

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00603-ADA

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00701-ADA

**DEFENDANTS' OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF
REGARDING THE '434 PATENT FAMILY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS.....	1
III.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	4
IV.	DISPUTED TERMS.....	4
A.	“system on a chip” 291 (5, 15).....	4
B.	“A communication system including one or more communication modules and processors for use in a portable handheld mobile device with a plurality of antennas, said communication system implemented as a system on a chip, said system comprising:” 291 (5).....	5
C.	“is configured to” 434 (1, 6)	7
D.	“wherein a transmission interface is created and wherein said transmission interface uses a plurality of IP enabled interfaces on the mobile device which utilize the plurality of wireless transmit and receive components on the mobile device to enable a single interface comprised of multiplexed signals from the plurality of wireless transmit and receive components” (653 (1)) / “wherein a first interface for transmission is created and wherein said first interface for transmission uses a plurality of interfaces for Internet Protocol communication on the mobile device which utilize the plurality of wireless transmit and receive units on the mobile device to enable a single interface comprised of multiplexed signals from the plurality of wireless transmit and receive units” (946 (1))	10
E.	“wherein the first wireless transmit and receive component is enabled to communicate using one or more antennas simultaneously/ wherein the first wireless transmit and receive unit is enabled to communicate using one or more antennas simultaneously” 653 (14), 946 (14).....	13
F.	“USB communication” 291 (5), 946 (5).....	15
G.	“dynamically” 434 (1).....	16
H.	“ports” (’653 (15, 27, 28), ’863 (1), ’291 (6, 7, 11), ’946 (14, 15, 28, 29), ’083 (1), ’075 (1))	17
I.	“application” (’434 (2), ’653 (10, 11, 17), ’863 (6, 12), ’291 (12), ’946 (6, 10, 11)).....	23
J.	“one or more subtasks are assigned to one or more channels” (’943 (1, 5, 8, 12)).....	25
K.	“channel” (’943 (1, 5, 8, 12), ’083 (1, 2, 5, 8, 12)).....	29
L.	“the device is ... further configured with enhanced capabilities to differentiate between various signals or to combine multiple paths into a single communication channel” (’943 (2))	32

M. “interface” (’653 (1, 6, 10, 11, 17), ’836 (14), ’946 (1, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 17))..... 33

N. “multiplex / multiplexes / multiplexed / multiplexing” (’653 (1, 2, 3, 4, 27), ’083 (5, 8, 12, 19), ’075 (1), ’943 (2, 19), ’946 (1, 2, 3, 4, 16, 27), and ’291(7)) 37

 1. *Preamble of claim 1 of the ’075 patent*..... 40

V. CONCLUSION..... 41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Applied Materials, Inc. v. Advanced Semiconductor Materials Am., Inc.</i> , 98 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	6
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Marchon Eyewear, Inc.</i> , 672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	8
<i>AstraZeneca AB v. Mylan Pharms. Inc.</i> , 19 F.4th 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	18, 33
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	6, 7, 40, 41
<i>Chef Am., Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	15
<i>Digital Biometrics, Inc. v. Identix, Inc.</i> , 149 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	22, 37
<i>Eon-net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp.</i> , 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	20
<i>Fundamental Innovation Sys. Int’l LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-145-JRG-RSP, 2018 WL 647734 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 31, 2018).....	16
<i>Honeywell Int’l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	21, 35
<i>Lemoine v. Mossberg Corp.</i> , No. 2020-2140, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 27807 (Fed. Cir. Sep. 15, 2021)	6
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	15
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898, 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014).....	14
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Polaris PowerLED Tech., LLC v. Samsung Elec. America Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:17-cv-715-JRG.....	9
<i>Radware, Ltd. v. A10 Networks, Inc.</i> , No. C-13-2021 RMW, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 55913 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 18, 2014)	8

Regents of Univ. of Minn. v. AGA Med. Corp.,
717 F.3d 929 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....21, 35

SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.,
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....21, 35, 36

Shoes by Firebug LLC v. Stride Rite Children’s Grp., LLC,
962 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....7

SIPCO, LLC v. ABB, Inc.,
No. 6:11-cv-48-LED-JDL, 2012 WL 3112302 (E.D. Tex. July 30, 2012).....8, 9

Trustees of Bos. Univ. v. Everlight Elecs. Co.,
896 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....22, 37, 39

Typhoon Touch Techs., Inc. v. Dell, Inc.,
659 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....8

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,
No. 19-cv-1692, 2021 WL 432183 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2021).....16

United States Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.,
103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.