

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01222
U.S. Patent No. 8,982,863

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST5

I. INTRODUCTION7

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....7

III. NOTE.....7

IV. SUMMARY OF THE ’863 PATENT7

V. PROSECUTION HISTORY9

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART9

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION10

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF13

IX. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....13

 A. Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate 13

 B. Discretionary denial under the *Fintiv* factors is not appropriate..... 13

 1. No evidence regarding a stay 13

 2. Parallel proceeding trial date 14

 3. Investment in the parallel proceeding..... 14

 4. Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding 15

 5. Petitioner is a defendant..... 15

 6. Other circumstances..... 16

 C. Discretionary denial under *General Plastic* is not appropriate..... 16

X.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	16
A.	Challenged Claims	16
B.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges	17
C.	Ground 1: Claims 1-6, 8, 10-11, 14, 19, and 24 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ahopelto in view of Matero.....	18
1.	Summary of Ahopelto.....	18
2.	Summary of Matero	20
3.	Reasons to Combine Ahopelto and Matero	21
4.	Claim 1	23
5.	Claim 2.....	45
6.	Claim 3	48
7.	Claim 4.....	52
8.	Claim 5.....	53
9.	Claim 6.....	54
10.	Claim 8.....	55
11.	Claim 10.....	57
12.	Claim 11	58
13.	Claim 14.....	61
14.	Claim 19.....	71
15.	Claim 24.....	73
D.	Ground 2: Claims 7 and 9 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ahopelto in view of Matero and Hardwick.	75

1.	Summary of Hardwick	75
2.	Reasons to combine Ahopelto, Matero, and Hardwick	75
3.	Claim 7	77
4.	Claim 9	78
E.	Ground 3: Claim 12 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ahopelto in view of Matero and Sood.	79
1.	Summary of Sood.....	79
2.	Reasons to combine Ahopelto, Matero, and Sood.....	80
3.	Claim 12.....	82
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	86
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES	87
A.	Real Parties-in-Interest	87
B.	Related Matters.....	87
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	87
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....	89
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	90

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex.1001	U.S. 8,982,863 (“the ’863 patent”)
Ex.1002	Prosecution History of U.S. 8,982,863
Ex.1003	Declaration of Dr. Michael Allen Jensen under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex.1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Michael Allen Jensen
Ex.1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,970,059 to Juha-Pekka Ahopelto, et al. (“Ahopelto”)
Ex.1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,636,502 to Per Lager, et al. (“Lager”)
Ex.1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,768,691 to Jorma Matero, et al. (“Matero”)
Ex.1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,550,816 to Ken Hardwick, et al. (“Hardwick”)
Ex.1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,697,632 to Prem Sood (“Sood”)
Ex.1010	H. Granbohm & J. Wiklund, GPRS-General packet radio service, Ericsson Review No. 2, 1999, pp. 82-88 (“Granbohm”)
Ex.1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,608,832 to Jan E. Forslöv (“Forslöv”)
Ex.1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,532,227 to Arto Leppisaari et al. (“Leppisaari”)
Ex.1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,937,566 to Jan E. Forslöv (“Forslöv”)
Ex.1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,649,837 to Mikko Puuskari (“Puuskari”)
Ex.1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,584,098 to Stephen Dutnall (“Dutnall”)
Ex.1016	U.S. Patent No. 6,269,254 to James E. Mathis (“Mathis”)
Ex.1017	U.S. Patent No. 8,489,860 to Michael McMahon et al. (“McMahon”)
Ex.1018	U.S. Patent No. 6,430,599 to Mark Baker et al. (“Baker”)
Ex.1019	U.S. Patent No. 6,295,450 to Subramanian S. Lyer et al. (“Lyer”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.