

Filed: March 16, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and APPLE INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01249
Patent 9,019,946 B1

**PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO
PETITIONER'S MOTION TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.123(a)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW WHY IT SHOULD SUBMIT ITS 41-PAGE DECLARATION NOW INSTEAD OF WITH ITS REPLY	1
	A. Petitioner’s Proposed Declaration Consists of Untimely, New Claim Construction And Prior Art Analysis in 41 Pages, 7,500 Words And Dozens Of New Citations To The Record.....	2
	B. Petitioner Fails To Meet Its Burden To Show Why Its Proposed Declaration Should Be Submitted Now Instead Of With Its Reply.....	4
III.	ALLOWING PETITIONER A <i>DE FACTO</i> ADDITIONAL INTERIM ROADMAPPED BRIEF PREJUDICES PATENT OWNER.....	9
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Am. Well Corp. v. TelaDocHealth, Inc.</i> , IPR2021-00748, Paper 23 (Feb. 28, 2022)	6
<i>Apple Inc. v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson</i> , IPR2022-00343, Paper 14 (Nov. 10, 2022)	8
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (Aug. 29, 2014)	7
<i>Frameless Hardware Co. v. CR Laurene Co.</i> , IPR2022-00356, Paper 29 (Oct., 25, 2022)	8, 9
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	1, 9
<i>Lab. Francais du Fractionnement et des Biotechs. SA v. Novo Nordisk Healthcare AG</i> , IPR2017-00028, Paper 22 (Jun. 13, 2017).....	5
<i>MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geraete GmbH v. Advanced Bionics AG</i> , IPR2020-00190, Paper 24 (Aug. 10, 2022)	8
<i>Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. v. Microspherix LLC</i> , IPR2018-00393, Paper 21 (Sep. 10, 2018)	6
<i>Nissan N. Am., Inc. v. Diamond Coating Techs.</i> , IPR2014-01548, Paper 37 (July 15, 2015).....	6
<i>Ooma, Inc. v. Deep Green Wireless LLC</i> , IPR2017-01541, Paper 14 (Jan. 23, 2018).....	2
<i>Pac. Mkt. Int'l, LLC v. Ignite USA, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00561, Paper 23 (Dec. 2, 2014).....	8
<i>Redline Detection, LLC v. Star Envirotech, Inc.</i> , 811 F.3d 435 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	5
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Immersion Corp.</i> , IPR2018-01499, Paper 19 (May 16, 2019).....	5

<i>Sling TV LLC v. Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC</i> , IPR2018-01342, Paper 17 (May 7, 2019).....	6
<i>Triller, Inc. v. TikTok Pte.</i> , IPR2022-00180, Paper 18 (Aug. 25, 2022)	passim
<i>W. Digital Corp. v. Spex Techs.</i> , IPR2018-00082, Paper 22 (July 23, 2018).....	6

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	1
----------------------------	---

Other Authorities

Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg., 48,756, 48,767 (Aug. 14, 2012).....	4
--	---

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.123	5, 10
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....	7
37 C.F.R. §42.123	5
37 C.F.R. §42.123(a).....	4

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Brief Description
2001.	Reserved.
2002.	Declaration of Professor Todor V. Cooklev, Ph.D.
2003.	Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Brief Regarding the '434 Patent Family.
2004.	Declaration of Harry Bins in Support of Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Briefs (Exhibit 1 to Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Briefs).
2005.	Kevin M. Stack, <i>Preambles as Guidance</i> , September 2016, Vol. 84, No. 5 [1252-1292].
2006.	Electronics Tutorials, <i>The Multiplexer</i> .
2007.	Texas Instruments, SN74HC153 Data Sheet, Dec. 1982 – Revised Feb. 2022 (2022).
2008.	Lee Stanton, <i>What Is The Difference Between A Landline And A Mobile Phone Number?</i> (Feb. 22, 2022) (https://www.alphr.com/difference-landline-mobile-phone-number/)
2009.	Focus LCDs, <i>LCD Resources – Serial Vs. Parallel</i> (Oct. 19, 2022) (https://focuslcds.com/serial-vs-parallel/)
2010.	Techopedia, <i>What is a Serial Interface? – Definition from Techopedia</i> (Nov. 4, 2014) (https://www.techopedia.com/definition/9312/serial-interface)
2011.	The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition (2000), “serial interface.”

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.