

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

RFCYBER CORP.,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 10,600,046
Filing Date: June 2, 2015
Issue Date: March 24, 2020

Inventors: Xiangzhen Xie, Liang Seng Koh, and Hsin Pan
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MOBILE PAYMENTS

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

Case No. IPR2022-01239

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. PETITIONER’S COMBINATION DOES NOT RENDER AN E-PURSE OBVIOUS	1
A. Petitioner Cannot Remedy its Failure to Identify an E-purse in the Petition.....	1
B. Petitioner Does Not Show that any E-purse Is “maintained locally in the mobile device”.....	3
1. Laracey <i>maintains</i> its balance and other financial information on a server, even if out-of-date data were stored on the mobile device	3
2. Petitioner’s use of Jogu’s “balance update” does not remedy Laracey’s deficiencies.....	6
C. Laracey Does Not Store Financial Information on the Mobile Device.....	7
II. A POSITA WOULD NOT COMBINE JOGU AND LARACEY.....	10
III. CONCLUSION.....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Apple Inc. v. UUSI, LLC,
No. 2021-1035, 2023 WL 3071643 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 25, 2023).....2

MModal LLC v. Nuance Commc'ns,
846 F. App'x 900 (Fed. Cir. 2021)1, 2, 10

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
2001	Declaration of Alfred C. Weaver, Ph.D.
2002	CV of Alfred C. Weaver, Ph.D.
2003	Transcript of May 11, 2023 Deposition of Gerald W. Smith

Petitioner's Reply attempts to shift the burden of persuasion by arguing that Patent Owner "must convince this Board" that Petitioner's combination fails to render the Challenged Claims obvious. Reply, 3. But it is Petitioner's burden to persuade the Board that the claims are obvious. As shown below, Petitioner has failed to do so, and the Board should issue a Final Written Decision finding all Challenged Claims not unpatentable.

I. PETITIONER'S COMBINATION DOES NOT RENDER AN E-PURSE OBVIOUS

A. Petitioner Cannot Remedy its Failure to Identify an E-purse in the Petition

Petitioner has failed to identify any "software" in meeting the e-purse limitation in its Petition. POR, 14. In its Reply, Petitioner attempts to handwave away this deficiency. Reply, 20 ("Neither PO nor Dr. Weaver dispute that Laracey's mobile device utilizes software for its transaction functionality."). But Petitioner did not identify Laracey's "transaction functionality" software as the claimed e-purse. Instead, it identified a "stored value account." Pet., 29; POR, 14.

The Board should reject Petitioner's late attempt to backfill its Petition. *MModal LLC v. Nuance Commc'ns*, 846 F. App'x 900, 906-07 (Fed. Cir. 2021) (affirming Board's rejection of new argument raised on Reply). *MModal* is instructive. There, the Petitioner identified specific portions of a reference (Taira) as disclosing an element. *Id.* After the Patent Owner rebutted those portions, the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.