

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Raman K. Rao, et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 9,019,946 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0126IP1
Issue Date: April 28, 2015
Appl. Serial No.: 14/480,584
Filing Date: September 8, 2014
Title: WIRELESS AND CELLULAR VOICE AND DATA TRANSMISSION WITH MULTIPLE PATHS OF COMMUNICATION

DECLARATION OF DR. MICHAEL ALLEN JENSEN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. ASSIGNMENT.....	4
II. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	5
III. OVERVIEW OF CONCLUSIONS FORMED.....	10
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	16
V. LEGAL STANDARDS.....	17
A. Claim Construction.....	17
B. Legal Standards for Obviousness.....	18
VI. The '946 Patent.....	23
A. Overview of the '946 Patent.....	23
B. File History of the '946 Patent.....	24
VII. SUMMARY OF THE CITED PRIOR ART.....	25
A. Overview of Yegoshin.....	25
B. Overview of Johnston.....	27
C. Overview of Billström.....	28
D. Overview of Bernard.....	28
E. Overview of WO748.....	30
F. Overview of Sainton.....	32
G. Overview of Preiss.....	33
VIII. GROUND 1A.....	34
A. Claim 14.....	34
B. Claim 15.....	58
IX. GROUND 1B.....	63
A. Claim 1.....	63
B. Claim 2.....	87
C. Claim 3.....	89
D. Claim 4.....	90
E. Claim 5.....	94
F. Claim 6.....	94

G. Claim 7	95
H. Claim 8	95
I. Claim 9	97
J. Claim 10	97
K. Claim 11	98
L. Claim 16	100
M. Claim 17	101
N. Claim 18	106
O. Claim 19	106
P. Claim 20	107
Q. Claim 21	108
X. GROUND 1C.....	109
A. Claim 12	109
XI. GROUND 1D	117
A. Claim 13	117
B. Claim 26	123
XII. GROUND 1E.....	124
A. Claim 27	124
B. Claim 28	137
C. Claim 29	138
D. Claim 30	138
XIII. CONCLUSION	140

I, Michael A. Jensen, declare as follows:

I. ASSIGNMENT

1. I have been retained as a technical expert by counsel on behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner”). I understand that Petitioner is requesting that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board institute an inter partes review (“IPR”) proceeding with respect to U.S. Patent No. 9,019,946 (“the ’946 patent”).

2. I have been asked to provide my independent analysis of the ’946 patent in light of the prior art publications cited in Section VII below.

3. I am not, and never have been, an employee of Petitioner. I received no compensation for this Declaration beyond my normal hourly compensation based on my time actually spent analyzing the ’946 patent, the prior art publications cited in Section VII below, and issues related thereto, and I will not receive any added compensation based on the outcome of any IPR or other proceeding involving the ’946 patent.

4. My analysis here is based on my years of education, research and experience, as well as my investigation and study of relevant materials, including those cited here. I may rely upon these materials, my knowledge and experience, and/or additional materials to rebut arguments raised by the Patent Owner. Further, I may also consider additional documents and information in forming any necessary opinions, including documents that may not yet have been provided to me.

5. My analysis of the materials produced in this proceeding is ongoing and I will continue to review any new material as it is provided. This declaration represents only those opinions I have formed to date. I reserve the right to revise, supplement, and/or amend my opinions stated here based on new information and on my continuing analysis of the materials already provided.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

6. I have personal knowledge or have developed knowledge of these technologies based upon education, training, or experience, of the matters set forth herein.

7. A detailed description of my professional qualifications, including a listing of my specialties/expertise and professional activities, is contained in my *curriculum vitae*, a copy of which is provided as Appendix A. Below is a short summary of my professional qualifications.

8. I earned a Bachelor of Science (B.S.) degree and a Master of Science (M.S.) degree in Electrical Engineering from Brigham Young University in 1990 and 1991, respectively, and a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of California, Los Angeles in 1994.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.