

# Transcript of Michael Allen Jensen, Ph.D.

**Date:** May 11, 2023

Case: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al. -v- Smart Mobile Technologies, LLC (PTAB)

**Planet Depos** 

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY



# Transcript of Michael Allen Jensen, Ph.D. Conducted on May 11, 2023

```
3
       UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
                                                                                                          APPEARANCES
                  -----
       BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
                                                                                         FOR THE PETITIONER, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD:
                                                                                             FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
BY: AAMIR KAZI, ESQ.
BY: SANGKI PARK, ESQ. (MINNEAPOLIS)
1180 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
21ST FLOOR
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
(404) 892-5005
                  -----
                                                                                    5
              SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
                        Petitioner,
                                                                                         FOR THE PATENT OWNERS, SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC:
                                                                                    8
             SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
                                                                                    9
                       Patent Owner.
                                                                                              GRAVES & SHAW LLP
BY: PHILIP GRAVES, ESQ.
355 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE
SUITE 2450
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071
(213) 204-5101
                                                                                     10
                  -----
                                                                                     11
                  Case: IPR2022-01249
                                                                                     12
12
                U.S. Patent No. 9,019,946
                                                                                     13
13
                                                                                     14
                                                                                     15
                                                                                         ALSO PRESENT:
15
       Deposition of MICHAEL ALLEN JENSEN, Ph.D.
                                                                                     16
                                                                                             KY SHANKLIN. DEPOSITION TECHNICIAN
16
                    Conducted Virtually
                                                                                     17
17
                   Thursday, May 11, 2023
                                                                                     18
18
                        9:30 a.m. PST
                                                                                     19
19
                                                                                     20
20
                                                                                    21
                                                                                     22
22
                                                                                     23
23
                                                                                     24
                     NATALIE PARVIZI-AZAD, CSR, RPR, RSR
CSR NO. 14125
492379
24 REPORTED BY:
                                                                                     25
                                                                                                                 I N D E X
    UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
              -----
                                                                                     2
    BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
                                                                                    3
                                                                                         WITNESS
                                                                                                                                              PAGE
              -----
                                                                                         MICHAEL JENSEN, PH.D.
                                                                                                EXAMINATION BY MR. GRAVES
           SAMSUNG FLECTRONICS CO., LID.
                                                                                    5
                     Petitioner,
                         ٧.
                                                                                                             EXHIBITS
          SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
                                                                                         EXHIBIT NO.
                                                                                                           DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                                                              PAGE
                                                                                                           DECLARATION OF
DR. MICHAEL ALLEN JENSEN
                    Patent Owner.
                                                                                     9
                                                                                         EXHIBIT 1003
              -----
10
                                                                                         EXHIBIT 1004
                                                                                                           YEGOSHIN REFERENCE;
US PATENT NO. 6,711,146 B2
                                                                                     11
               Case: IPR2022-01249
                                                                                                           JOHNSTON REFERENCE;
US PATENT NO. 5,784,032
                                                                                         EXHIBIT 1005
                                                                                                                                              15
12
             U.S. Patent No. 9,019,946
                                                                                     13
13
                                                                                                           BERNARD REFERENCE;
US PATENT NO. 5,497,339
                                                                                         EXHIBIT 1007
                                                                                                                                              54
                                                                                     14
14
                                                                                     15
                                                                                                           BILLSTROM REFERENCE;
US PATENT NO. 5.,590,133
                                                                                        EXHIBIT 1006
15
                                                                                     16
16 DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL JENSEN, PH.D.,
                                                                                     17
17 TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER
   REMOTELY VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCING, IN
                                                                                     19
19 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, BEGINNING AT
                                                                                     20
20 9:30 A.M. AND ENDING AT 11:48 A.M., ON
                                                                                     21
21 THURSDAY, MAY 11, 2023, BEFORE
                                                                                     22
22 NATALIE PARVIZI-AZAD. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND
                                                                                     23
23 REPORTER NUMBER 14125.
                                                                                     24
25
```



# Transcript of Michael Allen Jensen, Ph.D. Conducted on May 11, 2023

Conducted on May 11, 2025	
5	7
1 REMOTELY IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	1 Billstrom reference, the Johnston reference, the
2 THURSDAY, MAY 11, 2023, 9:30 A.M.	2 Bernard reference.
3	3 Do you have copies of those readily
4 THE CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: Please raise	4 available?
5 your right hand to be sworn.	5 A. I have clean copies of all of those and
6	6 they're up in an Adobe Acrobat window.
7 Michael Jensen, Ph.D.,	7 Q. Okay. So it's fine with me if you use
8 having declared under penalty of perjury to tell	8 the copies that you have available to you to
9 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:	9 reference during our discussion today, just so
10	10 long as you are only referencing clean copies.
11 EXAMINATION	11 Is that understood?
12 BY MR. GRAVES:	12 A. It's understood and they are all clean
13 Q. Hello,.	13 copies.
14 Dr. Jensen. How are you?	14 Q. Okay. Do you have any questions for me
15 A. Good morning, Mr. Graves. I'm doing	15 before we get started?
16 fine. How are you?	16 A. I don't believe so.
17 Q. I am doing fine as well. So I sat in on	17 Q. Okay. What is your hourly compensation
18 a number of your depositions. This will be the	18 in this IPR?
19 first one that I'm taking. So it's nice to see	19 A. \$475 per hour.
20 you again.	20 Q. Okay. And can you tell me roughly how
21 You have been it's been explained to	21 much money you've been paid for the totality of
22 you what the ground rules are for these	22 the IPRs that you have been engaged for in
23 depositions multiple times, so I'm going to assume	23 connection with the litigation between Smart
24 that we don't need to go over all of them. I'll	24 Mobile Technologies on the one hand and Samsung
25 just refresh a couple of them.	25 and Apple on the other?
6	8
1 As you know, everything you say is being	1 A. Anything would be an estimate. I'm
2 recorded and you are under oath.	2 assuming on the order of \$80-, \$90,000 over the
3 You understand that; correct?	3 course of this.
4 A. Yes.	4 Q. Okay. Did you perform a prior art search
5 Q. All right. And you are not to have any	5 or multiple prior art searches after you were
6 conversations with your counsel concerning the	6 retained for this IPR?
7 subject matter of the deposition until after my	7 A. I did some prior art searching, yes, sir.
8 questions have concluded.	8 Q. Okay. And what did that entail?
9 You understand that?	9 A. When you say, "What did it entail," what
10 A. I do.	10 was my process for conducting the prior art
11 Q. And that includes during breaks, during	11 search; is that
12 the lunch break, and so on; right?	12 Q. That's right.
13 A. Yes, sir. I understand.	13 A. It generally, internet searches,
14 Q. Okay. And those communications or	14 searching in maybe books and references that I
15 conversations include both verbal and	15 have on my shelf or here at the local library,
16 communications over e-mail or text.	16 certainly patent searches through Google Patents.
17 Do you understand that?	17 That's, I'd say, the main things that I did.
18 A. I do.	18 Q. Okay. Anything else?
19 (Exhibit 1003 marked.)	19 A. That's that's what I recall.
20 (Exhibit 1004 marked.)	20 Q. All right. Do you recall roughly how
21 Q. Okay. So I'm going to be asking you	21 many hours you spent?
22 questions about a number of exhibits, primarily	22 A. On prior art searching, I'm sorry, sir, I
23 your declaration, which is Exhibit 1003. And	23 do not.
24 several of the primary references that you cited:	24 Q. Can you give me an estimate?
25 The Yegoshin reference, Exhibit 1004, the	25 A. Over the course of I assume, over the
	A. Over the course of I assume, over the

11

12

### Conducted on May 11, 2023

1 course of all of the IPRs, it's probably been 20

2 or more hours.

Q. Okay.

4 A. These are rough estimates. I just don't

5 recall.

3

Q. Okay. And for this, focusing on this

7 IPR, do you recall roughly how many references you

8 found in the course of your search?

9 A. No, sir, I -- I really don't, I'm sorry.

Q. All right. Did you review any of the

11 prosecution files -- strike that.

Did you review the prosecution file for

13 the '946 patent?

14 A. I -- I have briefly reviewed the -- the

15 file history for this patent, yes.

16 Q. Okay. And I'm going to refer to the

17 '946 patent frequently in the deposition. Do you

18 understand that I'm referring to US patent

19 number 9019946?

20 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Did you review any of the

22 prosecution files for any of the upstream parent

23 applications for the '946 patent in connection

24 with this IPR?

25 A. Well, what I can say definitively is

1 terms of the '946 patent require a construction in

2 this IPR, as you sit here today?

A. I'm not -- I'm not aware of any need for

4 that, at least for the scope of the work that I did.

6 Q. Okay. And the scope of the work that you

7 did required you to have an understanding of the

8 meaning of the claims at issue in this IPR;

9 correct?

10 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Do you believe that a POSITA would be

12 able to understand the plain and ordinary meaning

13 of the claim terms at issue in this IPR with

14 reasonable certainty?

15 A. I believe a POSITA -- really, the scope

16 of my work is to see if prior art fits within the

17 scope of these claim terms. And I think a POSITA

18 is able to do that.

19 What I'm trying to avoid is suggesting

20 that -- you know, that I've defined -- or a POSITA

21 has -- can immediately define the entirety of the

22 scope of any of the terms in the claims. And so,

23 that's why I'm being a little careful here because

24 that really wasn't the -- in what I was asked to

25 do.

10

1 that, for all of the patents at issue in this

2 family of -- in this IPR family of cases, I

3 reviewed briefly the file history for all of those

4 patents. And I believe, in this case, there was

5 at least one upstream. I don't remember all of

6 the relationships, but I reviewed all those

7 file -- prosecution file histories.

Q. Okay. So there -- if there's an upstream

9 parent application from one of the patents at

10 issue, did you review those upstream parent

11 applications as well or no?

12 A. If -- I don't recall reviewing any file

13 histories for patents that were not asserted or at

14 issue here in this case.

15 Q. Okay. Do you believe that any of the

16 claim terms of the '946 patent require a

17 construction in this IPR?

18 A. I wasn't asked to -- to analyze, you

19 know, claim construction here. I don't have

20 anything in my declaration with such an opinion.

21 I -- I'm not prepared to suggest that I have any

22 constructions now or that I -- that I need them.

23 Q. Okay. Well, my question is a little

24 different.

25 Do you believe that any of the claim

1 Q. Okay. But for what you were asked to do,

you believe that you understand the scope of these

3 claim terms with reasonable certainty; correct?

A. Yes, I believe I was able to make sense

5 of all of the claim terms. There are -- I know

6 there are some claim terms that the parties are,

you know, maybe disputing or -- or negotiating on

what the construction is.

And what I've tried to do is make sure

10 that anything that -- any work that I've done fits

11 within those constructions that really either

12 party has -- has put forward.

13 Q. Okay. And if you believed that a POSITA

14 would not be able to understand the scope of any

15 of these claim terms at issue in this IPR with

16 reasonable certainty, that would be reflected in

17 your analysis in this IPR; correct?

MR. KAZI: Objection to the form.

19 A. I'm not sure that's what I'm saying.

20 Again, my work is a little bit more limited in

21 making sure that something fits within the plain 22 meaning. And I think a POSITA would be able to do

23 that as I believe I've been able to do that.

24 I'm -- I'm a little bit nervous about

25 going beyond that and talking about, you know, the



15

16

# Transcript of Michael Allen Jensen, Ph.D. Conducted on May 11, 2023

5

6

10

13

14

whole breadth or the boundaries of the scope of terms in the claims, and being definitive here on record about those, what I've done or what a POSITA might have been able to do.

5 Q. Okay. You understand that the patent 6 owner, Smart Mobile Technologies, and petitioners

7 have submitted a claim construction briefing in

8 the parallel District Court litigation; correct?

9 A. I am aware of that, yes, sir.

10 Q. Okay. And you've reviewed the proposed 11 constructions that have been submitted by the 12 parties in the District Court litigation; correct?

13 A. Yes, sir, I have.

14 Q. Okay. And you've reviewed the parties' 15 proposed constructions of multiplexing; is that 16 correct?

17 A. I have, yes, sir.

18 Q. Do you have any opinion as to which19 parties' proposed construction of multiplexing is20 more consistent of how a POSITA would understand21 the term?

MR. KAZI: Objection to the form.

A. I, sort of, want to answer the same waythat I have. What I made sure was that the artthat I was reviewing, whether or not that would

1 and understanding that's reflected in

2 Exhibit 1003, which is the declaration you

3 submitted with the petition.

You understand?

A. I understand, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. All right. So let's talk about the Johnston reference. That's Exhibit 1005.

8 Do you have that in front of you?

9 A. Yes, sir, I do.

(Exhibit 1005 marked.)

11 Q. All right. So Johnston discloses the use

12 of diversity antennas in a cellular telephone;

13 right?

14 A. Yeah, that's a good summary, yes.

15 Q. All right. So what is the purpose of the

16 diversity antenna?

17 A. A diversity antenna? So in general,

18 antenna diversity is the transmission of -- of a

19 signal. And it's the same signal over multiple

20 communication paths, and then that the receiver

21 being able to use those multiple copies of that

22 signal to improve the communication in -- in one

23 form or another. The antenna, the diversity

24 antenna, therefore is designed to facilitate that

25 diversity operation.

1 fit into the -- to the scope of these claim terms

2 and the construction. And as I've testified, at

3 least in my supplemental report declaration, I

4 found that the art fit into either construction.

5 And so, that's what I've done. I did not 6 offer an opinion on which construction would be, 7 you know, more correct and nor am I -- have I 8 analyzed that and nor am I prepared to offer such 9 an opinion.

10 Q. All right. So I want to be very clear

11 that my questions today do not concern the

12 supplemental declaration that you signed. We're

13 focusing on the declaration that you submitted

14 with the petition in this IPR.

15 Do you understand?

16 A. Oh, okay. That's -- I mean, I understand 17 that, yes.

18 Q. Yeah. Your -- you know, just -- just to 19 explain, the supplemental declaration that you 20 signed has not been admitted into the record at 21 this point.

22 A. I understand.

23 Q. All right. So I'm not going to be asking 24 you questions about that and I would appreciate it 25 if you would direct your responses to the analysis 1 Q. All right. So the -- and the improvement

2 in the communication that you referred to, is that

3 to mitigate the effects of multipath fading?

A. I mean, yes, that's ultimately what we're combatting is multipath fading, yes, sir.

Q. Right. Okay. So -- and is the

7 improvement in combatting the effects of multipath

8 fading that is made available by diversity

9 antennas, is that focused on large-scale fading,

10 as opposed to small-scale fading?

11 A. It -- it's focused on -- on small-scale

12 fading, which is the multipath interference.

13 Large-scale fading is more of a shadowing

14 phenomenon. Diversity is generally not designed

15 for that, unless you have very, very spatially

16 separated antennas.

17 Q. Okay. And so, what is small-scale

18 fading?

19 A. Small-scale fading is when multiple

20 copies of the signal transmitted from a single

21 antenna received by a single antenna, there are

22 multiple copies because of reflections of the

23 radio wave off of different objects, they all

24 combine in a way at the receiver that is

25 destructive or detrimental to the signal quality,



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

