary considerations of non-obviousness and reasonable expectation of success, and it targets documents collected through discovery and under an agreed upon protective order in co-pending ITC investigation 337-TA-1276.

Masimo proclaims a desire to bring the Board a complete record on topics for which discovery is sought, but its actions speak loudly otherwise, as its requested discovery—if permitted—is woefully imbalanced and is calibrated only to tell Masimo’s story (and to avoid the substantial volume of contrary evidence). Indeed, the alleged bases for Masimo’s discovery requests include public versions of Masimo’s own ITC briefs, but none of Apple’s. Motion, 2. By selecting targets for requested discovery cited in its own briefs to the exclusion of Apple’s, Masimo seeks an incomplete and imbalanced representation of the ITC record. On this basis alone, Masimo’s intent is clear and the Motion should fail.

The breadth and scope of Masimo’s discovery request is also unjustifiable. If granted, it would implicate some 2,200 pages of documents and lead to “trials-within-trial” on whether Apple’s products practice the ’745 patent and whether Apple copied Masimo’s technology (contentions both rejected by the ITC). More granularly, its requests would yield production of testimony and documents covering topics ranging from domestic industry to infringement and validity, both

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.