

Filed: December 2, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01222
Patent 8,982,863 B1

**PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE*
ADMISSION OF GREER N. SHAW**

Patent Owner Smart Mobile Technologies LLC (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests that the Board recognize Greer N. Shaw, Esq. as *pro hac vice* and backup counsel for Patent Owner for this proceeding.

I. BACKGROUND

Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is being filed pursuant to and in compliance with the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response, which was filed August 4, 2022 (Paper 3) (the “Notice”). The Notice authorizes parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Further to the Notice, such “motions shall be filed in accordance with the ‘Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission’ in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7” (the “Order”).

II. TIMING OF FILING

This Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* admission is being filed in accordance with the Notice Authorizing the Filing of a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission, and is filed greater than twenty-one days after that Notice.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

As required by the Order, the following statement of facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Greer N. Shaw in Support of Patent Owner’s Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission (Ex. 2002), shows that there is good cause for the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) to recognize Mr. Shaw *pro hac vice*

in this proceeding.

Mr. Shaw has more than twenty-five years of experience specializing in patent litigation and representing clients in patent litigation matters in various United States District Courts and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. (Ex. 2002 at ¶ 2.)

Mr. Shaw is a member in good standing of the State Bar of California, the State bar of Arizona, and the Bar of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (*Id.* at ¶ 1). Mr. Shaw is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, the District of Nebraska, the District of Massachusetts, the District of Arizona, and the Southern, Eastern, Northern, and Central Districts of California. Mr. Shaw is also admitted to practice before the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Ninth and Federal Circuits. (*Id.* at ¶ 2)

Mr. Shaw has worked with lead counsel in all aspects of his participation in this proceeding. Mr. Shaw has an established deep familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. (*Id.* at ¶ 10.) Mr. Shaw has reviewed U.S. Patent No. 8,982,863 (the “’863 patent”), the patent-at-issue, and other papers associated with this matter. (*Id.*) Mr. Shaw is counsel for Patent Owner in the related co-pending district court case *Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.*, 6:21-cv-00603-ADA (W.D. Tex.), in which Patent Owner has asserted the ’863 patent against Petitioner. (*Id.*)

Mr. Shaw has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body, apart from an administrative suspension for nonpayment of inactive bar dues by the Washington Bar Association, which was lifted upon payment of the overdue bar dues. (*Id.* at ¶ 3.) Mr. Shaw has never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied. (*Id.* at ¶ 4.) Mr. Shaw has never had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed on from any court or administrative body. (*Id.* at ¶ 5.)

Mr. Shaw has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations. (*Id.* at ¶ 6.) Mr. Shaw agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (*Id.* at ¶ 7.) Mr. Shaw has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in five other proceedings before the Board within the last three years. (*Id.* at ¶ 8.)

IV. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE MOTION

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding “upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* may be granted upon showing that counsel who is seeking

pro hac vice admission is “an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” (*Id.*) The motion for *pro hac vice* admission must contain a statement of facts showing good cause and be accompanied by a declaration of the individual who is seeking admission. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper No. 7 at 3-4 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 15, 2013). The declaration in turn must contain certain attestations. (*Id.*)

This motion and the accompanying declaration meet all of the Board’s requirements. The lead counsel in this proceeding, Rex Hwang, is a registered practitioner. (*Id.* at ¶ 9.) Mr. Shaw is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 2, 10.) Mr. Shaw’s declaration makes the necessary attestations. (*Id.* at ¶ 11.)

Petitioner does not oppose this motion.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully submits that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Shaw as *Pro Hac Vice* counsel and backup counsel for Patent Owner during this proceeding. Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is accompanied by a Declaration of Greer N. Shaw as required by the Order.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.