

DOCKET NO.: 410797-000029

Filed on behalf of The Walt Disney Company, Disney Streaming Services LLC,
and Hulu LLC

By: Larissa S. Bifano, Reg. No. 59,051
Thomas Fuller, Reg. No. 74,439

DLA Piper LLP (US)
33 Arch Street, 26th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447
Email: larissa.bifano@us.dlapiper.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

The Walt Disney Company, Disney Streaming Services LLC, and Hulu LLC

Petitioner

v.

WAG Acquisition LLC,

Patent Owner

IPR2022-01227
Patent 9,762,636

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO
PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. PATENT OWNER’S POSITA DEFINITION IS UNSUPPORTED 2

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS OFFERED BY PO ARE IMPROPER 3

 A. Program 3

 B. Claim Limitations [1.h]/[5.h]/[9.h] [EX1001, 16:57-60]..... 4

 C. Claim Limitations [1.j], [5.j], [9.j] [EX 1001, 16:64–67] 6

 D. Claim Limitations [1.k], [5.k], [9.k] [EX1001, 17:1–3] 6

IV. CARMEL RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-12 OF THE ’636
PATENT 7

 A. Claim Limitations [1.h]/[5.h]/[9.h] 7

 1. PO is collaterally estopped on the issue of Carmel having
 a data connection with a data rate faster than the
 playback rate 7

 2. Carmel teaches claim limitations [1.h]/[5.h]/[9.h]..... 8

 B. Claim Limitations [1.j]/[5.j]/[9.j] 11

1.	PO is collaterally estopped on the issue of Carmel disclosing that the media data elements are selected without the server maintaining a record	11
2.	Carmel teaches claim limitations [1.j], [5.j], [9.j]	12
C.	Claim Limitations [1.k]/[5.k]/[9.k]	14
1.	PO is collaterally estopped on the issue of that Carmel teaches that the server sends media data elements in response to requests from the client.....	14
2.	Carmel teaches claim limitations [1.k]/[5.k]/[9.k].....	15
3.	Carmel Inherently Teaches a Client Request System.....	20
4.	A POSITA Would Have Modified Carmel.....	23
V.	CARMEL AND SHTEYN RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-12 OF THE '636 AND '824 PATENTS.....	24
A.	Carmel and Shteyn Render Obvious Claim Limitation [1.j], [5.j], [9.j]	24
B.	A POSITA Would Have Combined Carmel and Shteyn	26
VI.	NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS; DEPENDENT CLAIMS	27
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Google LLC v. WAG Acquisition, L.L.C.</i> , IPR2022-01412, Paper 8 (PTAB Mar. 23, 2023).....	4, 6
<i>M2M Sols. LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 2023 U.S. App. LEXIS 4053 (Fed. Cir. 2023).....	7, 8, 14
<i>Google LLC v. Hammond Dev. Int’l, Inc.</i> , 54 F.4th 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2022).....	8
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	10
<i>Lyft, Inc. v. Agis Software Development LLC</i> , IPR2022-00513, Paper 24 (PTAB May 25, 2023).....	10
<i>Zynga Inc. v. IGT</i> , IPR2022-00199, Paper 32 (PTAB June 8, 2023).....	10
<i>WebPower, Inc. v. WAG Acquisition</i> , IPR2016-01238, EX2001 (PTAB Mar. 30, 2017).....	18
<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC</i> , 946 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	20
<i>Intel Corp. v. PACT XPP Schweiz AG</i> , 61 F.4th 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2023).....	24

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,762,636
1002	Declaration of Dr. Henry Houh
1003	File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,762,636
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,389,473 to Carmel et al.
1005	U.S. Patent No. 8,122,141 to Price
1006	Final Written Decision, <i>WebPower v. WAG Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01238, Paper No. 22 (Dec. 26, 2017)
1007	Final Written Decision on Remand, <i>WebPower v. WAG Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01238, Paper No. 28 (July 16, 2020)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,529,806 to Shteyn
1009	Order Setting Pretrial Deadlines, No. 2:21-cv-08230 JAK(EX), Dkt. No. 64 (CDCA)
1010	Joint Motion To Transfer To The Northern District Of California And To Vacate All Pending Deadlines, No. 6:21-cv-01083-ADA, Dkt. No. 48 (WDTX)
1011	“Positive COVID Tests Derail Intel Patent Trial In WDTX”, Ryan Davis, https://www.law360.com/ip/articles/1487451 (April 26, 2022)
1012	Plaintiff’s Responsive Claim Construction Brief, No. 6-21-cv-00816, Dkt. No. 39 (WDTX)
1013	Declaration of Keith J. Teruya, No. 6-21-cv-00816, Dkt. No. 39-1 (WDTX)
1014	Plaintiff’s Sur-Reply Claim Construction Brief, No. 6-21-cv-00816, Dkt. No. 45 (WDTX)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.